1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA BEFORE THE HONORABLE LARRY R. HICKS, SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE 000		
3	0	00	
4	ORACLE USA, INC., et al,	:	
5	Plaintiffs,	:	
6	-vs-	: No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-VCF :	
7	RIMINI STREET, INC., et al,	: September 24, 2021 :	
8	Defendants.	: Reno, Nevada :	
9		: Volume 5	
10			
11	TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING		
12			
13	APPEARANCES:		
14	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Richa	Richard J. Pocker Benjamin P. Smith	
15	Willi	am A. Isaacson	
16	Sharo	ca Phillips n R. Smith	
17	Zacha	Houmand ry Hill	
18	Attor	neys at Law	
19		est Allen	
20	Samue	Vandevelde l G. Liversidge	
21	Casey	a Samplin J. McCracken	
22	Attor	neys at Law	
23		ret E. Griener, CCR #3, FCRR	
24	400 S	eial Reporter South Virginia Street	
25	Reno,	Nevada 89501	

```
RENO, NEVADA, FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 24, 2021, 9:05 A.M.
 1
 2
                                  -000-
 3
 4
                   THE COURT:
                               Good morning. Have a seat, please.
                   The record will show that we're reconvened on
 5
 6
     Friday morning, our fifth day.
 7
                   Let me inquire of counsel.
                                               I'm interested in
 8
     counsel's assessment of how much longer counsel anticipate
 9
             I notice that everyone is within the schedule I had
10
     originally entered in my order. So what can you tell me?
11
                   MR. VANDEVELDE: Your Honor, I think we're well
12
     within the three days allotted for our side, but we have three
13
    more witnesses -- four more witnesses.
14
                   THE COURT: All right.
15
                   And do you see us going over to Monday, which
16
     would actually be your third day?
17
                   MR. VANDEVELDE: It would depend on the length
18
     of cross-examination.
19
                   THE COURT: Okay.
20
                   MR. VANDEVELDE: But I would think that even
21
     with cross-examination being modest, that we would finish by
2.2
     Monday.
              That's what my anticipation would be.
23
                   THE COURT: All right.
24
                   Mr. Isaacson?
25
                   MR. ISAACSON: So we had two witnesses disclosed
```

```
from them for today, leaving two for Monday, plus your
 1
 2
     designations, so I'm unclear as to what's happening today as
 3
     opposed to Monday.
                   But I agree we could finish -- I would like to
 4
 5
     know which witnesses are coming, but separate from that, I
 6
     agree we could finish up witnesses on Monday, do the rebuttal
 7
     case, and finish closings at the end of the day.
 8
                   THE COURT: Okay. So the sense is, is that we
 9
     will finish on Monday, is that what I'm picking up?
10
                   I'm not holding anyone firmly to that.
11
                   MR. VANDEVELDE: Yeah, I think -- and I think
12
     they know our witness list, there's only five.
13
                   Ms. Davenport would be next, and then
14
     Mr. Astrachan -- Professor Astrachan, and we have two more
15
     after that, Craig MacKereth and Stephen Lanchak.
16
                   I think those should be done by Monday. I would
17
     anticipate that closings would be Tuesday, I think, more
18
     likely, but between those, it's hard to tell.
                   THE COURT: All right. Well that gives me a
19
20
     sense of where we are. I was concerned about my schedule next
21
     week is why I asked the question.
2.2
                   MR. VANDEVELDE: Understood.
23
                   THE COURT: Okay. One other matter I had
24
               Originally in my order earlier in the month about
     noticed.
25
     this hearing, I had stated that I would give the parties
```

```
30 days to submit the proposed findings and conclusions.
 1
 2
                   That strikes me as much more time than counsel
 3
     would really need, and I would rather move that up, so I'm
     thinking two weeks from when we finish, a 14-day schedule.
 4
                   MR. ISAACSON:
 5
                                 That's fine, your Honor.
 6
                   MR. VANDEVELDE: Yeah, that's fine, your Honor.
 7
                   THE COURT: All right. So coming back, I think
 8
    we had some redirect examination by Mr. McCracken.
 9
                   MR. McCRACKEN: Your Honor, we have no further
10
     questions of Mr. Benge. We ask that he be excused.
11
                   THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, and
12
    he may be.
13
                   Does Oracle have any objection to excusing
14
    Mr. Benge at this time?
                   MR. ISAACSON: No, your Honor. I just have to
15
16
     clean up something with exhibits.
17
                   THE COURT: All right.
18
                   MR. ISAACSON: I had spoken to the witness about
     Oracle Exhibit 30, or OREX 30, and there's no objection to our
19
20
     admitting that.
21
                   And then your Honor may or may not recall that
2.2
     during the cross-examination of Mr. Benge that I showed him
23
     and read out loud an excerpt from the deposition of Seth
24
            This is page 22, lines 3 through 16, which discussed
25
    how he received reports from the compliance department about
```

```
1
     violations of the Acceptable Use Policy.
 2
                   We have -- that was read out loud. It's a party
 3
     admission. We would like to move it and mark it as
     Exhibit 1352, and I understand there's an objection to that.
 4
 5
                   MR. MCCRACKEN: Yes, your Honor. We object to
 6
            There was a procedure in the prehearing order for
 7
     deposition designations which would allow us to do
 8
     counterdesignations.
 9
                   They played the deposition of Mr. Ravin, I think
10
     it was yesterday, so there's a whole procedure for this that
11
     they didn't follow.
12
                   The fact that the deposition was part of his
13
     question to the witness who didn't know anything about it,
14
     doesn't make it evidence, so we object.
15
                   THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm going to admit
16
     it because it's certainly been before the Court. It was part
17
     of the record, and, as everyone knows, our court reporters
18
     aren't expected to report deposition testimony that happens to
19
     be played in the courtroom.
20
                   But I also appreciate the objection on behalf
21
     of -- on behalf of Rimini, but I will admit it based upon
2.2
     those comments.
23
                   MR. McCRACKEN: And just for the record --
24
                   THE COURT: And 30, I assume there's no
25
     objection --
```

```
1
                   MR. McCRACKEN: Just for the record -- there's
 2
     no objection to Exhibit 30.
 3
                   THE COURT: All right. It's admitted.
                          (Plaintiff's Document Exhibit 30 received
 4
                           in evidence.)
                   MR. MCCRACKEN: But just for the record,
 5
 6
     Mr. Isaacson, you're only -- it's not the whole 1352 that you
 7
     moved, It's the portions you read out loud?
 8
                   MR. ISAACSON: Correct. It would be -- 1352
 9
     will consist of page 22, lines 3 through 16, which are the
10
     lines I read out loud.
11
                   MR. MCCRACKEN: Okay. Thank you.
12
                   MR. ISAACSON: I may have skipped a word or two,
13
    but that was --
14
                   MR. McCRACKEN: I understand.
15
                   THE COURT: Okay. And that's the Court's
16
     understanding as well.
17
                          (Plaintiff's Deposition Excerpt Exhibit
                           1352 received in evidence.)
18
                   THE COURT: All right. What's next?
19
                   MS. SAMPLIN: Your Honor, Rimini calls Brenda
20
     Davenport as its next witness, and she will be appearing
21
     virtually by Zoom.
2.2
                   THE COURT: All right. It take a few minutes to
23
     get this connected.
24
                   Katie, would it be preferable to take a short
25
     recess or are you comfortable with --
```

```
1
                   THE CLERK:
                               I'm comfortable.
 2
                   THE COURT: All right. Let's do that.
 3
                           (Proceedings paused.)
                   THE CLERK: May I swear her in?
 4
 5
                   THE COURT: Yes, go ahead, please.
 6
                         BRENDA CROUCH-DAVENPORT
            called as a witness on behalf of the Defendant,
 7
                   was sworn and testified as follows:
 8
                   THE CLERK: And, ma'am, if I can ask you to
 9
     please speak up.
10
                   Will you please state your name for the record.
11
                   THE WITNESS: Yes, it's Brenda Crouch-Davenport.
12
                   THE CLERK: Will you please spell your last
13
     name.
14
                   THE WITNESS: It's hyphenated.
15
     C-r-o-u-c-h, dash, D-a-v-e-n-p-o-r-t.
16
                   THE CLERK: Thank you.
17
                   THE WITNESS: Can you hear me okay?
18
                   THE CLERK: I think so.
19
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
20
    BY MS. SAMPLIN:
21
          Good morning, Ms. Davenport. Thank you for being here
2.2
     today.
23
               Can you just tell the Court why you are appearing
24
     virtually today?
25
          I can, and thank you for asking that question.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I didn't feel comfortable traveling with the pandemic, and so I'm very thankful for the Court for allowing me to testify remotely today. So, I do appreciate that, thank you. Ms. Davenport, where do you currently work? Q Rimini Street. Α And for how long have you been working at Rimini Street? Α It's a little over 13 years. When did you first join Rimini Street? October 20th of 2008. Α Can you please tell the Court some of the roles you've Q had over the course of your 13-year career at Rimini. Α Sure. All of my jobs here at Rimini Street have been in the quality assurance department. I began as a Senior QA Engineer and then began promoting throughout the management section of the quality assurance team. I've been the Manager, Senior Manager, Director, Senior Director, Vice-President, and, recently, Global Vice-President. What is quality assurance? Quality assurance is ensuring that what we have developed, the tax and regulatory update, making sure that what has been developed is working correctly as we expect in each of our clients' specific environment.

And what is your current job title at Rimini today?

- 1 A Global Vice-President of Quality Assurance.
- 2 Did you have any quality assurance experience before
- 3 joining Rimini Street?
- 4 A I did. I began working with quality assurance in 1999,
- 5 | so I have over 20 years.
- 6 Q Today at Rimini Street how many people are on the global
- 7 quality assurance team that you oversee?
- 8 A Eight-two.
- 9 Q And where is your team physically located?
- 10 A My team is global, so we have of course the US and India,
- 11 or Hyderabad and Bangalore, and then I have Australia and
- 12 London.
- 13 | Q Now, you mentioned tax and regulatory updates, and we've
- 14 heard a lot about tax and regulatory updates at this hearing
- so far. Does your team develop those tax and regulatory
- 16 updates for Rimini's client?
- 17 A No, we do not develop, we test.
- 18 Q So does your team test the updates that Rimini develops
- 19 | for its PeopleSoft clients?
- 20 A Yes, we test.
- 21 Q And what is the purpose of that testing done by your
- 22 | quality assurance team?
- 23 A So my team's responsibility is to make sure that when the
- 24 | tax and regulatory update is developed that they go in, in
- 25 each specific client's environment, and test that update to

1 make sure that we have the expected outcome.

2.2

- Q Are there different types of tests that your team runs?
- A Yes, there's individual update testing and bundle testing.
- Q What is an individual update test?
- An individual update test is specific to one tax and regulatory change. So when the -- they go in, and the development team develops it in each of our clients, and then they package it with the documentation and put it out there for the QA team to apply to our QA testing environment on the client side.
- And then my team goes in and ensures that it's calculating, for example, or a page looks correct, whatever it may be. They are validating the outcome of it to ensure it's correct.
- Q And what is the difference between an individual test and a bundle test?
- A So an individual test is one specific tax and regulatory change, where a bundle test is a package -- or a formal delivery that we give the client that has a group of individual updates.
- They're bundled together, and they are -- the code is merge, for example, and the documentation lists all the objects in that bundle, and then we go in and test that as well.

- 2 So the bundle test tests multiple individual updates within the bundle; is that correct?
- A Yes, it is. And it's -- I know -- an individual update is actually tested twice.
- 5 Q What is the --
- 6 A (Unintelligible).
- 7 | Q I'm sorry?
- 8 COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear that.
- 9 BY MS. SAMPLIN:
- 10 Q Can you say the end your answer again, Ms. Davenport?
- 11 A Yes. It's tested in individual update as well as in the
- 12 bundle. So it's essentially tested twice.
- 13 Q Now, what is the first step of quality assurance testing?
- 14 A Our first step is actually to go review the tax and
- 15 regulatory change.
- 16 Q And what does your team generally do with the information
- 17 | it learns after reviewing the tax and regulatory change?
- 18 A So they're going to review it and determine what needs to
- 19 be tested, and then they're going to start creating their test
- 20 **plan**.
- 21 Q What is a test plan?
- 22 A So a test plan is a step-by-step guide or list of tasks
- 23 that the QA tester is going to walk through in that specific
- 24 | client's environment, because each test plan is specific to a
- 25 | client, and they're going to go through those steps or tasks

- in each of the client's environments to validate the tax and regulatory change that was developed is working properly or as expected, kind of like a (inaudible).
- Q I'm sorry, can you say that end of your answer, again, please.
- A Sure. It's kind of like an instruction manual for the QA tester.
- 8 Q And do members of your team create the test plan?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Are screenshots ever included in test plans?
- 11 A There are screenshots in there of maybe an online page, a
 12 section of it, and it will typically have a highlighted, say,
 13 a box.
- Say a year is changing online for a page, and it
 will have that section to tell the tester, "Hey, go look at
 this and make sure that the date is correct," and it will be
 highlighted in the test plan.
- Do those screenshots or the test plans, more generally, ever contain Oracle code?
- 20 A No, and let me be clear on that. There is no Oracle code 21 in our test plans.
- 22 Q Are test plans created for individual tests?
- 23 A **Yes.**
- 24 Q And are test plans also created for bundle tests?
- 25 A **Yes**.

- 1 | Q How does your team go about using a test plan?
- 2 A So they go in for each specific client and then apply the
- 3 bundle, or an individual update in that client, and they are
- 4 going to go and follow each of the steps in that test plan
- 5 that ensure that the tax and regulatory change is working as
- 6 | we would expect it to.
- 7 Q And where are these test plans stored?
- 8 A They're stored in Spira.
- 9 Q What is Spira?
- 10 A Spira is an online database that we have here at Rimini
- 11 Street that all of our test plans organize.
- 12 O And can you tell the Court how Spira is organized.
- 13 A Sure. It's by individual update and (inaudible) --
- 14 Q We lost you after "by individual update."
- 15 A And by bundle.
- 16 Q Okay. So Spira is organized by individual updates and by
- 17 bundles?
- 18 A **Yes.**
- 19 Is this better? Let me put this up here.
- 20 Q Okay. We'll try that.
- 21 Where does the quality assurance team actually run
- 22 | the individual and bundle tests?
- 23 A In each individual client's environment.
- 24 Q In your work in quality assurance testing, are you
- 25 familiar with the term run to success?

A Yes, I am.

2.2

- Q What does that term run to success mean?
- A So run to success -- it's kind of like a slang, I guess you would say.

It's a term where we go in, and we'll tell a QA tester to ensure that they run to success. But when you say run to success, it's not just taking a program and putting it in an environment and running it to success.

There's more things you do in that environment. You do put the program in and you make sure it does run to success, but we always do that.

But it also -- you have to go in and make sure that the check is calculating correctly, for example, or the table has been updated, or the page has been updated, whatever the objects are touching.

It's kind of like an AirPod, like your AirPod headset, you connect it to your phone, your iPhone, and when you do, you push the button on the AirPod, it says connecting on your phone, and then once it's connected, it's paired.

That's your run to success.

But it's not the only test you do because then you have to take the AirPod, put it in your ear, tap it, and hear the sound and make sure sound is coming out.

So a run to success is a term that some people think, oh, they're just running it to success. Well, running

- to success is a test for sure, but it's only a part of the test. There's other things that have to happen as well.
 - Q So earlier in this hearing we heard about something called the Apply Update tool. Are you familiar with the Apply Update tool?
 - A I am.

- - A So the Apply Update tool is a tool that we created here at Rimini Street. Myself and my team and one of our developers worked to build a tool that applied the individual update or the bundles to each specific client that I talked about.
 - The Apply tool is on that client, and it only applies to that client's bundle or individual update, and it prints out reports for us to look at, for example, and has things -- it's another form of a test by looking at those reports.
 - It also has an apply log that gives us a lot information. It shows us objects and if they were run to success for example.
 - Q Just to break that down a little bit, where does this process with the Apply Update tool that you just described, where does is it take place?
 - A In each specific client's environment.
- 25 Q And you mentioned that the Apply Update tool creates

reports or logs. What do those reports show?

2.2

A So the Apply log, like I said, it will tell you what client you're in, it will tell you if it was run for -- of course you're in the environment looking at it, and it will tell you who the tester was. It will tell you what objects were applied, and if it was successful.

We also have a report in there, for example, that shows that you have a DMS script in your package. So it applies to a DMS script.

It will show you the before table and the after table and highlight it. It's -- there's also a list of objects, for example, but it's really something we created to help us test. It's another tool for us to use.

- Q Does your team ever apply updates manually without using the Apply Update tool?
- A Oh, yes. And they don't like to because they like all the reports, but it can -- if it's not running -- and sometimes there could be an environment issue or -- that's keeping it from running, and so we have to go ahead.

We'll notify the client and say, "Hey, you have an issue with your environment," but we go ahead and apply it manually. But you don't have the logs and the reports, so that's why the QA team likes that.

Q Okay. So the preference is to try the Apply Update tool but to go ahead and perform a manual test if the Apply Update

- 1 tool won't run for some reason; is that right?
 - A Oh, yes, yes. We have to keep going.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q Is your team able to confirm whether quality assurance testing has been done for a particular client update?
 - A Yes. There's a couple of things that you can look at.

First, they're going to look at Spira, of course, and then they'll look at the apply logs, and next they'll look at what we have -- or what we call an environment spreadsheet.

- Q What is an environment spreadsheet?
- An environment spreadsheet is something that our QA team creates. It is a one-stop shop kind of a spreadsheet where we can keep track of all of the clients that are in scope for that individual update.

And it has the names of the client, their three-digit alpha code. It has the developer, their status of where they are, if they're in progress, completed, or not started. It has the QA engineer on it, same status.

And then it has a comment section. So in that comment section we'll note things if there's an environment issue or if there's a problem with their delivery or package or whatever it may be.

- Q Are these environment spreadsheets stored on Rimini internal systems or third-party systems?
- 24 A They're stored internally for us.
- 25 Q And who has access to the environment spreadsheets?

- A Rimini Street QA and developers.
- 2 Q Can anyone outside of Rimini Street access environment
- 3 | spreadsheets?
- 4 A No.

- 5 Q So tell us a little bit more about how the quality
- 6 assurance team uses an environment spreadsheet in the
- 7 day-to-day course of its work.
- 8 A Sure. As I talked about, it's kind of like a one-stop
- 9 | shop. So it's -- my team is global. We have US engineers and
- we have India engineers for example.
- And instead of having hand-off meetings, they use
- 12 | these spreadsheets to show where they are so I don't have two
- employees picking up the same update to test, one in the US
- 14 and one in India.
- They have to go in and put their name in it and then
- 16 give a status. If they started it, they put in progress, and
- 17 | that allows us to keep organized.
- 18 Q So does the environment spreadsheet keep track of the
- 19 testing status of particular updates across clients?
- 20 A Yes. It will say whether it's not started, in progress,
- 21 or completed.
- 22 Q Is there any testing that Rimini employees perform of
- 23 updates before the quality assurance testing we've been
- 24 discussing?
- 25 A Yes. There's a unit test performed by the development

1 team.

- Q What is unit testing?
- A So unit testing is when the developer goes in and they
 have developed a change for a specific client. They go in and
- 5 either run that, say, COBOL -- oh, there you go -- run the
- 6 | COBOL to success and validate the output is expected.
- 7 Q And where does this unit testing take place?
- 8 A In the client's development environment.
- 9 Q So is your team involved at all in unit testing?
- 10 A No. Sometimes we may help with data setup, but that's typically a development function.
- 12 Q Are you familiar with informal updates?
- 13 A Yes, uh-huh.
- 14 Q What is an informal update?
- A n informal update is -- a good example is when we have a sales case that comes straight from a client. Say a client is needing an update. We partner with them, and so sometimes
- they have a window, for example, that they want a testing.
- 19 Maybe their system is going down or whatever it may be.
- So they'll reach out to us and say, "Hey, is there
 any possible way we can get this earlier? We have a delivery
 date coming up and we need it quicker."
- So they will -- we work with the client. It's

 typically the Dev and QA team will work with them and say,

```
give you an informal delivery, which is the objects, and then we will have the QA team come back and give you the formal delivery which will be your package and your documentation."
```

We also let them know that if there's an issue when my team goes in and tests it, we're going to work with them and make sure they understand that, "Hey, we found an issue, we're going to give you a new COBOL," for example.

- Q So your quality assurance team would test the informal update after it has been delivered to the client; is that right?
- 11 A **Yes.**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

2.2

23

- 12 Q But is there any testing that occurs before the informal update is delivered to the client?
- 14 A Yes. That's the full unit testing with the development team.
- 16 Q Are informal updates common?
- 17 A No, they're not.
- 20 So if there's no Spira test plan, Apply Update log, or environment spreadsheet for a particular update, what, if anything, would that indicate to you about whether testing was done for that update?
 - A In that case, on smaller or it might be informal, I -- it was tested. We do not deliver something to a client without it being tested, and a unit form is a form of a test.
- 25 Q So if you're looking into a particular update and there's

- 1 | no Spira test plan, Apply Log, or environment spreadsheet for
- 2 | that update, would you conclude that testing did not occur?
- 3 A No. I can guarantee you it did occur. It's our
- 4 practice.
- 5 Q Okay. Let's switch gears now and talk about a few of the
- 6 | specific issues the Court has asked the parties to address in
- 7 this hearing.
- 8 A Okay.
- 9 Q Are you aware that one of those issues concerns an update
- 10 to 1099 forms for Easter Seals New Hampshire?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q What was this update?
- 13 A This update, I believe, was the 1099 -- I'm trying to
- 14 | think which one this one was, the rsi940.
- 15 Q This is the 1099 update.
- 16 A This is the 1099. Yes, sorry.
- 17 Q And was your team involved in quality assurance testing
- 18 | the updates, the 1099 forms for Easter Seals?
- 19 A Yes, they were.
- 20 O If we can take a look at Exhibit DTX-605.
- 21 And, Ms. Davenport, just so the record is clear, you
- 22 have a binder of exhibits in front of you for direct
- 23 examination, correct?
- 24 A Yes. I didn't have it open, sorry.
- Q Okay. DTX-605, Exhibit 605 which was pre-admitted, what

1 is this?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

A This is a Spira test plan, and this is for FSCM,

financials, 100126, for Easter Seals. The three-digit alpha

code right there is EAS which is for Easter Seals.

- It is for scheduled release RS-18F03. It was tested by Kimberly Borst. It was completed and passed, as you can see the green up at the top.
- It was updated on 3-18 -- or tested on 3 -- I'm sorry, 3/8 of 2018, and it was for the 1099 INT form.
- Q And can you just explain to the Court how you can tell that this particular test for the 1099 INT form for Easter Seals passed.
- 13 A It says completed in the execution status history.
- If you go down to -- I believe there's a second page
 on this one. Can we go down to the next page, please?
 - The execution status off to the right you can see on each one is passed.
 - Q And so that indicates for you that the test was completed and passed for Easter Seals for the 1099 INT form?
 - A Yes, that section and the section at the top that showed the green status, and the word complete.
- 22 Q And can you just point the Court to how you can tell this 23 particular test was for the 1099 INT form?
- 24 A One, you can look at the test cases right there, but if 25 you go back to the first page, there is -- and I'll say use a

- 1 description box.
- 2 Q So we see second lot -- two lines up from the bottom in
- 3 the description box you see the 1099 INT form.
- 4 A Yes. Sorry, it's a little blurry on my side.
- Okay. Let's take a look at Exhibit 606, which is also
- 6 pre-admitted.
- 7 A **Okay**.
- 8 And what is this document?
- 9 A So this document is FSCM -- again, financials -- 100127
- 10 update for Easter Seals, again, the EAS. It was created by
- 11 Kimberly Borst. The status is completed, the execution status
- 12 is passed, and the date on it is 4/18 of 2018.
- And if you go to the second page, you will see the
- 14 | 1099 MISC is what was tested, and the execution status is
- passed.
- 16 Q And so what does that indicate to you, Ms. Davenport?
- 17 A That this was tested, and it completed, finished, and
- 18 everything passed.
- 19 Q Okay. Let's take a look at the next Exhibit 601 which is
- 20 also pre-admitted. Ms. Davenport, what is this document?
- 21 A This document is for financials, FSCM 100130 and 100131,
- 22 | Easter Seals. It is for the 1099 Miscellaneous.
- It is for scheduled release RSI-18F07, and the
- 24 creator was Kimberly Borst. It got passed and was completed
- 25 on 7/16 of 2018.

It was for the 1099 Miscellaneous, nonemployee 1 2 compensation, or NEC form, 1099 Miscellaneous vendors. It was 3 tested, and all test cases passed successfully. Okay. And Mr. Jay is just pulling up the bottom of the 4 second page. Can you just explain to the Court again what 5 6 this portion of the document that was just highlighted in red 7 boxes shows? 8 Yes. It shows that the test cases for the 1099 9 Miscellaneous passed all of their tests for Easter Seals. 10 That's what I was going to ask, what client was this for? 11 It was for Easter Seals? 12 Α Yes. 13 Okay. So to sum up the three Spira records we just 14 viewed, do they reflect to you that Rimini's updates to the 15 1099 MISC and the 1099 INT forms were tested for Easter Seals? 16 Yes. Α 17 And was that testing successful? 18 Α Yes. Let's now switch gears to talking about a different issue 19 20 in this case. Are you familiar with an update called 21 HCM200105 which is one of the updates which is the subject of 2.2 the Court's order? 23 Α Yes. 24 So the Court's order focuses on whether HCM200105 was 25 developed and tested in the environments of two specific

- clients, Home Shopping Network and Rockefeller Group

 International.
- Was your team involved in testing the updates for these two clients?
 - A Yes, my team was.
 - Q Okay. Let's please take a look at Exhibit 1004 which was pre-admitted, and the first page you can see some metadata here.
- 9 A Yes.

6

7

- 10 Q Can you tell the document title from this metadata?
- 11 A I can. It's for HCM200105, it's our environment
- spreadsheet that we talked about, and it's for new clients, so
- 13 | clients coming in.
- 14 Q And so this is an environment spreadsheet for HCM200105;
- 15 is that right?
- 16 A That is correct, for new clients.
- 17 Q And so what -- if we can go to the first page -- or I
- guess the second page of this exhibit, please. What does this
- 19 document show?
- 20 A This document shows a list of all of our new clients. It
- 21 | shows the client name, the three-digit alpha code that we
- discussed earlier, the application release, the client tools,
- 23 | the last Oracle update, which one of my team is testing it,
- 24 Kim Banner Scrum (phonetic). It tells who the developer is
- 25 | that is developing it, as well as the status. It tells the

- tester and it tells the status of the testing.
- 2 It also gives the comments as to what -- if there's
- 3 anything involved with any of these. As you can see, some of
- 4 them say NA or HSH. You can see they haven't received their
- 5 | first deliverable, so we'll give it to them, just not right
- 6 now.

- 7 Q Okay. And I know you mentioned this earlier, but can you
- 8 remind the Court, how does your team use an environment
- 9 | spreadsheet like this one?
- 10 A Sure. It's to keep us organized and make sure that we
- 11 get all of the development and testing completed for each and
- 12 | every client on the list.
- 13 Q And are the two clients I mentioned a bit ago, Home
- 14 | Shopping Network and Rockefeller Group International, are they
- 15 | reflected in this environment spreadsheet?
- 16 A Yes. They're the last two clients on the list.
- 17 Q So let's look specifically at the row for Home Shopping
- 18 Network. Under the column "Tester" is listed the word
- 19 | Balamani. What is that?
- 20 A Balamani is a tester on my team in India.
- 21 Q And looking at the row, then, for Rockefeller Group
- 22 International, the last row, I see Savithri written under
- 23 tester. Who's that?
- 24 A He's a tester in India as well.
- 25 Q Can you tell from this document whether HCM200105 was

```
1
     tested for Home Shopping Network?
 2
                   MS. SMITH: Objection, your Honor --
 3
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 Yes.
                   MS. SMITH: -- foundation.
 4
                   THE COURT: We have a foundation objection.
 5
 6
                   MS. SAMPLIN: I can rephrase my question.
 7
                   THE COURT: All right. Would you do that,
 8
    please.
 9
                   MS. SAMPLIN:
10
     BY MS. SAMPLIN:
11
          What, if anything, does this spreadsheet indicate to you
12
     about testing of HCM200105 for Home Shopping Network?
13
                   MS. SMITH: Objection, your Honor, lack of
14
     foundation as to the document.
15
                   THE COURT: Well, it's been pre-admitted, and I
16
     think she's entitled to testify as to her understanding of it.
17
     I'll allow the question.
18
     BY MS. SAMPLIN:
19
          Would you like me to repeat the question, Ms. Davenport,
20
     or do you have it in mind?
21
          I have it in mind.
      Α
2.2
               This spreadsheet shows that Balamani, on my team,
23
     completed the testing of the Home Shopping Network.
24
          And same question for Rockefeller Group International,
25
     what does this document indicate for you, if anything, about
```

- 1 | whether HCM200105 was tested for Rockefeller Group
- 2 | International?
- 3 A It shows that Savi tested and competed her testing for
- 4 Rockefeller Group International for 200105.
- 5 Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 1009 which was pre-admitted.
- 6 A Okay.
- 7 Q Are you familiar with this document?
- 8 A Yes, this is my Apply log that we created.
- 9 | Q I know this is a bit difficult to read, so did you help
- 10 create a demonstrative of this document to direct the Court to
- 11 | specific areas of the document?
- 12 A Yes, I did. I believe they have it.
- 13 MS SAMPLIN: Yeah, let's pull up demonstrative
- 14 | **401**, please.
- 15 **BY MS. SAMPLIN:**
- 16 Q And is this the demonstrative that reflects the exhibit
- 17 | we were just looking at?
- 18 A **Yes.**
- 19 | Q Now, can you tell from this log file whether the Apply
- 20 | Update tool was run?
- 21 A Yes, I can. As I talked about earlier, the Apply log
- 22 | is -- while it's real pretty, it does give you a lot of
- 23 information.
- 24 It tells you will what client -- for example, this
- one was client HSP, which is Home Shopping Network.

```
It tells me that Balamani, which is her last name.
 1
 2
     So B is her first initial, and then K-a-l-l-a is her user
 3
     name, so it tells me that she ran it.
               It tells me what network it was run.
 4
                                                      In other
 5
     words, it was run off the QA environment. That's the
 6
     HSDWPSW002, the run location.
 7
               It also tells you that it -- HCM200105 finished
 8
     successfully in the last highlighted section.
 9
          Okay. Now, you mentioned Balamani.
                                                Is that the same
10
     person we saw on the environment spreadsheet for this update
11
     for HSP?
12
      Α
          Yes.
13
          Now, if you could go to the next demonstrative, please,
14
     402, and this is the bottom of Exhibit 1009. Can you explain
15
     to the Court what this shows.
16
          Sure. It says that it finished building update in the
17
     client's environment, or finished running the Apply log for
18
     update HCM200105, and it ran successfully.
          And so what, if anything, does this document tell you
19
20
     about whether HCM200105 was tested for Home Shopping Network?
21
          It was tested and it applied successfully.
      Α
2.2
          Let's take a look at Exhibit 1013 which was pre-admitted.
23
               Now, what is this document?
24
          So this is also an Apply log.
      Α
25
          And if we can take a look, please, at demonstratives that
```

- were created to help pull out some information from this document. The first one is demonstrative 403.
 - And does this demonstrative reflect portions of Exhibit 1013 that we were just looking at?
- 5 A Yes, it does.

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Q So what does this portion of the Apply Update log indicate to you, Ms. Davenport?

G-o-r-l-i, and she was the one that ran it.

- A This tells me that we applied the update to Rockefeller,
 which is the RKF. It also shows that Savi was the user. Her
 last name is Gorli, so her first initial is S, last name,
 - It was run on Dev HC92APP-RST, which is our QA environment, for Rockefeller, and it finished successfully for update HCM200105.
 - Q And Savithri who you mentioned, is that the same tester we saw on the environment spreadsheet for Rockefeller Group International?
- 18 A **Yes.**
- 19 Q If we could take a look at next demonstrative, please,
- 20 404. Now, this is the end of Exhibit 1013, which we
- 21 previously looked at. What does this indicate to you,
- 22 Ms. Davenport?
- A It says that the update HCM200105 successfully applied to Rockefeller.
- 25 Q So, in total, this document, Exhibit 1013, what does it

1 tell you about whether HCM200105 was tested for Rockefeller 2 Group International? 3 It tells me it was successfully tested and applied. Let's switch gears to talk about a third issue in this 4 5 case which concerns development and testing for the update 6 HCM200049 for Matheson Trucking, Smead Manufacturing, and 7 Spherion, including the SQR file rsi940a.sqr. 8 Are you familiar with the update HCM200049? 9 I am. Α 10 Was your team involved in testing the update? 11 Yes, they were. Α 12 And did your testing -- your team's testing include the 13 SQR file, rsi940a.sqr? 14 It did. 15 Objection, your Honor, lacks MR. SMITH: 16 foundation. 17 THE COURT: Would you repeat the question, 18 please. 19 MS. SAMPLIN: Did your team's testing of 20 HCM200049 include testing of the SQR file, rsi940a.sqr? 21 THE COURT: I'll allow the question. 2.2 THE WITNESS: We did test it, yes. 23 BY MS. SAMPLIN: 24 So let's walk through what happened for each of the 25 clients at issue.

```
I'd like to show, first, Exhibit 210. Do you know
 1
 2
     what this document is, Ms. Davenport?
 3
          Yes, I do.
                      That is an e-mail that we received from
      Α
     SalesForce for Matheson Trucking for case number 00162054.
 4
 5
               I was put on it as an interested party, and so my
 6
     name is in the "to" field, and it's for a case where they say
 7
     that they're missing the 940 template.
 8
          And what is SalesForce?
 9
          SalesForce is our tool that we work with our clients and
10
     communicate with them. They go in and they will enter, for
11
     example, maybe a question that they have on a tax and
12
     regulatory update, or if they have a problem with their
13
     deliverable, they're going to put a case in, and then we work
14
     with them on that case.
15
          And did Rimini use SalesForce in the regular course of
     its business in 2019?
16
17
      Α
          Yes.
18
                   MS. SAMPLIN: I'd like to move Exhibit 210 into
19
     evidence.
20
                   MS. SMITH: One minute, your Honor.
21
                   THE COURT:
                               All right.
2.2
                   MR. SMITH:
                               No objection.
23
                   THE COURT:
                               It's admitted.
24
                           (Plaintiff's Document Exhibit 210
                            received in evidence.)
25
```

BY MS. SAMPLIN:

- 2 Now, we've heard testimony in this case that SalesForce 3 tracks client cases. What is a client case?
- A So a client case is something that can be a question or a problem with a deliverable, or a question about a deliverable,
- that comes from the client, and they are putting in a client
 case for us to respond to that client case.
- 8 Q Do you know who added you to this case notification?
- 9 A I don't. It doesn't tell me on this page. My guess
 10 would be Teresa or Anil. They're the primary support
- 11 engineers.
- 12 Did you read this communication when you received it?
- 13 | A **Yes.**
- 14 Q What did you understand this communication to mean when 15 you received it?
- 16 A That we were giving an informal update, HCM200049, to the
 17 client so that they could pick it up, and we were giving them
 18 a F940 2018.gif file, F9401 2018.gif file, and rsi940a.sqr.
- Jim was also telling them that this is --
- 20 Q I'm sorry. Ms. Davenport, can you start again from the 21 three items included at the beginning. The court reporter 22 couldn't hear you, and if you could slow down a little bit, 23 that would be great.
- 24 A Okay. I'm so sorry.
- Yes. This is an e-mail to the client telling them

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- that we are giving them an informal update for HCM200049, and we're telling them it's available for them now to address their client case, and they can pick it up, and where they can pick it up. It's a -- two GIF files, F940 2018.gif, F9401 2018.gif, and rsi940a.sqr. Jim is also telling them that this change will be formally delivered in the upcoming update. Do you know why Rimini provided an informal delivery of this update to Matheson Trucking? If you go back to the date of this, it is -- this Α Yes. 940 is due on the 31st, and Matheson Trucking was missing it, and so we were trying to get it to them as quick as we can because they have short testing window. So we gave it to them as an informal update, letting them know, you know, we have not formally tested it, but we're going to give it to you so that you can go ahead and get your testing done.
- If we find issues, we will come back to you and let you know, and, if we need to deliver something, we will.
- Q Let's take a look at Exhibit 201, which is pre-admitted, please. What is this document, Ms. Davenport?
- A That's our Apply log.
- Q Okay. And you helped create a demonstrative to pull out particular pieces of this Apply log, right?

1 Yes. 2 Okay. So if we can pull up that demonstrative, please, 3 which is 405. Can you tell us what this Apply log shows. Sure. It tells me it's an Apply log for HCM200049. 4 5 It tells me it's for client Matheson Trucking, or 6 M-A-T. 7 It says it's for the QA environment where it says, 8 "Use QA as true." It also says that it finished completely. 9 So it applied HCM200049 successfully and tested it. 10 Now, why was this update tested in QA on January 29th 11 after it was delivered on January 28th? 12 As we noted, or Jim stated in the client case, we were 13 giving them an informal update. So while that one is tested, 14 it's unit tested in the development environment, we are testing it when we're delivering to everybody else as a formal 15 16 delivery, and the formal delivery, of course, has their 17 documentation, where the informal delivery is just the 18 objects. If the test had not run successfully at this point on 19 20 January 29th, would your team have informed Matheson Trucking 21 of that fact? 2.2 Α Oh, yes, uh-huh. 23 Let's take a look, please, at Exhibit 205. 24 And what is this document, Ms. Davenport?

This is a client case for Matheson Trucking for client

```
case 00162054.
 1
 2
                   MS. SAMPLIN: I'd like to move this exhibit into
 3
     evidence, please.
                                It is my understanding that it was
 4
                   MS.
                        SMITH:
 5
     admitted yesterday, so we have no objection.
 6
                   MS. SAMPLIN:
                                 I apologize. Yes, it was admitted
 7
     yesterday. Thank you.
 8
                   THE COURT: All right. It is admitted.
 9
     BY MS. SAMPLIN:
10
          Ms. Davenport, are you listed as a recipient of this
11
     communication?
12
                I was added to the client case as an interested
13
     party, and so I'm in the "to" field.
14
          Did you read this communication when you received it?
15
      Α
          Yes.
16
          Now, if we could look at the middle of the page, you see
17
     there is a message from somebody named Mark. Do you see that?
18
      Α
          Yes.
19
          Do you know who Mark is?
20
          I do. He works for Matheson Trucking.
21
          And if we pull out, Mark says,
2.2
                  "I thought I already replied to your system
23
          that the files were successfully retrieved and tested
24
          and that this case could be closed. Sorry if it
```

didn't update you."

```
1
                   What did you understand Mark to be communicating
 2
     in this message when you received it?
 3
          So Mark picked up the objects that Jim had put out there
     in the informal delivery, applied it to their testing
 4
 5
     environment that Matheson Trucking uses, and applied it and
 6
     tested it successfully.
 7
               He's saying we can close the case because everything
 8
     works as he expects it.
 9
          Let's now talk about Smead Manufacturing. Can we please
10
     take a look at Exhibit 405. And what is this document,
11
     Ms. Davenport?
12
          This is a SalesForce case for Smead Manufacturing Company
13
     for client case number 00161188, and I was added on here as an
14
     interested party as well.
15
                   MS. SAMPLIN:
                                 I would like to move Exhibit 405
     into evidence, please.
16
17
                   MS. SMITH: No objection.
18
                   THE COURT:
                               It's admitted.
19
                           (Plaintiff's Document Exhibit 405
                            received in evidence.)
20
    BY MS. SAMPLIN:
21
          Did you read this document when you received it,
2.2
     Ms. Davenport?
23
          I did, yes.
24
          And if we could take a closer look at the text, thank
25
     you. This text says,
```

"Hi, Maureen, an informal update, HCM200049, 1 2 is now available to address this case," and it goes 3 on to list different files. What did you understand this communication to 4 mean when you received it? 5 6 So what Jim is saying in this one is an informal update 7 for HCM20049 is available for the client to pick up and test, 8 and he tells them where to pick it up on the DEF machine, and 9 it is for two GIF files, F940 2018.gif, F9401 2018.gif, and 10 then rsi940a.sqr. 11 He is also telling them that this is an informal 12 delivery, and a formal delivery will be coming at the proposed 13 date that we told them. 14 And can you remind us the date of this document, please. 15 There you go. Thank you. January 25th of 2019. 16 Okay. If we can please take a look at Exhibit 402 which Q 17 is pre-admitted. Ms. Davenport, is this another Apply Update 18 log? 19 Α Yes. 20 And you helped create a demonstrative to pull out particular portions of this Apply Update log, correct? 21 2.2 Α Yes. 23 Okay. If we can take a look at that demonstrative, 24 please, 406. 25 Can you please explain to the Court what these

- portions of the Apply Update log reflected in Exhibit 402 1 2 show. 3 So this is the Apply Update log for HCM200049. It's for client Smead Manufacturing, or SME. It's being run in a QA 4 5 environment, you see where it says "use QA equals true." 6 It also states that HCM200049 was successfully 7 applied and tested. 8 So does this document tell you whether this update was 9 tested for Smead Manufacturing? 10 Α Yes. 11 And was that test successful? 12 Yes, it was. Α 13 Can you explain to us why the update was delivered on 14 January 25th, 2019, but we see here in the Apply log that the 15 test was run on January 29th, 2019? 16 As the case stated, we gave them an informal 17 delivery, which is the objects, and told them that we would be 18 testing it formally at a later date.
- This is the formal delivery, so the date is after the informal delivery.

2.2

23

- Q Let's take a look, please, at Exhibit 406. What is this document, Ms. Davenport?
- A This is a client case for Randstad Professionals, or they're also called Spherion, for client case number 00160772.
- 25 I was added as an interested party to this, so my name is on

```
it in the "to." It was on January 25th of 2019, and it was
 1
 2
     for the tax update F940 2018 delivery.
 3
                   MS. SAMPLIN: I'd like to move Exhibit 406 into
     evidence.
 4
 5
                   MS SMITH:
                              No objection, your Honor.
 6
                   THE COURT:
                               It is admitted.
 7
                           (Plaintiff's Document Exhibit 406
                            received in evidence.)
 8
    BY MS. SAMPLIN:
 9
          Did you read this document when you received it,
10
     Ms. Davenport?
11
          Yes, I did.
      Α
12
          And if we could look at the text starting with,
13
                  "Hi, Juan, An informal update, HCM200049, is
14
          now available to address this case."
15
                   And it goes on to list three different files.
16
                   Can you explain to the Court what you understood
17
     this communication to mean when you received it.
18
                We were telling Juan that for 200049 we were giving
          Yes.
19
     them an informal update to address this client case, and
20
     telling him that he can go pick it up on their Dev machine and
21
     giving that location.
2.2
               It's also the same two GIF files, F940 2018.gif and
23
     F940 2018.gif, as well as rsi940a.sqr.
24
          And what --
25
          Oh, sorry.
      Α
```

```
We're probably going to the same place, but I was going
 1
 2
     to ask you what the next line of the document means where it
 3
     says,
                  "These changes will also be formally
 4
 5
          delivered in a subsequent update."
 6
          Yes, that's correct.
      Α
 7
               So we're giving them their objects now, and then my
 8
     team will go back and be formally tested.
 9
          Let's take a look, please, at pre-admitted Exhibit 403,
10
     and, Ms. Davenport, is this another Apply Update log?
11
          Yes, it is.
      Α
12
          And did you also help create a demonstrative to pull out
13
     particular portions of this Apply Update log?
14
      Α
          Yes.
15
          Okay. If we can take a look at demonstrative 407,
16
     please.
17
               Now, can you explain to the Court what these
18
     portions of the Apply Update log indicate to you about client
19
     Spherion.
20
                The Apply Update HCM200049 was applied to Spherion,
21
     which is the SPH SFN group. It was applied in the QA
2.2
     environment, they "used QA is true."
23
               This one actually is different from the other ones
24
     because it did fail to build. So the DMS group in this one
```

looks like it was missing, and it failed to apply.

1 MS. SAMPLIN: And if you can just, Mr. Jay, pull 2 up the bottom a little bit because I think Ms. Davenport's 3 screen is blocking the text at the bottom. BY MS. SAMPLIN: 4 And are you looking at the bottom highlighted text, 5 6 Ms. Davenport, that says "failed to apply"? 7 Yes, that's correct. It failed to apply because it 8 couldn't find it in the folder. 9 Let's take a look at the next exhibit, 404, which is 10 pre-admitted. And, again, is this an Apply Update log? 11 That is correct. Α 12 Okay. And I'm going to show you a demonstrative 13 that you helped create for this Apply Update log, which is 14 demonstrative 408. 15 Now, and can you tell the Court, please, what this 16 Apply Update log indicates to you about client Spherion. 17 This Apply Update log, HCM200049, for Spherion 18 Group again, and it's for the QA environment. It failed to build and it failed to apply HCM200049 for this same reason. 19 20 Okay. So what do these documents indicate to you about the testing up until this point, which would be January 25th, 21 2.2 2019, for Spherion, as to update HCM200049? 23 That the QA engineer, because it failed twice, they had 24 to go apply it manually. 25 Is it common for the Apply tool to fail to run like this?

2.2

- A No, it's not common. It's either an environment issue or an issue with our package.
 - Q Now. You mentioned that the engineer would have -- would have had to go in manually. How do you know that the engineer would have gone in manually?
 - A Well, we have to test it and, like we talked about earlier, if they can't run this for some reason, they are going to go in and manually apply it, manually test it.

And there's not a log like we talked about. We don't have our nice log to tell us what happened, but they have to apply it manually so that we can go ahead and get it tested and then get it to the client.

- Q Let's take a look at the next exhibit, Exhibit 200, which was pre-admitted. Now, what is this document, Ms. Davenport?
- A This is the Spira test plan for OREX 19P02 bundle for Matheson Trucking.

It passed. It was for release RS-19P02, Savithri, or Savi as we call her, is the one that tested it, and the test set, as you can see, is RS-19P02_bundle_MAT, which is Matheson Trucking, and you can see it was run on 4/4 of 2019.

- Q And can you just remind the Court, please, what a bundle test is.
- A Sure. So a bundle test is a group of individual updates that are packaged together, merged code, everything is packaged together for the client to pick it up with their

documentation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

My team is responsible for applying the bundle to our QA environment, running everything for applying, you know, all the objects to the environment, and then we go in and basically retest everything to make sure it's working together, and then we also review the documentation.

- Q And how can you tell that this bundle passes for Matheson Trucking?
- 9 A It's the three-digit MAT alpha code up top.
 - Q Was rsi940a.sqr part of this bundle test for Matheson Trucking?
- 12 A **Yes.**
- 13 Q And can you just remind the Court again, please, why this update would have been part of a bundle test.
 - A Because even though we give a client individual updates, they still get all the individual updates packaged together in a bundle. It's up to the client as to which ones, or both, if they can apply.
 - Typically, an individual update, we're trying to get it out to them by a certain date due to a tax and regulatory deadline, whereas a bundle is a set date that we give everybody a bundle.
 - Q You mentioned before that this was an informal update to Matheson Trucking. Ultimately how many tests are done of an informal update?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

- So an informal update -- well, actually, we test it three It will be tested as a unit test by Dev, then it will be tested as an individual update, and then it will be tested as a bundle. All of this goes on in the client's specific environment, so in a sense that update for that piece of development is tested three times. Let's take a look, please, at Exhibit 400 which is pre-admitted. And what is this document that I'm showing you now, Ms. Davenport? This is a Spira test plan for RS-19P02 bundle for client Smead, which Smead's three-digit alpha code is SME. It was for scheduled release RS-19P02. Morarji, who is one of my testers in India, tested it, and the status is completed and it passed, as you can see the green right there. It also was tested on 4/4 of 2019. Was rsi940a.sqr part of this bundle test for Smead?
- 18 A **Yes.**

So what, if anything, does this document indicate to you

- about the bundle RSI-19P02, including rsi940a.sqr in terms of
- 21 whether it was tested for Smead?
- 22 A It was tested as part of their bundle test.
- 23 MS. SAMPLIN: Thank you. No further questions on direct examination.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination.

```
MS. SMITH: Your Honor, would this be an okay
 1
 2
     time to take our morning break so that I can streamline the
 3
     cross-examination?
 4
                   THE COURT: All right. No, that's acceptable.
 5
                   And let's go ahead, and we'll take a morning
 6
    break, reconvene at between 10:30 and 10:35.
 7
                   MS. SAMPLIN: Would the court like Ms. Davenport
 8
     to stay logged in?
 9
                   THE COURT: What's the easiest, Katie?
10
                   THE CLERK: Yes.
11
                   THE COURT:
                               Yes. Let's leave you logged in, but
12
     you're welcome to take a break as well. Thank you.
13
                   THE WITNESS: Okay.
                                        Thank you.
14
                           (A recess was taken.)
15
                   THE COURT: Have a seat, please.
16
                   The record will show we are reconvened following
17
     our morning break, and examination of Ms. Davenport continues.
18
                               The cross-examination, your Honor.
                   MS. SMITH:
19
                   THE COURT: Oh, and we are at cross-examination,
20
     excuse me.
21
                           CROSS-EXAMINATION
    BY MS. SMITH:
2.2
23
          Ms. Davenport, you are currently employed as
24
     Vice-President of Global Quality Assurance, correct?
25
          Yes. My actual title is Global Vice-President of Quality
```

1 Assurance. 2 And you oversee Rimini's quality assurance efforts for 3 the SAP, JD Edwards, PeopleSoft, and EBS product lines, 4 correct? 5 Yes, that is correct. 6 And you do not personally test PeopleSoft updates as part 7 of your current position, true? 8 Α I don't today, no. 9 Before you were a vice-president, you were a Director, Senior Director, and Senior Manager of quality assurance at 10 11 Rimini, right? 12 That is correct. 13 And you did not test updates in any those roles -- and by 14 "those roles," I mean a director, senior director, and senior 15 manager of QA, correct? 16 As a manager and as a senior manager, during year-end 17 sometimes I would go in to help but not a ton, no. 18 In those roles you did not do any testing yourself, 19 correct? 20 As I stated, as a manager and senior manager, during 21 year-end sometimes I did help out. 2.2 THE CLERK: May I interrupt? 23 (Discussion held off the record.) 24 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, please. 25

```
BY MS. SMITH:
 1
 2
          The last position you held in which you actually
 3
     conducted testing was when you were a QA engineer, correct?
          No. As I stated earlier, as a manager and senior manager
 4
     there were times that I helped out the team.
 5
 6
                   MS. SMITH:
                               I'd like to read, your Honor, from
 7
     Ms. Davenport's deposition, page 23, lines 24 through page 24,
 8
     line 1.
 9
                   THE COURT: Go ahead.
10
                               "QUESTION: When was the last
                   MS. SMITH:
11
           position that you held where you conducted tests?
12
                   "ANSWER:
                             The QA engineer."
13
                   And I'd also, your Honor, like to read from her
14
     deposition, page 23, lines 17 through 23.
15
                   THE COURT: Go ahead.
16
                   MS. SMITH: "QUESTION: No, do you not.
17
                   "Did you test when you were a director or a
18
           senior director?
                   "ANSWER:
19
                             No.
20
                   "When you were a senior manager did you
21
           conduct tests?
                   "ANSWER: No."
2.2
23
     BY MS. SMITH:
24
          And when you were a QA engineer at Rimini, that was back
25
     in October of 2008, correct?
```

- 1 A That is correct.
- 2 Q It's been well over a decade since you tested any updates
- 3 | for Rimini, right?
- 4 A It has been a while, yes.
- 5 Q Instead of testing updates or supervising the testing of
- 6 updates, your current work is with the budget, the staffing,
- 7 | making sure that Rimini's policies and procedures are in
- 8 | place, right?
- 9 A That is correct.
- 10 Q You have day-to-day managers that are in the trenches
- 11 | supervising the day-to-day issues, right?
- 12 A That is correct.
- 13 Q And so you're not personally involved in reviewing
- 14 | someone else's testing work, right?
- 15 | A No, I do not review it.
- 16 Q I'm sorry. Would you repeat your answer?
- 17 A I do not review their test plans right now.
- 18 Q The Apply Update logs that you testified to earlier, you
- don't review those contemporaneously, correct?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q And so when was the first time that you saw an Apply
- 22 Update log? Was it in preparation for your testimony?
- 23 A No. I helped create that tool, so I'm very familiar with
- 24 | what that tool does. I was part of the requirements, part of
- creating the reports, for example.

- 1 When was last time you saw an actual log report from an 2 Apply Update?
- 3 I couldn't tell you exact time. There's times when they have issues with the Apply log, that they'll call me and I'll 4 5 go look at them. I can't remember the last time. I would say 6 within a year or two. But, I was -- while I was at testing
- (unintelligible). 8 I'm sorry. Could you repeat your answer, please. I

think both myself and madam reporter couldn't hear it.

- 10 Okay. Is it not loud enough?
- 11 I think it's loud enough, maybe you were getting a little 12 soft at the end.
- 13 Oh, okay. I apologize.

7

9

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

24

- While I don't test, I do occasionally get called in 14 15 to help look at an Apply log. It's been a while, maybe a year 16 or so, since I looked at one.
 - But if there is an issue with it, my manager sometimes will call me in and say, "Can you look at this Apply log with me and see why it's failing," for example.
 - So it's been approximately eight years since you looked at an Apply Update log in order to assist somebody else on your team, correct?
- 23 No, that's not true.
 - Like I was just saying, I would say it was last year, or possibly the year before, that I got called in by one

- 1 of the managers and asked to look at an Apply log.
- 2 | Q And you were not personally involved in looking at the
- 3 | Apply logs that you testified to this morning
- 4 | contemporaneously as they were happening, true?
- 5 A I was not the tester on those.
- 6 Q And you didn't receive or review the Apply Update log
- 7 reports as they were occurring for the documents you testified
- 8 to this morning, right?
- 9 A Again, I wasn't the tester on them, no.
- 10 Q And you didn't have -- you didn't review those logs
- 11 before preparing for your testimony, correct?
- 12 A No.
- 13 | Q No, meaning you did receive the logs?
- 14 A I received logs as part of the evidence, yes, and I did
- 15 review them for the client case.
- 16 Q Which client case?
- 17 A For this Oracle lawsuit.
- 18 Q Okay. So is it true that the first time you reviewed the
- 19 Apply Update logs that you testified about this morning were
- 20 | in connection with this proceeding?
- 21 A That is correct. But I am aware of this client case.
- I was involved in them when they occurred back in
- 23 | 2019. So there's a good chance I did look at them and I just
- 24 don't remember.
- 25 Q Ms. Davenport, you do not really consider yourself to be

technically knowledgeable about PeopleSoft, correct? 1 2 I'm not a developer, no. 3 And so you're not really technically knowledgeable about 4 PeopleSoft, right? Again, I'm not a developer, I'm a tester. Our duty is 5 6 functional knowledge. 7 So is your answer yes? 8 Α I'm not a developer. 9 So, yes, you do not consider yourself to be technically 10 knowledgeable about PeopleSoft, right? 11 It depends on what you're actually asking me. Are you Α 12 asking me if I can write code, or are you asking me if I can 13 read code? 14 MS. SMITH: I'd like to read from 15 Ms. Davenport's deposition, page 14, line 15 through 18. 16 MS. SAMPLIN: I don't think it's proper 17 impeachment, if that's the attempt, if she doesn't understand 18 the question. 19 MS. SMITH: Well, I've asked it several times, 20 your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Well, it's awkward with visual 2.2 testimony and -- which the Court approves and understands, and 23 we do that regularly, but it tends to create a problem when 24 we're dealing with issues relative to prior testimony and 25 prior activities.

```
1
                   I'll allow you to go ahead and proceed in this
 2
              I haven't seen any violation of the practice.
     BY MR. SMITH:
 3
                   "QUESTION: Do you consider yourself
 4
           technically knowledgeable regarding PeopleSoft?
 5
 6
                   "ANSWER:
                             Not really. I'm not a developer."
 7
                   And, in fact, you've never --
                   MS. SAMPLIN: That is her answer. I would renew
 8
 9
     my objection that it's not proper impeachment, just for the
10
     record.
11
                               The objection is noted, and I also
                   THE COURT:
12
     didn't see any impeachment there.
13
                   MS. SMITH:
                               The impeachment was when she said
14
     "not really," your Honor.
15
                   THE COURT:
                                I understand.
16
     BY MR. SMITH:
17
          You have never logged into a Windstream environment,
18
     correct?
          I have, actually, It's just been a while.
19
20
          I'm sorry, you dropped off and we couldn't hear you.
21
          I have logged into a Windstream environment, but it's
2.2
     been years ago.
23
               MS. SMITH:
                           I would like to read from
24
     Ms. Davenport's deposition, page 176, lines 22, through 177,
25
     line 4.
```

```
THE COURT: Go ahead.
 1
 2
                   MS. SMITH:
                                "QUESTION: Are environments
 3
           stored on Windstream easier to access than
 4
           environments, typically speaking, that are not stored
 5
           on Windstream?
 6
                             I haven't gotten into those
 7
           environments in -- or I don't think I ever logged
 8
           into Windstream so I can't speak to that
 9
           particularly."
10
     BY MS. SMITH:
11
          So to recap, you don't test anymore and haven't done so
12
     in decade, true?
13
          I have not tested in quite some time.
14
          Could you repeat your answer to the prior question,
15
     please? Madam court reporter could not hear you.
16
          I have not tested in --
17
          She still can't hear you.
18
          I have my volume turned down.
19
                   MS. SMITH: Should I ask the question again?
20
                   THE WITNESS: I have not tested in some time.
21
     BY MS. SMITH:
2.2
          And that's about a decade, true?
23
          I can't remember the last time. It's been quite some
24
     time.
25
          That would have been when you were a QA engineer in 2008,
```

```
1
     right?
 2
          Yes, as far as full testing, or a manager.
 3
          And your managers test or work with that piece of testing
 4
     more, correct?
 5
      Α
          That's correct.
 6
          And you can't tell us the details, the day-to-day
 7
     details, of QA such as whether the PeopleSoft QA team still
 8
     uses QA groups, right?
 9
          To my knowledge, we don't use QA groups today.
10
                               I'd like to read from
                   MS. SMITH:
11
     Ms. Davenport's deposition, page 129, lines 18, through 130,
12
     line 8.
13
                   MS. SAMPLIN:
                                 I would object that it's not
14
     proper impeachment because her answer was about today.
15
     deposition took place more than a year ago.
16
                               I'll allow it with the understanding
                   THE COURT:
17
     that this is being presented as part of a question.
18
                               "QUESTION:
                                           I understand if you
                   MS. SMITH:
19
           might not be able to -- to tell me the day-to-day of
20
           a particular fix, but I'm asking just as a matter of
21
           practice. Does the PeopleSoft QA team still use QA
2.2
           groups today, in general?
23
                             Again, I -- I don't -- I am not
24
           that level of -- or not at that level right now.
25
           a lot more -- work at a higher level. Sorry.
```

```
1
                   THE WITNESS: And I do have a point of
 2
     clarification --
 3
                   MS. SMITH:
                               There's no question pending.
 4
     There's no question pending.
                   MS. SAMPLIN: Well, I would object then, because
 5
 6
     it wasn't part of a question, it was impeachment.
 7
                   THE COURT:
                               I'll allow her to clarify as a
 8
     result of the reading of that deposition portion, and you may
 9
     ask that question, Ms. Smith.
10
     BY MR. SMITH:
11
          Is there something after me reading your deposition
12
     testimony that you need to clarify?
13
      Α
          Yes, there is. QA grouping is a development tool that
14
     they used to use. We don't use it. We test no matter what.
15
               A QA grouping is a QA grouping that you would have
16
     to really talk to Jim about.
17
               We have to test every single client in every single
18
     client's environment no matter what, and, as I testified to
19
     earlier, in some cases we test them twice.
20
          So you do or don't know the details of the QA groups?
21
          I don't know a lot about it. It's really a Dev thing
2.2
     that they use -- or used to use.
23
          And when you say Jim would know, are you referring to Jim
24
     Benge?
25
          That is correct, the developer.
```

- 1 Q If we could turn to Exhibit 19 in your binder, please.
- 2 A **Okay**.
- 3 Q You received this e-mail from Jim Benge on November 13th,
- 4 | 2019, correct?
- 5 A I'm sorry, 19? Mine is a --
- 6 Q Oh, it's 18.
- 7 A Oh, 18 is our test plan for me.
- 8 O DTX Exhibit 19?
- 9 A There we go. You have tabs numbered, and so that's what
- 10 | I was assuming you were referring to.
- 11 Q I was, but there are Oracle numbers and Rimini numbers
- 12 | that might overlap.
- 13 | A **Okay**.
- 14 Q So the Oracle numbers are OREX, and Rimini's numbers are
- 15 **DTX.**
- 16 A Okay. They don't correspond with their tabs here.
- Okay. So this --
- 18 Q So let me ask the question again because I got the date
- 19 wrong.
- You received this e-mail from Jim Benge on
- 21 November 13th, 2018, regarding the PeopleSoft injunction
- 22 | compliance notice, correct?
- 23 A That is correct. I'm in the "to" or cc.
- 24 Q And the only changes made to PeopleSoft services by
- 25 Rimini, according to this e-mail, are to stop using Code

- 1 | Analyzer and DevReview tools, true?
- 2 A That's correct.
- 3 Q There were no changes referenced as it relates to
- 4 testing, correct?
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 Q You testified on your direct that the Apply Update logs
- 7 | show that testing was done in a customer's environment. Do
- 8 you recall that?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q But the Apply Update tool only shows that the file is
- 11 placed in the custom's development or QA environment, and then
- 12 the testing is done remotely by QA engineers. Isn't that
- 13 true?
- 14 A Yes. We go in and VPN into a client's specific
- 15 | environment and test it.
- 16 Q And so the Apply Update logs only show that the file was
- 17 updated to the QA environment, right?
- 18 A And it shows which client and what was applied.
- 19 Q And to get any more information about the actual QA
- 20 | testing, you would have to look outside of that Apply Update
- 21 log, correct?
- 22 A You would need to look in Spira, yes, or the environment
- 23 **spreadsheet**.
- 24 Q You also testified this morning about unit testing. Do
- 25 | you recall that?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 | Q And you don't personally know if unit testing took place
- 3 | for any of the matters that you discussed this morning, is
- 4 that true?
- 5 A I don't personally know, but it is our process.
- 6 Q And you also didn't identify any documents in your
- 7 testimony this morning that show unit testing, correct?
- 8 A No. You would need to talk with a developer about that.
- 9 Q And who would be the best person to talk to? Would that
- 10 | be Jim Benge?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 O If there were any records of unit testing, Rimini would
- 13 | have provided them in this proceeding, correct?
- 14 MS. SAMPLIN: Objection, lacks foundation.
- 15 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 16 BY MS. SMITH:
- 17 Q You have also testified that Rimini uses Spira, or Spira
- as I've been calling it in my head, to record and track the
- 19 results of its QA testing processes for its PeopleSoft
- 20 | software updates, correct?
- 21 A As I stated this morning or earlier, it's a step-by-step
- 22 | list of tests that they do, that they record the test cases,
- 23 pass or fail.
- 24 O So does it or does it not record and track the results of
- 25 | the QA testing process?

- A It records the pass or fail of each of the tests.
- 2 Q But it doesn't, for example, indicate what tests were
- done individually and what the results are, is that true?
- 4 A If you looked at some of the second pages that were
- 5 | noted, it tells you what each case is, and you can drill in
- 6 and tell what is tested for that case.
- 7 Q And that's not consistently kept in the Spira record,
- 8 right?

- 9 A No. That's a process, is to list the test cases and what
- 10 | each test case is.
- 11 Q Do you think there are some Spira records that don't have
- 12 | that level of detail?
- 13 A There could be maybe a minor change that's kind of
- 14 intuitive.
- 15 Q If you could look at DTX-200, please. And these are
- 16 screenshots from a Spira record for Matheson Trucking,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A **Uh-huh**.
- 19 Q Yes, this is a bundle test claim, and you contend that
- 20 | this Spira record shows that Rimini tested its bundle for
- 21 | Matheson Trucking, and based on the other e-mails, that was
- 22 | after the informal delivery, correct?
- 23 A That is correct.
- 24 Q And the execution date for this test is April 4th, 2019,
- 25 correct?

- A That is correct.
- 2 Q And that's over two months after Rimini informally
- 3 delivered HCM200049 to Matheson Trucking in January of 2019.
- 4 | Is that true?
- 5 A Yes.

11

- 6 Q Is it also true that HCM200049 is not mentioned in any of
- 7 | the five pages of the Spira screenshots that are in DTX-200?
- 8 A That is true. And, if you don't mind, I'll clarify.
- 9 The bundle test is a list of individual updates, and 10 we have documentation that goes to each client that has a list

of what that client is getting, the objects, the update IDs.

- And so we don't specify in a bundle test by naming
 each of the test cases. We look at the object list in the
 documentation for every specific client.
- So, in that case, we wouldn't see a Spira entry for HCM200049, is that true?
- 17 A No, you would have a step to review all the objects.
- 18 Q And the objects would be in individual Spira records?
- 19 A They're the individual Spira tests as well as the list of
- 20 | the test -- I mean, the list of the objects, sorry, by HCM
- 21 number in the client-specific documentation.
- 22 Q And if you look at this Spira record, under Estimated
- 23 Duration, there's nothing under hours, and the actual duration
- 24 of this testing took zero hours. Do you see that?
- 25 A **Yes**.

- And there are quite a lot of steps that need to be taken that are identified in DTX-200 all the way up to -- from 1 to
- 3 **21, correct?**
- 4 A Yes.

- 5 Q If you could turn to DTX-400, please.
 - Do you recognize this as a Spira record for Smead getting the rsi19p02 bundle, correct?
- 8 A That is correct.
- 9 Q And the execution date for this test in the Spira record 10 is April 4th, 2019, correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And, again, that's two months after Rimini informally
- delivered that update to Matheson Trucking -- excuse me, to
- 14 | Smead in January of 2019, correct?
- 15 A That is correct. This is a bundle test so, again, an
- 16 informal delivery is giving them an object. An individual
- 17 update -- I mean, a bundle test is a group of individual
- 18 updates so it is going to (unintelligible).
- 19 Q And there are no Spira records showing that Rimini tested
- 20 | HCM200049 in Smead's client environment before the informal
- 21 | testing -- before the informal delivery was delivered.
- 22 A Can you state that again, please?
- 23 Q **Sure**.
- 24 A I'm not following your question.
- 25 Q You did not identify a Spira record showing that Rimini

- tested HCM200049 in Smead's client environment before

 delivering the informal update in January 2019, correct?
- A No. You have this sales case that stated that we gave
 them the informal update. Jim stated that. We did test it as
 an individual update for a formal individual update, and we
- So my question, though, was with reference to informal delivery.
- 9 A Okay.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 2 So putting aside formal delivery, you did not identify a
 11 Spira record showing that Rimini tested HCM200049 in Smead's
 12 client environment before delivering the informal update in
 13 January of 2019, true?
- 14 A That's not our process.

tested it again as a bundle.

- Q My question, though, is whether you identified any Spira record showing that Rimini tested that update in Smead's client environment before informally delivering the update to Smead in January of 2019, correct?
- A QA does not test the informal update. We test a formal individual. So we would not have tested the informal delivery within unit testing.
- Q And you also didn't provide any documentation showing that Rimini tested the update in Smead's client environment before the informal delivery, right?
- 25 A You would have to talk to Jim.

- 1 Q And is that Jim Benge?
- 2 A That is correct, sorry.
- 3 Q But, again, the Spira records are QA records that are
- 4 part of your departmental responsibilities, right?
- 5 A Yes, and we do individual tests and bundles.
- 6 O And in this instance --
- 7 A Formal.
- 8 Q -- you can't identify a Spira record showing that Rimini
- 9 tested the update in Smead's client environment before the
- 10 informal delivery, correct?
- 11 A No. QA does not test an informal delivery, so we would
- 12 | not have a Spira record.
- 13 Q So it's true that you don't have a Spira record, right?
- 14 A We have it for an individual and a bundle.
- 15 Q I would like you to answer my question because I think
- 16 | it's a simple -- I think it's a simple one.
- You cannot identify a Spira record showing that
- 18 Rimini tested the update in Smead's environment before
- 19 delivering the informal update in January of 2019, correct?
- 20 A QA will not have a Spira test plan for an informal
- 21 **delivery**.
- 22 Q So your answer is yes, you did not identify a Spira
- 23 record.
- 24 A That is correct.
- 25 Q And we talked a little bit about this, and you testified

- to it on your direct, but Rimini's quality assurance engineers

 tests Rimini's updates in its customer's software environments

 by remotely connecting to each environment to ensure that the

 updates function correctly, right?
 - A That is correct.

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q And you agree that there are at least two requirements to Rimini's QA processes, one, a QA engineer remotely connects to a customer's environment, and, two, ensures that the updates function correctly, right?
- 10 A That's correct.
 - Q You stated in your direct examination that Rimini unit tested the HCM200049 update for Spherion, Smead, and Matheson in each customer's environment before delivering the update to those customers in January of 2019, correct?
 - A Can you repeat that? I think I'm getting caught in your terminology. I'm sorry. Because you called it a unit test.
 - Q Yes. This question relates only to unit testing which you testified about this morning.
 - You stated in your direct examination that Rimini unit tested the HCM200049 update for Spherion, Smead, and Matheson in each customer's environment before delivering the update to those customers in January of 2019, correct?
- 23 A **That** --
- MS. SAMPLIN: Objection, that misstates the direct testimony.

THE COURT: Well, she asked if that was correct. 1 2 I think the witness said yes. MS. SMITH: 3 THE WITNESS: I actually said "that." 4 MS. SMITH: Oh, excuse me. 5 THE WITNESS: That's okay. 6 Would you like me to go ahead? 7 MS. SMITH: I think there's an objection --8 there's an objection pending for the judge, I think. 9 THE COURT: Rephrase the question and let's go 10 back to it. 11 MS. SMITH: Sure. 12 BY MS. SMITH: 13 This morning you talked about unit testing being done by 14 the development engineers, correct? 15 That is correct. 16 But in your testimony this morning about unit testing, Q 17 you did not identify who unit tested this HCM200049 update for 18 Matheson Trucking, right? 19 You have to talk with Jim Benge about (unintelligible) 20 the Dev group. 21 Would the same be true for Spherion? 2.2 Α Yes. 23 And would the same be true for Smead? 24 Α Yes. 25 You also did not identify when the unit testing took

```
place, right?
 1
 2
               You would need to talk with Jim Benge.
 3
          And, likewise, you didn't testify about the length of
 4
     time for the unit testing, correct?
 5
          No. Again, you would need talk with Jim Benge.
 6
          Okay. Please take a look at OREX 81.
 7
               Are you with me?
 8
      Α
          Yes.
 9
          You received this e-mail in OREX 81, correct?
10
          Yes, ma'am.
      Α
11
          There's a comment section, it says,
      Q
12
                  "Hi, Maureen, an informal update, HCM200049,
13
          is now available to address this case. You can pick
14
          up the changes from the following folder in your
15
          development machine," and then it identifies the
16
          development machine.
17
                   Do you see that?
18
      Α
          Yes.
          And if we look at Exhibit 402, it is the Apply Update log
19
20
     for the Smead matter; DTX-402, excuse me.
21
      Α
          Okay.
2.2
          Do you know what computer language the Apply Update is
23
     written in?
24
          No, ma'am, I do not.
25
          And what the date in this Apply Update log that
```

- 1 HCM200049 was applied -- or, excuse me, was delivered to 2 Smead?
 - A This is when it was applied, and it was applied on January 29th of 2019.
 - Q I'm sorry, could you repeat that?
- A Sure. This report tells when it was applied to the environment, and it was applied on 1/29 of 2019.
- 8 Q And that's four days after the informal delivery was made 9 to Smead, correct?
- 10 A (Inaudible) formal.

4

- 11 Q I think we missed the first part of your answer.
- 12 Could you state the whole answer again, I'm sorry.
- 13 A That's okay. I'm sorry it's going in and out.
- The answer is yes, it was tested and applied after the informal delivery on this log.
- 16 Q Can you tell me from looking at this log how long the 17 Apply Update process took?
- A Yes. If you go to the very top, it will tell you 11:45
 is when it began, and then if you go to the very end, we
 can -- it looks like it ran in about a second, it finished
- 21 about 11:45 and 44 seconds.
- Q Okay. So switching gears to Matheson Trucking, DTX-201 was the Apply Update log that you identified for that client,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A **Yes.**

- 1 Q And, in this case, can you tell me when the Apply Update
- 2 tool updated the HCM200049 file to Matheson Trucking?
- A HCM200049 was applied on January 29th, 2019, at 9:11 and
- 4 42 seconds.
- 5 Q And that's approximately one day after the informal
- 6 delivery to Matheson Trucking, correct?
- 7 A Yes. I don't have the other date in front of me, but I
- 8 believe so.
- 9 Q Let's look at Exhibit DTX-205, please. And you testified
- about this e-mail in your direct examination. Do you recall
- 11 that?
- 12 A **Yes.**
- 13 Q And if we go down to the bottom, there's a comment in the
- 14 e-mail from Mark Miszewski. Do you see that?
- 15 A **Yes.**
- 16 Q And he works for Matheson Trucking, correct?
- 17 A That is correct.
- 18 Q And his comment was, "The files were successfully
- 19 retrieved and tested," right?
- 20 A That is correct.
- 21 Q And it's possible that what he was referring to is that
- 22 Matheson, once they retrieved the update, tested it
- 23 successfully.
- 24 A That's what he's stating, they successfully retrieved it
- 25 and tested the update.

- 1 Q So that would indicate that Rimini didn't test it, right?
- 2 A Actually, as I've stated before, it was an informal
- 3 delivery, and it was unit tested.
- 4 | Q Now, I'd like to talk about the Spherion case you also
- 5 testified to this morning. Do you recall that?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q If you could turn to OREX_82, please. And you're copied
- 8 on this e-mail, correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And if you go down to the comment section, it has the
- 11 same information as was provided to Smead and Matheson
- 12 Trucking but indicating that an informal update, HCM200049, is
- 13 | now available to address this case, correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And so Rimini provided this update to Spherion on
- 16 | January 25th, 2019, right?
- 17 A As an informal?
- 18 Q Correct.
- 19 I'm sorry. I thought you were asking me a question.
- 20 | That was your answer.
- 21 A Oh, no. I was just making a statement. Yes, as an
- 22 informal.
- 23 Q Okay. Thank you.
- 24 If you could turn to DTX-403 and DTX-404, those are
- 25 | the two apply update logs relating to Spherion, correct?

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q And what's the date of this Apply Update log for DTX-403?
- 3 A The first one is on January 23rd of 2019.
- 4 Q And you testified on your direct that this particular log
- 5 | indicated that HCM200049 failed to apply, right?
- 6 A That is correct.
- 7 Q And this means that the update failed to apply to the QA
- 8 environment, is that true?
- 9 A That is correct. This log is showing that the package
- 10 | failed to apply.
- 11 Q In looking at the log file in DTX-403, do you see any
- 12 reference to rsi940a.sqr?
- 13 A I don't think you've given me enough information on this
- 14 one. No, it looks like it stopped -- no, I don't on this one.
- But you can see in the statement "files not found,"
- 16 | there's something wrong with their package. So my guess would
- 17 | be that it didn't even have it in there, it's missing the DMS
- 18 (unintelligible) SQR, because it looks like it has the -- oh,
- 19 | there it is. I'm so sorry. It's in the middle.
- 20 So it has two GIF files.
- 21 Q Correct.
- 22 A Rsi940a.sqr -- oh, on the right, sorry. That one is
- 23 missing the SQR in that one.
- 24 And then if you look at the right, it has the SQR,
- but it still doesn't have the DMS. See, here in the bottom it

- 1 says file not found for HCM200049_U.dms.
- 2 Q And that's with respect to a Code After issue, right?
 - A That means that the file is missing from the package in staging.
 - Q If you could look at DTX-404, please.

And in this Apply Update log it has the same issue in that the delivery was not successful, correct?

A It's not that delivery wasn't successful, what this means is that the Apply log was not successful, it failed to run.

So, in this situation, my QA engineer is going to go back to Dev and say the files are missing from the package, can you please put them in.

But since they have already run the Apply tool twice, they are not going to run it a third time. They're going to go in and manually apply it after the developer has corrected the bundle.

- Q And what's failed to apply is that the update has failed to apply to the QA environment, true?
- 19 A True. But it doesn't mean it won't be tested.
- Q Right. It would be manually applied and then tested by a
- 21 QA engineer remoting in, right?
- 22 A Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q Could you tell from the logs in 403 and 404 who was the
- 24 QA engineer?
- 25 A Yes, ma'am. If you'll go to the top of it, please, it

- was one of my QA engineers -- I apologize, I can't say his
 first name, but his user ID is E-l-e-f-l-a-b-h-a.
 - Q And did you talk to this person about this Apply Update log, or about both apply update logs failing?
 - A manager would not need to be involved in this. What would happen is my QA engineer would be able to realize what the problem is. It says right there "problem found."

So they, themselves, will go back and talk to the developer and get it corrected. He cannot release this to go to a client until he has a successful test. So -- which we know he did in Spira record (unintelligible) I'm sure. I don't know personally, but I'd have to go look.

But it's our practice that these -- this QA engineer has to go talk with the developer, get the package corrected, and have the package (unintelligible) all of our tests before it can be delivered.

- Q Except for informal deliveries, right?
- A Again, we don't test informal deliveries. You'd have to talk to Jim Benge.
- 20 Q I'd like to draw your attention to DTX-1004, and I
 21 believe you discussed this on your direct examination this
 22 morning.
- 23 A Uh-huh, yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

24 Q And, I'm sorry, tell me again what you call this type of 25 report?

- A Sure. It's an actual Excel spreadsheet. It's called an environment spreadsheet.
 - Q And why is it called an environment spreadsheet?
- A It's a list of environments that we are testing for a

 particular case. In this situation, it's HCM200105, and this

 particular one is a list of new clients that come onboard with
- 8 Q The document itself doesn't indicate that it's related to
- 10 A It actually gives you the status of testing.
 - While it doesn't have the test steps on here, it does tell you that these files in green have been successfully tested.
 - Q But how do you know from this spreadsheet what fix or update is being tested?
 - A If you go back to the metadata, it tells you HCM2 -- I believe it was 200105, so it's the name of the spreadsheet down there, see HCM200105? And it tells you it's Rimini clients.
 - Q The environment spreadsheet doesn't indicate when the testing would have occurred, does it?
- 22 A That would be in Spira.

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Rimini Street.

testing HCM200105, does it?

No, it does not have a date on it. It's telling
that you that it was tested and it's completed. Again, it's a
tool we use to stay organized.

- 1 Q It also doesn't indicate whether the test plan, if there
 2 was one for an update, was followed, correct?
- A No. You would need to go to Spira to look at that. But it does tell you it was followed because it's our -- it's our process, our procedure is to complete the testing with Spira test plan and then mark the spreadsheet complete. So it is part of the process.
 - Q I'm just a little confused because you testified that
 Spira was the place to record and track the results of the QA
 testing processes, and this is not a Spira record.
 - A Spira, like I said this morning, is a list of tasks or steps that you go through to test an environment. This tool is more of a team tool to stay organized.

So once they have completed their testing in Spira, and have completed all their steps, they're going to come update this spreadsheet. They're also going to put notes on it.

- Q And are those notes repeated in Spira?
- 19 A They can be, yes. It depends on what the issue is.
 - Q If you could turn to OREX 371, please.

And, in the meantime, I just have one follow-up question on what we were talking about.

23 A **Sure**.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

2.2

- 24 Q Would you expect to see a Spira record for each customer?
- 25 A For an individual update and for a bundle you would see a

```
1
     Spira test plan.
 2
      0
          Thank you.
 3
               You recognize OREX 371 as an e-mail for which you
     received a copy, correct?
 4
 5
      Α
          That is correct.
 6
          And this e-mail --
      0
 7
                               I'm sorry. Your Honor, I would move
                   MS. SMITH:
 8
     to admit OREX 371.
 9
                   MS. SAMPLIN: Objection.
                                             This e-mail is about
10
     five years prior to the injunction that's at issue in this
11
     case so we would object on relevance grounds, also on
12
     foundation, she's not on this document.
13
                   THE COURT: Ms. Smith?
14
                   MS. SMITH: Your Honor, she just said she
15
     recognized the document and got a copy of it, and that was
16
     established at her deposition. So I could read that
17
     testimony, but I think it's unnecessary.
18
                   Additionally, the witness has testified that
19
     there have been no changes in testing since the injunction was
20
     issued and therefore a practice or an event in 2015 is
21
     relevant.
2.2
                   THE COURT: All right. I'm not going to allow
23
     it at this time. It would have to be -- the objection is
24
     sustained.
25
                               I have no further questions, your
                   MS. SMITH:
```

1 Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. Redirect examination? 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SAMPLIN: 4 5 Hi, Ms. Davenport. 6 Hi. Α 7 I just have a few questions for you. 8 Α Okay. 9 Could you please pull up DTX-200 which you were shown on cross-examination, and at the top right you were pointed to 10 11 the actual duration field. Do you see that? 12 I do. I'm glad you brought this up. I was going to 13 mention that earlier. 14 We did have an issue with our tool. As we all know, 15 you go through the test cases, there's quite a few. Even if 16 you walk through and click "pass" on every single one, it is 17 going to take a few seconds. 18 We did get this fixed, I do believe, but, there was an issue with the time, or duration time there. 19 20 So you mean the identified zero for actual duration would 21 not have been accurate, an accurate reflection of the time 2.2 taken for this test? 23 And honestly, I do not remember why it didn't work, 24 but I do know for a period of time it was showing zero, even 25 though we had times to put pass on every single one of the

- test cases and also in some cases of comment.
- 2 So we knew there was time that was spent on this
- 3 test plan, but for some reason the total is still showing
- 4 zero.

- 5 Q You were asked on your cross-examination about Spira
- 6 records for informal deliveries, and you testified that Spira
- 7 records do not exist for informal deliveries. Do you recall
- 8 | that testimony?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Can you explain to the Court why Spira records do not
- 11 | exist for informal deliveries?
- 12 A My team doesn't test informal deliveries. That's done by
- Jim's team in the Dev environment.
- 14 Once it gets to QA, and we have the package, that's
- when the test plan is in the system for an individual update
- or a bundle (unintelligible).
- 17 Q And that's when you would see a record in Spira; is that
- 18 correct?
- 19 | A Correct.
- 20 On your cross-examination you were also asked about your
- 21 day-to-day managers who are in the trenches overseeing
- 22 testing. Do you recall being asked about that?
- 23 A **Yes.**
- 24 Q Do those managers report to you?
- 25 A **They do.**

1 How often do you communicate with your managers, 2 approximately? 3 It depends on the week. It will be daily, sometimes 4 multiple times a day. Weekly we have a scheduled meet 5 one-on-one, but it doesn't mean I don't talk to them. 6 e-mail me every single day. 7 And does your QA team use the Apply Update tool? 8 Α We do. 9 Based on your experience and Rimini's QA group, do you 10 know now to read the Apply Update logs? 11 I helped create them. Α I do. 12 Does your QA team use environment spreadsheets? 13 Α We do, yes. 14 And based on your experience in Rimini's QA group, do you 15 know how to read environment spreadsheets? 16 Yes. Α 17 Does your QA team use and create Spira test plans? 18 Yes, we do. Α 19 And based on your experience in Rimini's QA group, do you 20 know how to read Spira test plans? 21 Yes, I do. Α 2.2 MS. SAMPLIN: Thank you. No further questions. 23 I have no further questions, your MS. SMITH: 24 Honor.

There being no further questions of

THE COURT:

1	you, Ms. Davenport, your testimony will be completed at this
2	time.
3	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you, Judge.
4	THE COURT: Thank you.
5	MR. MCCRACKEN: Your Honor, our next witness is
6	Professor Owen Astrachan.
7	THE COURT: All right.
8	OWEN ASTRACHAN called as a witness on behalf of the Defendant,
9	was sworn and testified as follows:
10	THE CLERK: Thank you.
11	Please state your name for the record.
12	THE WITNESS: My name is Owen Astrachan.
13	THE CLERK: Can you please spell your last name.
14	THE WITNESS: A-s-t-r-a-c-h-a-n.
15	THE CLERK: Thank you.
16	MR. McCRACKEN: Good morning, Professor.
17	THE WITNESS: Good morning, Mr. McCracken.
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. McCRACKEN:
20	Q Could you please introduce yourself to the Court.
21	A My name is Owen Astrachan. I live in Chapel Hill, North
22	Carolina. I have a family with two kids. And I am a
23	Professor at Duke University.
24	Q What are you a professor of, sir?
25	A I'm a professor of computer science.

- 1 Q And why are you here today?
- 2 A I'm here to offer my opinions as an expert in computer
- 3 | science and software, and to respond to Ms. Frederiksen-Cross'
- 4 opinions.
- 5 Q Did you prepare some demonstratives to help assist your
- 6 | testimony today?
- 7 A Yes, I did.
- 8 | Q Please tell us, if you would, about your educational
- 9 background.
- 10 A I have a Bachelor of Arts degree in Mathematics that I
- 11 | earned with some honors. Then I became a high school teacher,
- 12 which I was for seven years, and I have a Master of Arts in
- 13 teaching.
- 14 Then I went back to graduate school and got a Master
- of Science and a Ph.D. in computer science. Those were all in
- Duke; not my undergraduate degree, that was from someplace
- 17 else.
- 18 Q Where is that from, sir?
- 19 A That was from Dartmouth.
- 20 | Q How long have you been a computer science professor?
- 21 A I've been a computer science professor for a little more
- 22 | than 30 years at Duke.
- 23 Q What classes do you teach?
- 24 A Over those 30 years I've taught many different classes.
- 25 | I've taught classes in programming languages, advanced

- software design, mobile or phone software design, the first course, computer science 101, data structures and algorithms, technical and social foundations of the internet; many courses.
 - Q How many students attend your classes?
- A At Duke computer science is now a very popular course.
- 7 This semester, for example, I have 440 students in my course,
 8 last fall I had 500. Many students take our courses.
- 9 O How many students have you taught computer science to
- 10 over the course of your career?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 11 A I've taught thousands and thousands of students. I did
 12 the calculation two years ago, and I had taught approximately
 13 a quarter of the graduating class at Duke.
- 14 Q Have you won any awards?
 - A I've won several awards. Some of those have to do with funding the work I do. So I've won an IBM research award and an NSF career award, which is the award that people try to get to fund their research when they start as a professor. Those were for introducing concepts related to software design in industry into an academic enterprise.
 - I've won several teaching awards, some at Duke. One was an international award for outstanding contributions to computer science education.
 - Q You said one of the awards was for software design in industry. Can you tell us more about that.

- 1 A My NSF career award was an award for introducing
- 2 practices from industry into an academic enterprise, and the
- 3 | IBM career award was a similar award for a different aspect of
- 4 | software design in industry.
- 5 Q So are you knowledgeable about software design practices
- 6 | in the industry?
- 7 A Yes, I am.
- 8 Q And that was the subject of your award?
- 9 A Yes, that's correct.
- 10 Q Have you authored any textbooks?
- 11 A I did. I authored a textbook called A Computer Science
- 12 Tapestry that had two editions.
- 13 O And what is that book about?
- 14 A That was called A Computer Science Tapestry: Exploring
- 15 Computer Science with C++, so it was a textbook. The language
- 16 | was C++.
- 17 Q And has that textbook been used in any courses?
- 18 A When textbooks were in vogue back the late '90s and early
- 19 | 2000s, that was a best selling textbook. It was used
- 20 extensively.
- 21 Q Was it used by other professors?
- 22 A Yes, it was used in 30, 40, 50 different colleges.
- 23 Q Do you have any experience, yourself, developing
- 24 | software?
- 25 A I do have experience developing software.

- Q Can you describe that experience for us, please.
- 2 A When I first started as a graduate student, another
- 3 student and I had an informal software company where we
- 4 developed software for neuroscience professors in the medical
- 5 center for them to perform experiments with. That included
- 6 writing Windows code and logging the events that they saw
- 7 | their subjects happen to.
- 8 I also wrote what might have been one of the first
- 9 basketball bracket picking programs when we first got delivery
- of a Sun work station to allow all the employees and students
- 11 at Duke to pick their basketball brackets.
- 13 A Absolutely. Because at that point computers had not had
- 14 Windows environments, and, yes, you were allowed to pick your
- 15 | March bracket, and we tracked who was winning.
- 16 Q What year was that?
- 17 A That was in the late '80s, early '90s.
- 18 Q Do you have a specialty within the field of computer
- 19 | science?

- 20 A In many ways I'm a generalist in computer science, but I
- 21 | work to incorporate both best practices from a pedagogical
- 22 | standpoint and from an industry standpoint, when those are
- 23 relevant, into our courses so that our students can succeed.
- 24 Q Do you have any expertise in software industry and best
- 25 practices?

2.2

- A Yes. I mentioned that I'd won two awards that funded my research and understanding into industry best practices.
 - Q What are some of the things you teach your students about best practices for development?
 - A These days, one of the practices we talk about is that you're likely going to be working on a team, and when you're working on a team, you have to ensure that your software is written to conform to standard guidelines and principles and conventions, since others may be using your work, and that adhering to these conventions is an important part of your software. Of course, you want it to be correct, too, but you want it to be well written as well.
- 13 Q When you say well written and adhering to conventions, 14 what do you mean?
 - A There are conventions about the names that developers would use in their programs, both for variables and what we call functions, or the names of the programs themselves, that those names should be indicative of what they do, not a favorite color or character in a movie, for example, but, rather, germane and relevant to the purpose of the variables, functions, and programs themselves.
 - Q Aside from the textbook we talked about, do you have any publications in the computer science field?
- 24 A Yes. I've published extensively, especially in the area 25 of computer science education. I have more than 20

- publications in that venue, hundreds of presentations and workshops that I've that conducted, and that's ongoing.
- 3 Q Do you teach any online courses?
- A I'm part of a team of four at Duke that has delivered an online course for Coursera on Java programming.
- 6 Q How many students have you taught through your online 7 Coursera course?
- A Although I don't know all of the students, over 500,000 students have taken our course, so it's rather extensive and worldwide.
- 11 Q What's that course about?
- 12 A That's a course on introductory programming using
 13 JavaScript, HTML, and then Java which are different languages
 14 that people use to program computers or create Web pages.
- 15 Q How many computer languages do you know?
- 16 A I know ten or more languages. I program these days
 17 extensively in C, C++, Java and Python, but over time I've
 18 programmed in many more languages.
- 19 Q Have you been qualified as an expert before in 20 litigation?
- 21 A Yes, I have.
- 22 | Q In what fields have you been qualified as an expert?
- 23 A In fields related to software, patent and copyright
- 24 related to software.
- 25 | Q Are you an expert in reading code?

- 1 A I would say I am, yes.
- 2 Q Have you testified before as an expert witness in federal
- 3 | court?
- 4 A Yes, I have.
- 5 Q I want to talk to you about your involvement in this 6 litigation.
- When did you first start working on this litigation
 between Oracle and Rimini?
- 9 A I was first retained in late 2017.
- 10 Q And do you recall as a part of which of the cases between these parties were you retained at that time?
- 12 A That was part of Rimini II. I had no involvement in what
 13 I understand is called Rimini I.
- 14 Q And by that you mean the earlier trial in 2015?
- 15 A That's correct.
- So this hearing concerns postinjunction proceedings in what is still called Rimini I although much later.
- What was your assignment as it concerns this postinjunction proceeding?
- 20 A My assignment was to respond to Ms. Frederiksen-Cross'
 21 reports that she had written, and to write about what the
 22 injunction had to say.
- 23 | Q Now, what issues are you here to talk about today?
- 24 A I know I have a demonstrative about this -- and I can't see that here.

- Q Let's see if we can get it up on the screen.

 We can all see it, Professor, but you haven't seen
- A Well, I knew you could, but -- I was reasonably confident
 I remembered what was in the early slides.
- 6 Q Do you see it now?
- 7 A Not yet. Now I do.
- 8 THE CLERK: You do now?

any of the slides so far, huh?

- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 10 BY MR. McCRACKEN:
- 11 Q All right. So, Professor, can you tell us -- mine went
- 12 away.

- 13 A Mine did, too.
- Q Can you tell us what topics you're going to discuss
- 15 today?
- 16 A I grouped them into four areas. Issue one is isolated
 17 PeopleSoft files sent to Rimini by clients.
- 18 Issue 5 is the rspcmpay COBOL file.
- 19 Q That's the one with the -- where Ms. Frederiksen-Cross
- 20 | alleged there was matching code between a Rimini file and an
- 21 Oracle file?
- 22 A Yes. That rscpmpay incorporated protected expression
- 23 from an Oracle file PSPTARRY.
- 24 Q That was her allegation.
- 25 A That's correct.

Q Okay. Please continue.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

A Issue 9 is the JDE technical specification issue where

Ms. Frederiksen-Cross contends that JDE code has been

incorporated into a Rimini technical document.

And then the other issues are related to derivative works and cross-use, and those are issues 3, 4, 6, and 10.

Three is the W2 update for Johnson Controls, 4 is the rsi940a.sqr update to Smead and Spherion, Issue 6 is 1099 update for Easter Seals, and then Issue 10 is the risqtrtx.sqr update for Rockefeller Group and Home Shopping Network.

Q And I see that you're opining on Issue 9 which relates to a JD Edwards technical specification.

Are you offering any opinions today on Issue 7, which is the definition of JD Edwards software source code in the injunction?

- A I am not. I understand that is a legal matter. I'm not offering any opinions on that.
- Q What materials did you review as part of your investigation in this postinjunction proceeding?
- A I reviewed all the materials in Ms. Frederiksen-Cross' report. I reviewed the source code for Rimini's AFW tools, logs and records from the AFW database, Dev instructions and technical specifications written by Rimini personnel, e-mails and other documents concerning development, the Jira database and the Spira database that are about development and quality

assurance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

I reviewed deposition testimony. I reviewed the reports that I had previously written, and I reviewed both Rimini and Oracle code or software files.

Q All right. Let's talk about the first issue which you have as the isolated PeopleSoft files sent by clients.

Ms. Frederiksen-Cross testified at length the first day of trial about files that were sent to Rimini by clients.

What is the takeaway we should gather from that testimony?

A In her testimony I counted that there were four SalesForce cases consisting of eight attached files. There were three e-mails sent by clients to Rimini that consists of 11 files.

So there were a total of 19 PeopleSoft files sent by clients as either attachments to e-mail or attachment to a SalesForce ticket.

- Q Were there only seven total instances that she testified about?
- 19 A That's correct.
- 20 Q Do you consider those 19 files sent to Rimini by clients 21 to be isolated?
- 22 A Yes. I call them isolated because they're not part of 23 any environment. Any environment from which they came were 24 the client's environment.
- 25 Rimini has no environments so these PeopleSoft files

- are isolated. They can't be executed because Rimini has no
 PeopleSoft environments. They can't be run. They can't be
- 3 used because they are isolated from the environments from
- 4 which they came.
- 5 Q What did Ms. Frederiksen-Cross claim that Rimini did with
- 6 these files?
- A She claimed that they were open when the e-mail or the ticket was open.
- 9 Q And she kept talking about copies. What did you take 10 away from that?
- A Well, I understand that when you read an e-mail, the
 e-mail is in memory, and that's a copy, but that's what I
 understood she was saying.
- 14 Q All right. In her testimony, Ms. Frederiksen-Cross'
 15 testimony about these files on Rimini systems sent by clients,
 16 she showed a series of side-by-side comparisons. Do you
- 17 remember that?
- 18 A **Yes, I do.**
- 20 And the side-by-side comparisons, at least as I recall, showed a great degree of matching between the files. Is that
- 21 your recollection?
- 22 A That is my recollection. I didn't real understand the
 23 purpose of that since it's acknowledged that these are
- 24 PeopleSoft files.
- So, of course, they are PeopleSoft files, meaning

```
that when you compare one that the client sent, for example,
 1
 2
     to one that Oracle has, we would expect them to be 100 percent
 3
     matching, or perhaps very close if one of them had been
     modified in the client environment.
 4
 5
               So I didn't really understand the purpose of saying
 6
     that an Oracle file is an Oracle file because, as I understand
 7
     it, it has been conceded that, yes, clients sent these files
 8
     to Rimini.
 9
          And you would expect if you compare an Oracle file to
     itself it would be a very close match?
10
11
          I would expect it to be a 100 percent match in most
12
     cases, yes.
13
                   MR. McCRACKEN: Your Honor, I'm going to move on
14
     to a long module, should we break now?
15
                   THE COURT: Let's do break, that way we will be
16
     able to reconvene at a little earlier in time. We'll take our
     noon recess and reconvene at 1:15.
17
18
                   MR. McCRACKEN: Thank you, your Honor.
19
                           (The noon recess was taken.)
20
                                 --000--
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

```
RENO, NEVADA, FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 24, 2021, 1:15 P.M.
 1
 2
                                ---000---
 3
 4
                   THE COURT: Have a seat, please.
 5
                   The record will show we are reconvened following
 6
     the noon recess.
 7
                   And, Mr. McCracken, you're welcome to go forward
 8
     with your examination.
 9
                   MR. MCCRACKEN: Thank you, your Honor.
10
     BY MR. MCRACKEN
11
          Welcome back, Professor.
12
          Thank you.
      Α
13
          And we're going to talk some code next, I think.
14
               Can you tell us what this Issue 5 is. It's called
15
     rspcmpay.cbl.
16
          Issue 5 is about that file that you named rspcmpay.cbl.
17
     That is a Rimini file, and Ms. Frederiksen-Cross contends that
18
     it contains protected expression of an Oracle file
19
    psptarry.cbl.
20
          And you call it pstptarry, is it p-s-p-t-a-r-r-y dot
21
     c-b-1?
2.2
                I'm not sure if it's pronounced psp tarry or psp t
23
     array.
24
          Let's go with psptarry for the hearing just so I'm on the
25
    page with you.
```

```
Do you agree with Ms. Frederiksen-Cross that
 1
 2
     Rimini's files substantially incorporates protected material
 3
     from Oracle's file?
 4
          No, I disagree.
 5
                   MR. McCRACKEN: Let's pull up DTX-501, please.
 6
                   And you can turn to it in you binder if you
 7
     would like, Professor.
 8
    BY MR. McCRACKEN:
 9
          Professor, is this the Rimini file, rspcmpay that you
10
     analyzed?
11
          Yes, this is it.
      Α
12
                   MR. McCRACKEN: Let's get DTX-502, please.
13
     BY MR. McCRACKEN:
14
          And, Professor, is DTX-502 the psptarry file that you
15
     analyzed?
16
      Α
          Yes.
          This is the Oracle file?
17
18
          That is correct.
          Now, Ms. Frederiksen-Cross testified about these files
19
20
     and she used Oracle exhibit numbers. Do you recall that?
21
          Yes, I do.
      Α
2.2
          So these exhibits are actually on both parties' lists.
23
     They are identical files with the same bates numbers.
24
     your understanding?
25
          That is my understanding.
```

1 MR. McCRACKEN: Let's pull up, Mr. Jay, if you 2 can, let's pull up DTX-501 and Oracle Exhibit 237, 3 side-by-side if we could, please. BY MR. McCRACKEN: 4 5 All right. And, Professor, it looks like we're looking 6 at rspcmpay on both of these documents. Is that your 7 understanding? 8 Yes, that's correct. Now, these two documents are the same document with the 9 10 same bates, but they look different to me. 11 Yes, they do. Α 12 And why is that? 13 The Rimini document, DTX-0501, is printed in what we 14 called a fixed width font where every character takes up the 15 same amount of space on a line. That's the view that a 16 programmer would see. 17 And the Oracle exhibit on the right, which is the 18 same file, and that's OREX 0237, that's printed with a 19 non-fixed width font. As a result, it looks different, for 20 example, things aren't lined up the same way as we see in the 21 Rimini exhibit. 2.2 MR. McCRACKEN: Let's look at that. Hold on, 23 So can we blow up the bottom of DTX-501. 24 BY MR. McCRACKEN:

Yes, so continue, Professor. What about this is lined up

```
correctly?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- A So we see many columns lined up. For example, COBOL is a column-oriented language. Some statements must begin in specific columns, and we see here that the pic in the middle of the screen, all the Ps and the rest of it, pic, pic, going from the top to bottom, those are lined up.
- We see the value that comes after that on the right-hand side, all the Vs in value line up, and that's the view that a programmer would see with this regular occurrence because it's a fixed-width font.
- 11 Q And when a programmer is looking at this file on his or
 12 her computer, they're not looking at a .pdf, right?
- 13 A That's correct. What we see here in the exhibits is the
 14 .pdf, but a programmer would load it as a text file with this
 15 cbl suffix, and they would see it in a text editor or a
 16 programming environment that typically always uses a
 17 fixed-width font.
 - Q And so when they see it, it will look more like DTX-501?
- 19 A Yes, that's correct. It will look like the Rimini
 20 exhibit.
- 21 MR. McCRACKEN: Okay. Can we get away from this zoom?
- 23 BY MR. McCRACKEN:
- 24 Q So, Professor, how does that visual issue with the fixed-width font affect Ms. Frederiksen-Cross' analysis?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Well, I'll get into the bulk of the analysis but, among other things, looking at a non fixed-width font might lead you to view these asterisks that appear in the section titled Rimini Street Modification Log -- I believe Ms. Frederiksen-Cross used the phrase "orphan asterisks" as though they were hanging out there on the line by themselves, and in fact these aren't orphaned at all. Every one of the asterisks occurs in the last column of a line as we can see in the Rimini exhibit. The asterisks are lined up exactly in that last column. That's the view that a programmer would see. MR. McCRACKEN: And, Mr. Jay, can you just pull up -- show the Oracle Exhibit 237, let's look at the top half. BY MR. McCRACKEN: And, Professor, are those the orphan asterisks you're talking about? In this view they appear almost to occur in some random location. In fact, each of those asterisks on the right is in the last column, and we see that in the Rimini exhibit. So, in other words, they're not really there on this exhibit. That's not what the real file looks like. Well, the asterisks are there, but they don't occur in these random, orphan locations. They occur with great

regularity in the last column.

```
Professor, let's talk about what these files
 1
          All right.
 2
     do, what the Rimini file and what the Oracle do.
 3
               What is the purpose of the Rimini file?
 4
                   MR. McCRACKEN: And, actually, let's just pull
     it up, just 501, please.
 5
 6
                   THE WITNESS: Well, the Rimini file has a
 7
     program description at the top that describes what it does,
 8
     and we can see that it accumulates YTD -- that's year-to-date,
 9
     YTD is a standard abbreviation for year-to-date -- grosses for
10
     common paymasters.
11
                   And I understand that a common paymaster is when
12
     a business might have two organizations within the business,
13
     both organizations pay an employee, and you have to reconcile
14
     withholdings.
15
                   So a common paymaster, as I understand it, is
16
     making sure that your taxes are working properly, and as it
17
     says, this augments TARRY -- that's the Oracle file,
18
     PSPTARRY -- by breaking out this common pay in more detail.
19
                   And then it supplies detail for some states that
     aren't included in this CMPTT, and it stores this data in
20
21
     what's call RSLNK. That is a Rimini-identified part of the
     program, we wouldn't see that in the Oracle file.
2.2
23
                   And then part two, it loads confirmed check data
24
     using a previous checks area of this same Rimini storage area,
25
             So it's doing two things, common paymasters and
     RSLNK.
```

- 1 previous checks.
- 2 BY MR. McCRACKEN:
- 3 Q Does the Oracle file do anything related to the previous
- 4 checks?
- 5 A No. The Oracle file does not process previous checks,
- and because the Rimini file is doing this common paymaster, it
- 7 breaks out data in more detail, in finer detail.
- 8 Q Are these files similar lengths?
- 9 A No. The Oracle file has many, many, many more lines than
- 10 | the Rimini file.
- 11 Q And why is that, Professor?
- 12 A Because these two files do very different things. We've
- just discussed what the Rimini file does, and the Oracle file
- 14 does far more, and I believe there's a demonstrative that
- 15 explains some of these differences.
- 16 MR. McCRACKEN: Let's take this exhibit down and
- 17 | try to go to -- it might be slide 5.
- 18 BY MR. McCRACKEN:
- 19 Q Is this the demonstrative you're referring to, Professor?
- 20 A Yes, this is it.
- 21 O This is DDX-510.
- 22 A And what we see is that, although both programs access
- 23 the same database because it's a database on the client's
- 24 | system storing payment data, that the Oracle file loads
- 25 significantly more data.

And we see that, if we look at the program, it's much lengthier because it loads far more material from the database.

The Rimini file loads significantly less data, thus it's somewhat shorter, or significantly shorter, and it loads different data, as I mentioned, data from previous checks, and, because of the common paymaster, it has to take that year-to-date data and make it finer grained.

And I mentioned that the Rimini file uses this RSLNK area of memory. That's not present in the Oracle file which uses its own area of memory.

- Q Are the files similar only in the sense that they both are loading data from a particular database?
- A They are similar in loading data from the database, and they're similar in loading Y to date -- year-to-date data.
- But that's the extent of their similarities.
 - Q And is the fact that they are both loading data and year-to-date data from the same place going to affect whether the code might be the same in particular places?
 - A In some places we might expect the code to be the same, but, as I think we'll be exploring, that doesn't mean that it's incorporated in protected expression.
 - Q So, Professor, did you analyze these two files to determine whether they are substantially similar?
- 25 A Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q And what is the proper way to analyze these two files for substantial similarity?

 A As I understand it, to determine if there's substantial similarity in a copyright case, we would conduct analytic dissection, a process that I didn't conduct, and we'd see are the matching portions of the two programs being compared, do
 - That's the first step, conducting analytic dissection. And if we found that there was no protected matching expression, we'd see there was no violation, there was no copyright violation.
 - If there were some matching protections, we would look to see do they constitute a substantial portion of the protected work.
 - That's my understanding of the proper way to conduct a copyright case for protected expression.
- 17 Q Are those the two steps that you followed?

they consist of protected expression.

18 A Yes, they are.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 20 All right. Let's talk about the analytic dissection 21 protectible?
- A Well, there are several steps that we need to do to determine if it's protected expression.
- Software, by its nature, is extensive, and an entire software work such as PeopleSoft would properly be

copyrighted.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

So that doesn't mean that each individual line is itself created. So we have to look at those lines to see whether they are in fact protected expression, and I've listed here some of the unprotected elements that should be filtered out.

For example --

- Q Yes, please continue. Can you tell us what unprotected elements you filtered out.
- 10 A Some lines are specific to the programming language. In
 11 this case that would be COBOL, in other languages it might be
 12 different.
 - But the language itself dictates you must use certain terms that are required by the language. Those would be filtered out.
 - Q And so if the language requires you to use certain terms, are those lines of code creative?
- 18 A No, they're not creative because they're required by the 19 language. You can't do it any other way.
- 20 Q All right. Please continue. What other elements did you 21 filter out?
- 22 A Some code is constrained by the function of the software.
- For example, we discussed that both of these programs load
- 24 | information from a database, and because that database is
- 25 | being accessed via a COBOL program, we'd expect the statements

to be the same.

1

2

3

4

5

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

You access a database by using particular, required functions. We sometimes call that an API. And you have no choice, you must use that particular structure and characters, but the names would be the same, it's required.

- Q Are we going to see some examples of that later?
- 7 A Yes, we are.
- 8 Q All right. What's the third unprotectible element you 9 filtered out?
- 10 A Some code is constrained by logic or efficiency.

For example, continuing with the database, you try to connect to a database, it's possible that that connection fails, there's an error. So the logic would dictate you have to handle that error.

And you would expect to see that in programs that use resources like databases. That code would be unprotected because it's constrained by the logic of needing to deal with the database.

- Q And you keep using the word "constrained." Can you just explain for us, you know, what do you mean by the code being constrained.
- A These constraints that dictate a lack of creativity because you must do things a certain way would be filtered out as unprotected expression because the expression that we are looking for in copyright, as I understand it, is protected

2.2

- expression, what would not be constrained, what would be created.
- Q Your bullet 4 says "code constrained by standard programming terms." Can you explain that, please.
- A Yes. In programming languages some terms would be the same across two different programs because they're doing the same thing or similar things. So, again, if it's a standard programming term, we would filter that out as unprotected and uncreative.
- Q And then can you please explain your last constraint on this slide.
- A Programmers learn standard development conventions both when they're in school and on the job. Different programs have different conventions that programmers must follow.

Among those are standard conventions for naming variables, for example. They must have both a form for the variable in terms of whether it's upper case or lower case, and then the naming of those variables would also follow standard conventions.

- Q What do you teach your students at Duke regarding standard conventions for programming?
- A We teach them that those conventions are very important to follow. We have our own conventions in class that we model after industry conventions, And we teach our students part of your grade will be based on have you followed these

conventions correctly, not just does your program work.

And we know our students appreciate that because after they get jobs they come back and say, "Thank you, Professor Astrachan."

- Q Can you just give us an example of a convention or how this is applied?
- A For example, if you were going to access a database using a FETCH command, and that would be a word that's, for example, described in the documentation that tells you what you're going to do, you would call it FETCH. You wouldn't use another name for it because that's what the documentation says.

And when programmers are working together -- or even if a programmer does something and comes back a year later and they see FETCH, they'll say, "Ah, FETCH. I know what I'm doing here."

You wouldn't call it some name like bowtie. That doesn't make any sense at all. That wouldn't be following the convention.

- Q Now, Ms. Frederiksen-Cross talked about I think what she called a normalized line matching analysis. Did I get that right?
- 23 A Normalized line set count, yes.
- 24 | O And what is that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

25 A That's the process that she used to compare these two

1 files.

distinctions.

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

- Q And how does that process work?
- A That process works by taking the lines in each file -- so
 we have two files here, we're looking to see are they
 substantially similar, and, first, you convert them all
 to the same case so that we don't have upper and lower case

We remove extra white space. So if there are four spaces, we would just use one. That way, we have what's called the normalized lines. Some people might call that a canonical or standard form. But normalized is also a word we use in computer science.

And now we take those lines and we treat them as a set. That means they're unordered, they're just a collection of the lines in one program, and we compare those to a collection of lines in the other program, and then we see how many lines they have in common.

And Ms. Frederiksen-Cross uses -- used that number of lines in determining that these programs were similar.

- Q And then you said -- I think you said the word unordered. Can you just explain what that means about these two sets.
- 22 A A set in both programming and mathematics has no order to it. It's a collection.
 - So, for example, if we took a line from the beginning of the file and a line from the end of the file, in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

this set they might match, but they don't occur at all in the same place, and the location of lines in the file would be important to determine whether, in fact, they are matching. And, in your opinion, was Ms. Frederiksen-Cross' normalized matching lines methodology reliable for determining substantial similarity? No, I think it's completely unreliable for determining substantial similarity. And why do you think that, Professor? It doesn't use analytic dissection, which, as I understand, is the method that should be used. It doesn't filter out unprotected expression. So in these normalized line counts we'd see program statements, for example, and that means that it leads to what I call false matches, that a line in one file might appear to be similar to a line in the other file but, for many reasons, that should be filtered out as unprotected expression, and that methodology does not do that. Now, you talked about the different kinds of constrained code. Can you go through some examples -- let me back up. Did you analyze the files to determine which lines of code were constrained? Yes, I did. And can you walk us through some of the things that you found to be constrained?

So I'll go through each of these in just a small 1 2 amount of detail. 3 So I mentioned the programming language syntax. this case that's COBOL, and there are many COBOL commands that 4 5 every COBOL program would have, and I've listed some of these here behind Setup, SELECT-SETUP, behind Data, SELECT Data, 6 7 ELSE, END-IF, END-PERFORM, EXIT Program, Sequel Error Exit, 8 and Main Exit. 9 Those are just some examples. COBOL would have many 10 other statements like this that are dictated by the specific 11 syntax of COBOL. We shouldn't count these. They should be 12 constrained and unprotected expression. 13 When you look at the comparison exhibit that 14 Ms. Frederiksen-Cross showed us earlier in her testimony, the 15 one that has the Rimini file on one side and the Oracle file 16 on the other side and purports to show lines matching, are 17 some of the matching lines these things that you have on slide 18 DDX-515? For example, END-IF, most programs have a statement 19 20 like if, if this value is positive, perform this action. 21 We might say, "If I think it's going to rain, I 2.2 carry an umbrella." Now, in English, you can just say that. 23 But in COBOL, if you say if, you must say END-IF,

What's the other -- what's the next constraint that you

it's required. So we would expect to see those matches.

24

found?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

A These are conventional section headers. In a COBOL program it's required by COBOL that you have divided the program into what are called divisions.

So we see Identification Division, Environment

Division, Program Description, Data Division, Working Storage

Section. We would expect to see these in two different COBOL

programs because the language requires it.

- Q And, again, on her comparison, on Ms. Frederiksen-Cross' comparison, are we going to see these on both sides of the files?
- 12 A Yes, and they should have been filtered out as
 13 unprotected expression.
 - Q Because these are required and they are not indicative of copying -- well, let me ask it this way. Are these required and are they indicative of copying?
 - A They are not indicative of copying. A COBOL program would have these divisions and they should be filtered out rather than viewed as an indication copying.
 - Q What's the next category of constraint that you identified?
 - A These are the asterisks that are used in COBOL to surround a comment.
- 24 Comments are there for the programmer to look at so 25 that they know what certain sections of the program do.

They're not required by the language.

But, as I mentioned earlier, in COBOL all comments must begin with an asterisk or a slash in column number 7, and, by convention, they go across the screen until the end of the line, and we saw that earlier.

So these aren't protected expression. They're not creative in any way, and they shouldn't be used as matching between two programs.

MR. McCRACKEN: Let's pull up DTX-501 so we can see an example of this. Maybe just go to the first page of -- or maybe second page of 501.

BY MR. McCRACKEN:

2.2

- So, Professor, here we have some lines of asterisks. Can
 you explain -- well, so are these the asterisks and comments
 you're referring to?
- A Yes. So this is an example of what Ms. Frederiksen-Cross and many other calls a flower box. It's called a flower box because it looks as though someone has planted flowers around the border.

And we see that each of the comment lines -- and on this slide that's all three of the lines that have an asterisk -- have a slash or a star at the beginning of the line in column 7, and they have an asterisk at the end of the line, too, in that last column.

And then the flower part of the box, the border,

- consists of asterisks that go across the line of COBOL.
- 2 So this is a common convention for comments in COBOL
- 3 and, in fact, in other languages. It's not creative. It's
- 4 | not an indication of copying.
- Now, sure, Professor, but, you know, isn't it suspicious
- 6 | if the Rimini file and the Oracle file have exactly the same
- 7 | number asterisks in a line?
- 8 A No. I mentioned that in COBOL it's a column-oriented
- 9 language, so all lines have the same number of characters.
- Now, some of those might be white space and we might
- 11 | not see them, but it's very common to see 80 asterisks on a
- 12 line in COBOL.
- 13 Q And, Professor Astrachan, I think Ms. Frederiksen-Cross
- 14 | said something in her testimony about idiosyncrasies regarding
- 15 | having some lines start with a slash and asterisks as opposed
- 16 to just all asterisks. Do you recall that?
- 17 A Yes, I recall that.
- 18 Q Did I characterize what she said correctly?
- 19 A Yes, I think that's correct, and I don't think that's an
- 20 | indication of copying at all.
- 21 Q Why not?
- 22 A Well, first, as I mentioned, all comments must start with
- 23 either a slash or a star. It's very common to see the first
- 24 part of a flower box start with a slash star, as we see here.
- 25 And I think we have an exhibit that shows there's a

- section of the Rimini file that couldn't possibly appear in the Oracle file that also uses slash star.
- 3 Q Let's go to the same exhibit, let's go to page 6.
 - Professor, is there anything on this page that's relevant to your opinions?
 - A Yes. We can see what's at the bottom of the top page, and the top of the second page where it says SELECT-PRVCHKS SECTION.
 - As I mentioned at the beginning of my explanation, selecting data for previous checks is a function that's in the Rimini file. It's not at all in the Oracle file, it's missing this functionality completely, and yet we see the slash star beginning the flower box because the Rimini programmer has followed that convention of beginning flower boxes with a slash star.
 - Again, this is a very common convention.
- 2 So I think you said, Professor, so this section of the Rimini file, this has functionality that is not even present in the Oracle file?
- 20 A It's not present in the Oracle file at all so it could
 21 not have been copied from the Oracle file since it's not
 22 present.
- 23 Q And, Professor, did you review the various flower boxes in the Rimini file?
- 25 A **Yes**.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q What's the convention with regard to those flower boxes and whether they start with a slash or an asterisk?
- 3 A They uniformly start with a slash asterisk.
- 4 Q On the top line?
- 5 A On the top line.
- 6 O And then what about the bottom line?
- A The bottom line does not start with a slash star, it's just a star. That convention is followed throughout the Rimini file.
- 10 MR. McCRACKEN: All right. Let's take this
 11 down, Mr. Jay. We'll go back to the slides.
- 12 BY MR. McCRACKEN:

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 13 Q All right. Professor, can you tell us about the next type of constraint that was relevant to your analysis.
- 15 A I call this functional code. Code that performs a
 16 specific function often needs to look the same across two
 17 files.
 - Earlier I mentioned, if you're going to access a database, you might have to use the same terminology to access the database.
 - This shows another example of functional code that's the same in both files, in fact, in every COBOL program, that consists of what are called filler commands and pic commands, and we see these in both files, and the format and syntax of this command is dictated by the language.

- Q So this is referring to the lines that say 03 filler, and they say pic, p-i-c, X, and then a number in parentheses, and they usually say value all.
 - A Yes. We can see the value all C is on the next line because this is two files together. So the line would be 03 filler pic X 10 value all C, we see that on both sides.

And this slide shows in great detail that each part of that line, the syntax and order on that line are required.

- Q Did you rely on any documents to confirm what syntax needs to be used in these files?
- 11 A Yes, to understand COBOL is used in Oracle's product, I
 12 used their API document.
- MR. McCRACKEN: Let's pull up DTX-500, please.
- 14 BY MR. McCRACKEN:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

20

21

- 15 Q Professor, this will be in your binder as well. What is 16 this document?
- A We can see at the top this is a PeopleSoft SQL API for COBOL, and the contents describe what's in it.

In general, this is a document that a programmer would look at to understand how to write COBOL in a PeopleSoft environment.

- 22 Q Would you expect a skilled developer of PeopleSoft
 23 updates to know the conventions described in this document?
- 24 A Absolutely.
- 25 Q And I think you said this describes an API. Can you tell

- 1 | the Court what an API is.
- 2 A Sure. API standards for Application Programming
- 3 Interface, but that doesn't really describe what it does.
- 4 It's the form and order in which you must do things to achieve
- 5 specific results.
- I often think about, when I'm sitting at home, I
- 7 have three remotes that control my TV because I have a lot of
- 8 devices, so I know I must turn on device one, press the red
- 9 button, then device two and press the green button.
- That's essentially the API to turn on the
- 11 application which, in my case, is the TV connected to my media
- center, and if I don't use that order in that way, it won't
- work.
- 14 So the API there is you must do specific things in
- 15 | specific orders to achieve the result you're looking for.
- MR. McCRACKEN: All right. And let's go to page
- 17 **4, Mr. Jay.**
- 18 BY MR. McCRACKEN:
- 19 Q What does this document say about the filler code that we
- 20 just discussed?
- 21 A It describes how that filler code works. We can see at
- 22 | the top it talks about the characters being C and H. That's
- 23 | in the first line there.
- 24 O And that's where we saw the value all C in the slide?
- 25 A Correct. If you're going to read characters, you must

2.2

- use a C. For example, if you're going to read numbers, you would use a different designation, not C or H. C or H are required when you're going to fill with character values from your database, so that's required.
- We even see the last line in that top paragraph, it says "consecutive data elements of the same type." It's the last sentence. Yes. "Alternate one of two characters representing the data type."
- So what that tells us is if we see a C, the letter C, it's going to be followed by the letter H. That's required by this API. That ordering is important. One line would have a C, the next line would have an H, if it's both character values, dictated by the API.
- MR. McCRACKEN: And, Mr. Jay, I think -- can we blow up the middle of the screen where there's some examples.

 BY MR. McCRACKEN:
 - Q And, Professor, can you just describe what we're looking at here.
 - A Well, here we see how the order of that line is important. We saw earlier that it said pic X 10, and this tells us that that ordering is required. We must see the pic, followed by an X, followed by a number in parentheses, followed by value, followed by all, followed by C.
 - This is an example not -- that uses that API, and programmers would be cognizant of this example, and they would

1 write code that used these examples in what they did.

2.2

- Q What's the number in parentheses? What's that for?
- A That's the number of characters that will be read from the database as part of this filler command.
 - Q So if two programs are reading data from the same database, would they have the same number?
 - A If they're reading the same data in the same place, both, for example, the data from January, then you would expect, well, I have to ignore ten characters for January because I want to know the date, perhaps.

And so you would read the same number of characters because you're processing the same data. Yes, that's a reasonable way of looking at it.

- Q And, in your opinion, Professor, are these filler statements creative?
- A No, they are not, and I believe Ms. Frederiksen-Cross said so in her testimony that they would be constrained.
- Q Now, how much of the allegedly matching lines on that side-by-side exhibit that we saw consist of these filler statements?
 - A Because both of these programs are accessing database, looking for particular elements in that database, there are many, many filler commands. There are a lot of filler commands in both programs, and those should be constrained and filtered out as unprotected expression.

```
1
                   MR. McCRACKEN: Let's take this down, Mr. Jay,
 2
     please, and let's get the slide deck back, please.
 3
     BY MR. McCRACKEN:
          Professor, I think we're on the slide for your next
 4
 5
     constraint looking at DDX-519. Can you explain your next
 6
     constraint.
 7
          Yes. What I've labeled that in my diagram is "Same Code,
 8
     Different Function," and the header on this slide indicates
 9
     exactly what that means, the structure sequence and
10
     organization constraints.
11
               So when you look at this slide it might look like --
12
     and in this example of side-by-side comparison, white means
13
     the same. So we see what looks like, oh, 03 filler pic X 10,
14
     that's line 243 on the left. It matches. 03 filler, pic X
15
     10, that's line 64 on the right.
16
               But I think if we go to the next slide that's
17
     related to this, we'll see something that indicates these
     aren't at all the same.
18
          And just for the record, the slide is showing Oracle
19
20
     Exhibit 175 at page 9, and we're looking at the lines of code
21
     that are 243 to 245 in the Oracle file, and 64 to 65 in the
     Rimini file.
2.2
23
               And so, Professor, you were saying go to the next
24
     slide?
25
      Α
          Yes.
```

Q All right.

- 2 A Remember, this is Same Code, Different Function, and what
- 3 we see is -- and now those lines are circled, it might look
- 4 like line 243 matches line 64, they are the same characters in
- 5 | the same order.
- 6 However, in the Rimini file, this is in a section
- 7 | for common pay year-to-date, and in the Oracle file it's for a
- 8 | state tax table of some sort.
- 9 They're in completely different parts of the
- 10 program, so they can't be matching because they're not doing
- 11 | the same thing.
- 12 The structure sequence and organization in the Same
- 13 Code, Different Function should be taken into account in
- 14 determining whether lines of code match, and, in this case,
- 15 they don't.
- 16 Q So if you're just looking at the side-by-side and looking
- 17 | at the matches, you may think things match when they're
- 18 | actually doing different things.
- 19 A Correct. That's part of the false matching that I
- 20 mentioned earlier that's going to happen when you do this
- 21 normalized line count method.
- 22 | Q | How much of the matching in Ms. Frederiksen-Cross'
- 23 | analysis is accounted for by these constraints, the five
- 24 | constraints you just talked about?
- 25 A In my opinion, it's all of it. All of it should be

- filtered out as unprotected expression. These aren't substantially similar.
- Q All right. I want to talk about some of the sections of the code that Ms. Frederiksen-Cross focused on in her direct testimony as being allegedly created and protectible, okay?
- 6 A Okay.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q And I think on your slide you have an example of some of the code that she testified about?
 - A Yes, I believe it's noted that this is OREX Exhibit 0175, and this is also included in one of Ms. Frederiksen-Cross' reports as perhaps the most imminent example of matching and why these programs must have been -- why the Rimini program must have been copied from the Oracle program.
 - Because, as we can see, there's a lot white space, and white space means matching. Purple and tan mean they are not matching.
- But this is almost all white space so, in her analysis, this would be an indication of copying.
- 19 Q And we're looking at about, what, about 15 lines of 20 matching code?
- 21 A Something like that, 15, 17. It's a very small number, 22 yes.
- 23 Q How many lines of code are in the Oracle file?
- 24 A Thousands.
- 25 Q Professor, can you apply your analytic dissection to this

area of code that Ms. Frederiksen-Cross claims is creative? 1 2 Yes. We'll go from the beginning to the bottom. 3 We can see at the beginning this is a FETCH-CMPAY and a FETCH-TAX-BALANCE, they're different parts, so I'm going 4 5 to the asterisks. 6 The Oracle file says FETCH-TAX-BALANCE, and the 7 Rimini file says FETCH-CMPAY. That's just the title of these 8 sections. 9 I'm not looking at those. I'm looking at the next lines that are asterisks, and I've already mentioned that 10 11 these are not creative, conventional, and we'd filter out 12 these comments lines as an indication that there was 13 substantial similarity. They're not protected expression and 14 they should be filtered out. 15 Okay. And we're looking at -- this is Oracle Exhibit 16 175, page 29, and we're looking at the lines that start at 885 17 and 886 in the Oracle file. 18 And on the Rimini side it's 360, 361. Those should not be matching lines, they should be filtered out. 19 Okay. Let's get rid of those. 20 21 And then what's the next line, Professor? 2.2 Α The next line says INITIALIZE SELECT-DATA OF S-YTD. 23 And we see that's the same on both sides. 24 perhaps at first glance it might seem like, whoa, they're

exactly the same, but in fact they're not the same. They're

dictated by convention, requirements of syntax, and the COBOL 1 2 API. 3 Yeah, at a high level, Professor, what is this code 4 doing? 5 Both these programs are going to fetch data from a 6 database. And this part of the code is initializing that 7 selection. Initialize is required, Select Data is required. 8 Because it's a Select, the S is required. 9 The YTD is not dictated by the API, but it's a 10 standard label for year-to-date so it's not surprising that we 11 see YTD on both lines because of year-to-date. 12 Well, Professor, couldn't the programmer call it 13 anything? Couldn't we call it Voldemort? 14 I appreciate your reference to Harry Potter, 15 Mr. McCracken, but you couldn't actually do that because 16 in following conventions, as I mentioned earlier, you learn to 17 use variable names indicative of their purpose. 18 So I would expect that we would use YTD for 19 That's a standard across programming, finance, year-to-date. 20 many things use YTD for year-to-date. 21 MR. McCRACKEN: All right. Mr. Jay, can we pull 2.2 up DTX-500 again and go to page 3. 23 BY MR. McCRACKEN: 24 Professor, is there anything on this page that's relevant

to what you were just explaining about the initialize

```
Select-Data step?
```

- A Yes. We saw that this was a Select Data step at the bottom of this page, which is, again, Oracle documentation, that programmers read to determine how to write their programs.
- What we see in the second line, the sentence that begins on the second line, "be sure," it says don't forget,

"Be sure to initialize this area before each

FETCH, because character fields are not blank-filled

on all platforms. If you do not clear the buffer, a

short character value might only partially replace a

longer value from a previous FETCH."

- Q So what's that saying in English?
 - A What that says is, if you're a careful COBOL programmer, you will initialize the area before you call FETCH. So you must, if you're a careful programmer, initialize before FETCH, and as we'll see, we're about to do a fetch so we're going to initialize.
 - Q All right. And I see at the bottom, bottom of the blow up, it says Example, and it says SELECT-DATA of S-CHECK?
- 21 A Yes. That's the requirement, you have to call
 22 select-data, and the S, as I mentioned, is required from
 23 select.
 - Q Is that similar to select-data of S_YTD which we see in both programs?

```
1
          It's exactly the same. As I mentioned, the only change
 2
     between this example and the program is, in the program,
 3
     because we're using year-to-date data, we use YTD.
 4
                   MR. McCRACKEN: All right. Let's go back to the
 5
     demonstratives, please.
 6
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 So we'd filter that out --
 7
                   MR. McCRACKEN: Okay.
                   THE WITNESS: -- when we look at the next line.
 8
 9
     BY MR. McCRACKEN:
10
          All right. So we're looking at Oracle Exhibit 175,
11
     page -- oops.
12
               All right. We're looking at Oracle Exhibit 175 at
13
     page 29, and we're now analyzing the lines of code that are in
14
     Oracle file 890 to 892.
15
               So, Professor, can you apply analytic dissection to
16
     these lines of code, please.
17
          Yes. We just saw that we were initializing something
18
     before a fetch, so we're about to do the fetch, and we're
19
     calling ptpsqlrt, that's the required API in PeopleSoft to
20
     access the database. We must use "call ptpsqlrt" as part of
21
     accessing the PeopleSoft database.
2.2
               And, because we're doing a fetch, we must say what
23
     the action is because you might access the database to do
24
     something, a store, but we're not, we're using a fetch.
25
               So it's required that we see "ACTION-FETCH of
```

```
1
     SOLRT."
 2
               And then, if we look at the API, we'll see that
 3
     these other lines are also required. So when we see "using
     ACTION-FETCH of SQLRT, " followed by "SQLRT, " followed by
 4
     "SQL-CURSOR of S YTD," all of that will be dictated by the
 5
 6
     API.
 7
          We'll see this in the API document?
 8
      Α
          Yes.
          Pull up the API document, DTX-500. And I think we want
 9
10
     the bottom of page 1 top of 2.
11
          Right. Where we see Interface.
      Α
12
               And it says, "API services are provided through
13
     CALLS to the PTPSQLRT program," and that's the one we just
14
     saw, calling it, and we can see here, CALL PTPSQLRT USING, and
15
     our action here is a Fetch, so we're going to see
16
     ACTION-FETCH.
17
               And if we look at the bottom below what's
18
     highlighted in that paragraph that's also been expanded, it
19
     says,
20
                  "The actual list of parameters that are
21
          needed depends on the action requested. For example,
2.2
          a SELECT statement requires all of the previous
23
          parameters."
24
                   Those are the values listed in the paragraph
```

above, those are the parameters.

1 "...a FETCH action requires only the first 2 three." 3 And the first three are USING action sqlrt and Those are required, and the API explains that. 4 5 So based on that paragraph at the bottom, if you're doing 6 a fetch action, you need to have the fetch action followed by 7 the sqlrt followed by cursor? 8 Α That is correct. That what this API is telling you, you 9 must have those in that order. All right. Let's go back to the slide, then, and see 10 11 what we see in the code. 12 What we see is ACTION-FETCH required, sqlrt required, and 13 the cursor, which is where you are in the database, essentially, SQL-CURSOR, and then "of," the Select Statement 14 15 YTD. We've seen that before. 16 All of this is dictated by the API. 17 functional, it is required, and it should be filtered out as 18 unprotected expression. Now, Ms. Frederiksen-Cross testified that -- she 19 20 testified about these lines of code. Do you recall that? 21 Α Yes. 2.2 And she said that you didn't need to say ACTION-FETCH, 23 you could have called it anything, not fetch, you could have 24 called it "go get 'em." Do you remember that? 25 I do recall "go get 'em" being mentioned, yes.

- What do you think about that? I think that, as I mentioned before, sometimes syntax dictates that something is required, and sometimes convention, in terms of naming actions or variables, is also required. If it's a fetch, we call it fetch, we don't call it "go get 'em." As I mentioned, in my class, if you named your variable something creative that way, you would lose points. So a student in your class would lose points for naming a variable something creative? You want to use creativity in the process of what you're Α doing, and that means if it's fetching, you would call it fetch, you don't call it spoon, you don't call it go get 'em. The names of your variables should be indicative of their purpose and what convention would say. I'm confident, if we looked at many COBOL programs, we would see fetch being
 - Q What about a professional software developer that works for a publicly-traded company, would you expect them to follow conventions?
- A I would absolutely expect them to follow conventions.
- 22 Q All right. Let's talk about the next lines of code.
- 23 All right. So, Professor, can we filter this one out?
- 25 A Filtered it out.

used, not "go get 'em."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q Let's talk about the next one.

2.2

A We just called the database. We looked at the API and saw that we were accessing the database, and the reason for accessing the database is to pull values, to fetch values from the database.

Once we've done that, we have to wait for the database to give us an answer. We called it, and now we wait for the result, and when we get the result, we have to write code to handle that result.

And one of the things that could happen is when the call to the database finishes, the return code -- and because we call something in programming, we call -- we name what we get back "the return value," as though I called you up and then you returned my call.

I call the database, I get a return code. An RTNCD, that's return code. That's just the label for return code.

That's required.

And what this says is if, when you access the database, the call ended, the return code was END, then perform a certain action.

So you would expect that both programmers would have to handle that the call ended, and we see they both have what to do if the return code ended.

And, in fact, they do the same thing. They first say the call ended. So they set the value of the variable

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

"FETCH YTD end" -- because we just finished an end -- of that select statement, that's "S YTD," set the value to "true," because the call has ended. There's no other choice there. The call has ended, you must set the value to true. Do you think it's creative to write code that says that the has ended, set the value to say the call has ended? Α No, I don't think that's creative, and I think that part should be filtered out as unprotected expression. The next part is similar in that --What are you referring to, Professor, for the next part? The call ptpsqlrt, we're calling that API again. You're saying, "Hey, program that accesses the database, please disconnect from the database." And that's also a convention that all careful programmers would follow. When you've made a connection to a database, that's a resource, and you can't have unlimited

connections.

So careful programmers make sure they kind of clean up after themselves. Once you've succeeded in accessing the database, disconnect from the database.

And that's what this says. It says please call that program, ptpsqlrt, using a disconnect action. the action that you use to disconnect.

So this is, again, required by careful programming,

- 1 disconnect from that program that accesses the database.
- 2 Q And specifically, Professor, it shows the call, ptpsqlrt,
- 3 using action disconnect of sqlrt, and then it has another two
- 4 lines, sqlrt and SQL-CURSOR. Are those all required?
- 5 A Yes. We just saw earlier that we filtered out the action
- 6 | FETCH. Now this an action disconnect. And we saw in the API
- 7 | it's required that you have these three elements: Action
- 8 disconnect -- and earlier we had ACTION-FETCH -- and then you
- 9 have to have sqlrt, and then you have to have SQL-CURSOR.
- 10 It's exactly the same API that we saw before for a
- 11 different action. All of this should be filtered out as
- 12 unprotected expression. These are not substantially similar.
- 13 Q All right. Let's move on to the next section of code,
- 14 and just for the record, I'll say what it is. So we're
- 15 | looking at Oracle Exhibit 175, at 29, and the lines are, in
- 16 | the Oracle file, 900 through 909.
- So, Professor, tell us -- well, first of all, some
- of these lines of code are purple; so what does that mean?
- 19 A Purple indicates that they don't match, and what we see
- 20 is -- on the Oracle side, for example, TAX-BAL. I'm going to
- 21 | assume that's for tax balance. That's a label that the Oracle
- 22 programmer used.
- On the right-hand side, we see CMPAY. Now, we've
- 24 | already discussed that this program is doing different payment
- 25 calculations. The name of the file is RSPCMPAY.

2.2

And so we'd expect that some labels are different because these are different programs. So those parts are the same, but they're dictated by the functionality of these programs, and they're not the same, so they're not part of the matching.

Q All right. Can you apply analytic dissection to these lines of code, please.

A Yes. The section we looked at earlier was for a return code that ended, and that means ended properly. We called the database and we got back a result.

Now, the return code here -- and again, return code is rtncd -- the return code is in error, and that could happen. You try to access the database and you fail, or it goes away.

All kinds of errors happen in programs, and careful programmers write code to deal with those errors. We don't want our program to just crash, we want to log the error so that we can deal with it.

And so we'd expect all careful programmers to have what do you do if the return code is error, and so we on line 900, if return code error of sqlrt, and we see that on the right-hand side. This is just the section of code that deals with errors.

And if we look at the body of this "if" statement, we see that that's similar on both sides.

Q And is that code creative, Professor?

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

A No. Again, it's processing the error in the same way.

First, it's moving something to the error section,
and we can see that on both sides. I am moving -- it's
different because the programs are different, but that
movement to an error section is expected. That way we can
handle the error.

And then when it says, "Perform ZZ000-SQL-ERROR, that's indicating please perform this other part of the program. There's another part of the program labeled ZZ000-SQL-ERROR that's designed to deal with errors.

We don't see that here. This says go to that other part of the program and please handle the error.

And in fact now we see the END-IF that I mentioned earlier is required, and then we see the ELSE which is also required.

- Q And, Professor, I think Ms. Frederiksen-Cross testified that the files had this error code at the end of the files, and that was indicative of copying because you could put the error code anywhere in the file. What do you think about that?
- A I think that's another example of convention.

By convention COBOL programmers put the error handling at the end, and if it's at the end, it will have that ZZ000 designation. Because ZZ is the last character of the

- alphabet, it indicates that this section is coming at the end. 1 2 Again, that is a common convention. 3 All right. So, Professor, is this code, line 900 to 909, is that protectible in your opinion? 4 5 In my opinion, no. It should be filtered out as 6 unprotectible. 7 All right. And what did you conclude regarding this 8 passage of the side-by-side exhibit regarding whether these 9 files are substantially similar? Using analytic dissection, which I understand is the 10 11 proper technique to determine if two files are substantially 12 similar, it's clear that they are not because they have 13 literally nothing in common. 14 All right. I want to walk through some of the other 15 sections of the code that Ms. Frederiksen-Cross claimed were 16 protectible and indicative of Rimini having copied Oracle. 17 MR. McCRACKEN: Let's go to Oracle Exhibit 175, 18 at page 6, please, and, Mr. Jay, if you could focus in on 139 19 on the Oracle file. 20 BY MR. McCRACKEN: 21 Professor, did you hear Ms. Frederiksen-Cross testify
- 23 Α Yes.

2.2

- 24 -- might have been Tuesday?
- 25 Sorry, I talked over you.

about this line of code on Monday --

A Yes. Sorry. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q What did she say about this?
- A Well, we see the same line on both sides.

And, again, we have already determined that cursor is a common name for a cursor. So this says SQL-CURSOR, and then PIC 9999 VALUE ZERO COMP.

We'd expect a program that's dealing with the cursor to use the label cursor. In both cases, its dictated by the fact that this is going to be a nine -- sorry, a four-character value. The 9999 means four digits, and we're setting it to zero.

- Professor, for us non-programmers, what's a cursor?
- 13 A Cursor is not what we see on the screen. Sometimes
 14 people use the word cursor as where there's a blinking on the
 15 screen.

But that's actually not too far away from what's being said here, because the cursor on the screen is a location on the screen. When you see the cursor blinking, it's a location.

A cursor in a file or a database is where are you in that file or database. You might have already read a 100 values, so your cursor in on the hundred and first value. It's where you are, the same way the cursor on the screen is where you are.

Q I think Ms. Frederiksen-Cross testified that you don't

- 1 have to call this cursor, you could call it something else.
- 2 | Would you do that?
- 3 A No. As I mentioned before, again, variable names should
- 4 be indicative of their purpose. That's a standard programming
- 5 convention. That's what careful programmers would do.
- 6 | O Will we see that in the API?
- A Yes, we will. We already saw a cursor in the API, but we
- 8 can look again and see it one more time.
- 9 Q Now, let's look at DTX-500 at page 6. And, Professor, is
- 10 there a place on page 6 we should look?
- 11 A Right in the middle of the page it says SQL-CURSOR, and
- 12 | it indicates that this is a four-digit computational number
- 13 | that represents a resource connection unit.
- 14 So the key there is four digits, that's why we saw
- 15 | 9999, and computational number, that's why we saw c-o-m-p,
- 16 | that's for computational.
- So this API is dictating the form of that line.
- 18 Q Do you think it's surprising, then, that the code written
- 19 by Rimini, and the code written by Oracle have the same line?
- 20 A No. I think that's standard common programming practice
- 21 and not an indication of copying.
- 22 Q All right. Overall -- so, Professor, did you apply
- 23 analytic dissection to the entire comparison, Oracle Exhibit
- 24 **175?**
- 25 A Yes, I did.

- 1 Q And what was your conclusion after performing analytic 2 dissection?
 - A That these programs are not substantially similar.
 - Q All right. Let's go back to your demonstratives.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And you said earlier that there's a second step to your analysis. Can you explain what that is.

A Yes. First, we conduct analytic dissection, and, as I mentioned in my opinion, my analytic dissection indicates, as I think is agreed by others performing this similarly, these are not substantially similar. They are not matching.

But if I had found matching portions, I'd then have to look to see are these matching portions a substantial portion of the copyrighted work. Again, it's my understanding that that's the legal basis for substantial similarity.

- Q And what is the copyrighted work in this case?
- A The copyrighted work in this case is all of PeopleSoft, as I understand it, that's what's copyrighted.
- So what did you determine when you did step number two?
- A First, I don't think that these matches are substantially similar, but if they were, clearly they're not a substantial portion of the copyrighted work.

Oracle PeopleSoft is thousands of lines, thousands of files, millions of lines of code. This is a tiny piece.

It's less than a percent. At most, it's probably zero. So it's not substantial portion.

- Did you analyze whether the lines of code that 1 2 Ms. Frederiksen-Cross claimed were protectible were 3 qualitatively important to this file or to PeopleSoft? And, in my opinion, they're not qualitatively 4 important, they're simply pulling information from a database 5 6 as part of a specific function. They're not qualitatively 7 important to PeopleSoft. 8 Do you recall whether Ms. Frederiksen-Cross even claimed 9 that they were qualitatively important? 10 I don't recall her saying that. 11 All right. Professor, Ms. Frederiksen-Cross testified 12 about some unique -- I think, in her words, unique or 13 idiosyncratic spacing in the two files. Do you recall that? 14 Yes, I do. 15 What was she saying? Ms. Frederiksen-Cross said that because some words had 16 Α 17 two spaces -- and, for example, one of the words was t-o, and 18 another word was c-o-m-p, and we see the c-o-m-p on this 19 slide, that because there are two spaces before the comp, that 20 that idiosyncratic two spaces, let's say, rather than one, was
 - Q So here on this slide, on DDX-535, we're looking at Oracle Exhibit 175 at page 6, and we're looking at the line of code that's the Oracle line at 139.

2.2

23

24

25

an indication of copying.

And so what are we looking at here with the red

- 1 | boxes on your slide?
- 2 A The red boxes indicate two spaces between zero and comp.
- 3 And Ms. Frederiksen-Cross contended that the fact that there
- 4 | are two spaces here, and sometimes one space, that that
- 5 | idiosyncrasy was an indication of copying.
- 6 Q And do you agree, Professor?
- 7 A No, I disagree.
- 8 Q Why do you disagree that the spacing does not indicate
- 9 copying?
- 10 A Well, first, both files use a combination of different
- 11 spacing. We're going to see some examples with t-o that had
- 12 two spaces before and sometimes one space before, and that's
- 13 | not the same in all files. Sometimes there are two spaces
- 14 before the word "to," sometimes there's one space.
- And we'll see that in the situation with c-o-m-p,
- 16 | there's a very good reason that there're two spaces before the
- 17 | c-o-m-p, and those good reasons are, as we'll see in a slide,
- 18 | not an indication of copying but an indication of programmers
- 19 | following convention.
- 20 Q All right. Let's look at one of your examples. We're
- 21 looking at DDX-537 which is showing a copy of the Rimini file
- 22 DTX-501, page 7.
- 23 Professor, can you explain how this relates to your
- 24 opinions.
- 25 A Yes. Ms. Frederiksen-Cross said that the occurrence of

- two spaces before the word "to" and two spaces after it, and that's what we see on this slide, two spaces before the word "to" and two spaces after, that because that was in common, in her diagram, between both files, that was an indication that the Rimini file had been copied from the Oracle file.
- But in this example, this is from the SELECT-PRVCHKS section. That's in the Rimini file and it's not in the Oracle file so it can't be an indication of copying because it doesn't appear at all in the Rimini file.
- And if we look at all of the Oracle file and all of the Rimini file, we'll see sometimes there's two spaces, sometimes there's one.
- It's not as though this is unique and only occurs once. There are many occurrences of two spaces on either side of "to," and many occurrences of one space.
 - In this slide it's clear that it can't be an indication of copying because this section does not occur in the Oracle file.
- 19 Q So let me just make sure I understand what's happening.
- So on the slide, we're looking at Rimini file; is that right?
- 22 A That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 23 Q And is this is a section of the Rimini file that has no analogous section in the Oracle file?
 - A Yes. We can see the flower box says SELECT-PRVCHKS

- 1 | section, and, as I mentioned at the outset, that's
- 2 | functionality that's in the Rimini file but it's not in the
- 3 Oracle file, so it can't be copied because the functionality
- 4 is missing.
- 5 Q The Oracle file doesn't have any code that deals with
- 6 | selecting previous checks?
- 7 A None.
- 8 Q And here we see Rimini was using a convention of having
- 9 two spaces around the word "to."
- 10 A In this part of the program, that's correct.
- 11 Q And in your opinion, Professor, do they do that in other
- 12 | places in the program?
- 13 A Yes. I indicated that there are several places that have
- 14 | two and several places that have one. That's not an
- 15 indication of copying.
- 16 Q All right. Let's go to your second example.
- 17 A This is the c-o-m-p.
- And, in this version of the diagram, there are two
- 19 spaces before the word "comp." That is not an indication of
- 20 copying.
- 21 Q So hold on. Let me just set the stage here.
- 22 So we're looking at a slide that's showing DTX-501,
- and the line that says VALUE ZERO COMP; is that right?
- 24 A That's correct. And it's labeled original spacing. This
- 25 | is the version that's in the Oracle file -- sorry, in the

Rimini file. We have two spaces before "comp." 1 2 And Ms. Frederiksen-Cross was noting that the -- a line 3 in the Oracle file also said this same thing with the SQL-CURSOR, or the PIC 9999 that you explained has to be that 4 5 way, and also has two spaces? 6 And, as I understand it, she claimed that that two 7 spaces was an indication of copying. 8 Okay. Well, why are there really two spaces there, 9 Professor? 10 Well, there are two spaces there so that the word c-o-m-p 11 that's at the end of the line lines up with the other 12 occurrences of c-o-m-p. 13 So when we draw a line straight down, we'll see that 14 the C is lined up with the Y, in Y to date, which is lined up 15 with the C in comp at the bottom. 16

And I mentioned that COBOL programmers line things up. That's very common.

So the two spaces here are because the programmer wants to ensure that the comps are aligned. It wouldn't look the same if we only had one space.

Q What's it looks like if you do one space instead?

17

18

19

20

- A Well, if we only have one space and we draw that line,
 we'll see that the C isn't aligned because it doesn't have two
 spaces.
- 25 The two spaces there are to ensure that this is

- lined up. They're not an indication of copying, they're an indication of following COBOL conventions.
- Now, let me ask you, Professor, if one were to have used the Oracle exhibit, Oracle Exhibit 237, which did not have the fixed-width font as we looked at earlier today in your testimony, would anybody notice the spacing issue?
- A You wouldn't see the lining up with the spacing issue because a fixed-width font would not have been used.
- 9 O So you'd miss this.
- 10 A You would miss this.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q Professor, if you asked your students to write a program that pulls data from a database, and you had two students turn in files that looked like the Rimini file in this case, would you report them to the dean for copying?
 - A No, I wouldn't, because even though it appears, on first glance, that there's some similarities, as I just showed, analytic dissection indicates that these constraints of programming language and functionality and API would indicate they are not substantially similar, and I would not turn them in.
 - Q All right. Professor, based on all of your analysis with these two files, what are your final conclusions?
- 23 A The normalized line count is an unreliable methodology.
- As I mentioned, these files have substantially
 different functionality. They don't do the same thing even

- 1 though they pull information from the same database.
- The matches that are purported to be matches aren't
- 3 protectible expression. Even if we assume they were
- 4 protected, which they aren't in my opinion, clearly they are
- 5 de minimis, and they're not substantial.
- 6 So the Rimini file, PSPTARRY -- sorry, rspcmpay, and
- 7 the Oracle file, PSPTARRY are not substantially similar.
- 8 Q All right. Thank you, Professor.
- 9 Let's move on to the next issue. So we're
- going to move on to Issue 9, which is a JDE technical
- 11 | specification. Can you describe to us what the dispute is
- 12 there.
- 13 A Yes. As I understand it, there's a JDE technical
- 14 | specification written by Rimini engineers, and
- 15 Ms. Frederiksen-Cross contends that it contains JDE source
- 16 code.
- MR. McCRACKEN: All right. Let's look at
- 18 | Exhibit 80, please -- sorry, Oracle Exhibit 80, please.
- 19 BY MR. McCRACKEN:
- 20 Q Is this the technical specification that is alleged to
- 21 | contain come copied Oracle code?
- 22 A Yes. This is the JDE update technical specification, and
- 23 | we can see it's many, many, many pages.
- 24 | Q How many pages is it, can you tell?
- 25 A I believe, at the bottom we see that it says this is page

1 1 of 49.

4

2 Q And just generally, Professor, can you describe what's in

We can see all the parts of the technical

- 3 the technical specification?
- specification and we've heard about them before, that this is
- a document that describes the changes that are going to be
- 7 made. It describes the process that went into making those
- 8 changes. It describes the JDE objects that are going to be
- 9 part of these changes.
- So it's a lengthy description that describes the changes and the process going into making those changes.
- 12 Q Maybe we should look at page 2, it's the Table of Contents.
- 14 A It's --
- 15 Q Go ahead, sorry.
- 16 A I mentioned already, the Design Approach, the Object
- 17 Changes, the Summary of the Object Changes, and we can see
- 18 that in this technical document it's going to be a summary for
- 19 | EnterpriseOne and JDE World.
- 20 A lot of information that the Rimini engineers used
- 21 to create this, and then used -- and then to use it are in
- 22 | this technical specification.
- 23 Q What's an object change?
- 24 A In JDE, part of JDE are objects, and they need to be
- 25 | changed to achieve specific functionality.

Let's turn to page 10, please. 1 All right. 2 Now, I believe this is the part of the technical 3 specification that's going to start getting into code changes. Can you tell, is this part of the technical 4 5 specification for EnterpriseOne or --6 I think if we zoom back out, we'll see at the top it says 7 System Object Specifications (E1). That's on 1.3.4 at the top 8 of the page, and that E1 is for EnterpriseOne. 9 Okay. Let's turn the page to page 11, please. 10 And, Professor, what are we seeing on page 11? 11 On page 11 we're seeing part of the document that a 12 Rimini engineer would use to create this update in JDE. 13 And what we have here is the code written by the 14 Rimini engineer, that's in the box with a rectangle around it 15 that says Add, and we see two boxes of that on this page. 16 And if we kept flipping the pages, we would see more 17 and more boxes, because the Rimini engineers have created a 18 lot of Rimini code that are going to be added to the JDE to 19 make -- to add this functionality for the update. 20 MR. McCRACKEN: Let's do that. Let's flip 21 through some pages. Can you go to page 12, Mr. Jay. BY MR. McCRACKEN: 2.2 23 So, again, we're seeing the Rimini code in the boxes that 24 say Add? 25 All the boxes that say Add, also begin with Begin RS,

that's Begin Rimini Street. So all of the code in the boxes 1 2 is written by Rimini developers. 3 MR. McCRACKEN: Mr. Jay, can you just flip through, maybe, five to eight pages. 4 5 Thank you. 6 And so let go back to page 11, if we can. 7 BY MR. McCRACKEN: 8 So, Professor, what was Ms. Frederiksen-Cross' allegation 9 with respect to this file? 10 Her allegation is that JDE source code is copied into 11 this file. I disagree. 12 And what are the pieces of code that she claims are 13 copied? 14 Well, what we see before these boxes are lines that I call markers. For example, before the first box, we see OC 15 16 and then Corr and then Roth and some other aspects, and we see 17 some ellipses. This is a marker. This isn't code. It's based on 18 19 code in the JDE file, but this is a marker to indicate to the 20 developer where to add the Rimini-developed solution that's 21 going to be added. 2.2 So this is simply a marker based on the code in the 23 JDE file, but by itself this is not code. What do you mean by a marker? What was the purpose of a 24 25 marker?

A Well, as I mentioned, all the Rimini code that's here needs to be added into the JDE environment at a specific place.

So you can't just add it anywhere, you must add it where it's going to do its job, perform the function that it's written for, and that's at a specific place.

So the marker indicates where you look in the JDE file. So you're going to be looking at the source code in the JDE file on the client's environment. You need to know where to look, and this is a marker that indicates where to look.

- Q Are the ellipses significant to you?
- A Yes. The ellipses indicate, first, that the programmer writing this marker was affirmatively trying not to write all the code, that they were taking steps to avoid actually including code and just including a marker to indicate where to go.
 - Q Can you run this piece of partial code, the ellipses or without?
- A You cannot run this. As I mentioned, it's not code.

 Even if the entire line was here, you wouldn't be able to run
- 21 | it because it's not in a JDE object or program.
 - So it's not runnable, it's not the whole line of code, it's a marker based on that line of code.
- 24 Q Is it creative?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.2

23

25 A No, it's simply indicating where in the JDE file we're

going to add our Rimini-developed code.

simply a marker, that's what I heard.

- 2 Q Are there any alleged lines of copied code in the 3 technical specification that are performing some purpose other
- 4 | than simply serving as markers?
- A No. When I heard Ms. Frederiksen-Cross in court, she actually used the word "marker" and, I believe, "segment" because "snippet" meant too much. But in either way, it's
- 9 MR. McCRACKEN: Let's look at another page of 10 this. Let's go to page 26, please.
- 11 BY MR. McCRACKEN:

8

18

- 12 Q And, Professor, what are we looking at on this page?
- A We're looking at another example of Rimini-developed code, and we're looking at the marker before it.
- And this is a rather lengthy marker. It begins

 "If," and then we see "VArpt," and then there's several lines.

 Some of the lines are only ellipses.
 - So there's absolutely nothing here, no characters related to what we would find in the actual JDE file.
- 20 Q And what's the purpose of this? Why did somebody put
 21 this -- these words and then a bunch of ellipses into this
 22 tech spec?
- A Again, it's a marker indicating where to go in the JDE
 file to add the Rimini-developed code, and it's enough of a
 marker to be able to find it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

But, again, the person who wrote this technical specification included enough to make sure that we could find where to go. But by putting ellipses here, it's clearly not code. Professor, with your 30 plus years of experience as a Professor, can you tell what this Oracle code is by looking at this technical specification with a bunch of ellipses in it? No, I could not possibly fill in those ellipses with actual code, so I don't know what the code actually does without looking at the file from which it came which I'd find by using this as a marker. How many lines of code are in the original Oracle JDE EnterpriseOne file that this -- these snippets are allegedly copied from? I think it's something like 8,000; more maybe. Do you think Rimini copied a substantial portion of that file? First, I don't think Rimini copied anything. think Rimini looked at that code and created a marker as to know where to go.

But I also think that these lines are certainly a tiny portion of the file as a whole, but I don't think they're copied.

Q Do you think Rimini's technical specification is substantially similar to Oracle's JD Edwards file?

It's not substantially similar. It includes no 1 2 code, simply markers based on that code, and it's absolutely 3 de minimis by any stretch of the word de minimis. 4 MR. McCRACKEN: Let's go to page 32, please. BY MR. McCRACKEN: 5 6 So here, Professor, are we going to start looking at the 7 Rimini code for the JDE World version of JDE? 8 Α Yes. We can see at the top it says 1.3.5, the System 9 Specifications for JDE World. 10 MR. McCRACKEN: Okay. Let's turn the page --11 actually, let's go to page 36, please. 12 BY MR. McCRACKEN: 13 And I believe Ms. Frederiksen-Cross testified about this 14 particular page in her testimony. Do you remember that, 15 Professor? 16 Yes, I do. Α 17 What was her allegation? 18 That this was code that was copied from the JDE file. 19 What specifically was code copied? 20 The parts of this line -- so the line I'm reading says, 21 "Add code lines before the "If \$CT3," I read 2.2 that as not equal to "\$T3 OR" conditional block." 23 So I believe Ms. Frederiksen-Cross contends that 24 the "if \$CT3" not equal to "\$T3 OR" was code, but it's not, 25 it's a marker.

- 1 And what purpose is it serving?
- 2 It's serving to find where in the JDE World file we would 3 add the code developed by the Rimini engineer.
- For example, this "OR" conditional block," that's --4 5 by itself this isn't code because the "OR" conditional block" 6 is similar to an ellipses. This wouldn't run, and there's 7
 - In terms of the actual "OR" conditional block," that's a lengthy piece of code. This is just a tiny part of it that indicates where we would find the location to add the Rimini-developed code.
- 12 What's an "OR" conditional block"?

many parts missing after it.

- 13 An "OR" conditional block" is, I might say, well, if it's 14 rainy, or it's Friday, or I'm ready, I'll go do something.
- 15 So the "OR" is those conditions. If it's rainy or 16 Friday, I'm going to go do something. Well, it's Friday, 17 so --
- 18 So in the Oracle file are there a long list of these conditions? 19
- 20 Α Yes.

8

9

10

- And is the "CT3" -- you called it not equal to "T3," is 21 2.2 that just one of them?
- 23 No, that's the condition.
- 24 The "CT3" not equal to "T3" says if that's true, or 25 something else is true, or something else is true -- so, yes,

- 1 that is one of the conditions.
- 2 Does that code, by itself, is it creative to you?
- 3 A No, it is not.
- 5 A No. First, it would have to be part of a program, then
- 6 you would have to have the rest of the conditional block which
- 7 is missing. So it doesn't execute, and it's, as I mentioned
- 8 earlier, simply a marker.
- 9 MR. McCRACKEN: All right. Let's take that
- 10 down, please, Mr. Jay.
- 11 BY MR. McCRACKEN:
- 12 O And, Professor, how many lines of code are in that JDE
- 13 | World file, if you recall?
- 14 A It's roughly 4,000 lines in the World file.
- 15 Q **Wow**.
- Just to wrap this up, in your opinion, is the
- 17 | inclusion of those marks in the Rimini technical
- 18 | specification, is that copyright infringement in your opinion?
- 19 A No, it's not because, as I mentioned, it's not code, it's
- 20 | markers, and, it's not substantially similar.
- 21 Q All right. Thank you, Professor.
- 22 Let's move on to your next opinions. So what's
- 23 coming next, Professor?
- 24 A Next we have four issues that I have used the label
- 25 | Cross-Use Or Derivative Work to describe, and we're going to

- 1 | walk through these, as I understand it.
- 2 Q Now, Professor, how do you analyze whether --
- 3 MR. McCRACKEN: Oh, we have new binders hand
- 4 out. Sorry. Thank you very much.
- 5 BY MR. McCRACKEN:
- 6 Q All right. Professor, how do you analyze whether some
- 7 | activity by Rimini is cross-use?
- 8 A I think we have a demonstrative that describes this.
- 9 Q Yeah. We're looking at DDX-544.
- 10 A Right. So we see here, paragraph 4 from the permanent
- 11 | injunction order, and there are two steps.
- One, there must be a reproduction or derivative work
- 13 of Oracle software.
- And then, two, if there is a reproduction or
- derivative work of client A's Oracle software, we determine
- 16 | whether this was solely to support client A or to support
- another client, and it's not cross-use if the reproduction is
- 18 to support client A and not another client.
- 19 Q Is it cross-use if there is no reproduction or derivative
- 20 | work or use of Oracle software?
- 21 A No, it is not, we must see both these.
- 22 Q Professor, did you have an understanding of derivative
- work that you applied for purposes of your analysis?
- 24 A Yes, I did.
- 25 Q What was that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2.2

23

24

- A I understand that to be a derivative work it must substantially incorporate protective material from a preexisting copyrighted work, and it must exist in a concrete or permanent form.
- Q Before we dive into the specific issues, are there any major areas of disagreement you have with Ms. Frederiksen-Cross as it relates to derivative works and cross-use?
- A Yes. I think her understanding of cross-use is overly broad, that it wouldn't allow Rimini engineers to reuse the know-how and knowledge that they have, that they've learned in performing their work, and that, as she views it, it wouldn't allow Rimini engineers to write their own code and use it in more than one environment.
- Q From the technical perspective -- and I know you're not a lawyer -- does the injunction prohibit Rimini reproducing its own work product?
- 18 A It does not, as I understand it, no.
- 20 Can you give me an example of how Ms. Frederiksen-Cross'
 cross-use theories as applied restrict Rimini's use of its own
 know-how and work product.
 - A As I understand Ms. Frederiksen-Cross' theories, once an engineer develops a solution for a client, for that client, it might be impossible to ever use that solution or knowledge for any other client. That doesn't make any sense to me in terms

- 1 of how software is developed and used to support clients.
- 2 Q All right. Let's turn to your next issue, which I think
- is Issue 3, and can you just describe to us what the issue is
- 4 | with Issue 3.

8

- 5 A Yes. We can see this on this slide, and we've seen this
- 6 | several times in previous days.
 - Johnson Controls reported an issue with their W2 form, that text was cutoff in box 14 and box 17, and they asked Rimini to help them fix this problem.
- 10 Q And what was Ms. Frederiksen-Cross' contention with respect to this particular update?
- 12 A That by fixing the update in the City of Eugene's
- development environment, and then using the knowledge that
- 14 they gained to fix it in Johnson Controls, that that was
- cross-use, and they used some e-mail to understand --
- 16 Ms. Frederiksen-Cross used some e-mail in support of that
- 17 theory.
- 18 Q Yeah, And what did Ms. Frederiksen-Cross rely on for her
- 19 opinions about cross-use?
- 20 A This e-mail says Sheffield -- that's Don Sheffield, a
- 21 developer from Rimini -- states he tested the proposed
- 22 | solution here locally on COEX -- as I understand it, that's
- 23 | the City of Eugene's development environment -- but in the
- 24 e-mail he didn't state that he tested it at Johnson Controls.
- 25 Q Do you agree with Ms. Frederiksen-Cross' opinion that

1 this update constituted cross-use? 2 Absolutely not. Α 3 Why is that? First, Ms. Frederiksen-Cross ignored that the City of 4 5 Eugene was one of many clients that would potentially be 6 affected by this bug. 7 Testing a solution to this bug in the City of 8 Eugene's environment isn't cross-use because the City of 9 Eugene would be affected by this bug potentially, and, then, 10 the solution that was developed was specifically tested in 11 JHN's environment. 12 Why do you say that many clients would potentially be 13 affected by this bug in the W2 form? 14 Well, in the e-mail that Ms. Frederiksen-Cross relied on, 15 we that in the e-mail that many clients would be potentially affected by this bug. 16 17 Let's look at DTX-302 at page 2. 18 Professor, if we'd look at this, is there anything in this e-mail that was significant to your opinions? 19 20 The second line of the e-mail that starts with "Looks," 21 we see, 2.2 "Looks like this could be a potential problem 23 for all new clients switching from tax960us to 24 rsi960us who have left the formatting field blank." 25 That's an indication that there are all -- many

```
new clients, many new clients might potentially affected by
 1
 2
     this bug.
 3
          And if we go up to the top e-mail on page 2 of DTX 302,
 4
     so pages 1 and 2 of DTX 302 --
          At the top -- right after that. That's one, thank you.
 5
 6
          Yeah, Professor, what about DTX-302 at pages 1 and 2 is
 7
     significant to your opinion?
 8
          There's a reference to GPT, Global -- sorry, GPD, Global
 9
     Product Delivery.
10
                  "Please let me know after you scope if you
11
          think other clients could be impacted."
12
                   So clearly Rimini is thinking that other clients
13
     are going to be impacted by this bug.
          Is this the e-mail that Ms. Frederiksen-Cross relied on
14
15
     for her opinion that this was cross-use?
16
      Α
          Yes.
17
          Let's look at DTX-304, page 1.
18
               And, Professor, what about this e-mail is
     significant to your opinions, if anything?
19
20
          There's an e-mail here that says, "I think putting print
21
     format B999999.99 will work."
2.2
               And then it says,
23
                  "I think this will be a potential issue for
24
          clients that received rsi960us and that have this
25
          setup."
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So, again, it's clients that have received this update will be potentially affected by this bug. All their clients would be potentially affected, and Rimini would have to create this solution for them. Is City of Eugene one of the clients that has rsi960us.sqr? Yes, that's my understanding, they do. What was the ultimate solution that Rimini found with regard to solving this truncated digits issue? Well, we actually see that in this e-mail. It developed that putting B999999.99, putting those characters into the print format field fixed the bug. Is this typing those literal characters into a box? Yes. Now, that box is in the PeopleSoft environment in this case for the client. But, yes, simply typing those characters into that box fixed the bug. All right. How did Rimini develop this fix for City of Eugene and Johnson Controls? MR. McCRACKEN: Let's get our slide deck back. BY MR. McCRACKEN: So we're looking at DDX-551, and, Professor, could you use your demonstrative to explain how this was developed for City of Eugene and Johnson Controls. Right. We saw an indication of this in the e-mail, that Rimini engineers determined that typing B999999.99 into that

2.2

field in the City of Eugene's environment to fix the bug for the City of Eugene who would be potentially affected by that bug, it did in fact fix that bug.

So they developed this solution by going into the City of Eugene's development environment and trying it and seeing that it worked.

- Q In you opinion, Professor, is going into the City of Eugene's environment and typing in B999, was that cross-use at that point, Professor?
- A No, because the Rimini engineers knew that the City of Eugene was in the United States and had contracted to get these W2 updates, so they were fixing the City of Eugene's environment because they were potentially affected by the bug.
- Q Who, in your opinion, was Rimini supporting when it tested this B999 fix in City of Eugene's environment?
- A They were supporting their client, the City of Eugene, because they had been contracted to support the City of Eugene, and that fix was for the City of Eugene.

Finishing my description, once that -- once the engineer determined that, they instructed Johnson Controls to make the same fix.

So they've simply reused the knowledge that they've gained from making the fix in the City of Eugene's environment for the City of Eugene because they would be potentially affected by the bug. They then used that knowledge and

-1001-

- know-how to fix the bug by calling Johnson Controls and saying please try this.
- Was the B999 -- how was that implemented in Johnson
 Controls' system, if at all?
- A A Johnson Controls IT support or engineer person went in and typed that into their environment.
- 7 Q And was Rimini using Johnson Controls' PeopleSoft 8 software at that point?
- A Either Rimini had instructed someone to use Johnson
 Controls' environment to fix Johnson Controls' problem, so
 they were using Johnson Controls' PeopleSoft environment to
 fix the bug for Johnson Controls.
- 13 Q Is that cross-use?
- A No, it is not because in this case they were using

 Johnson Controls' environment for Johnson Controls.
- 16 Q Was this update tested in Johnson Controls' environment?
- 17 A Yes, it was.
- MR. McCRACKEN: Let's look at DTX-302 at page 2,
- 19 please.
- 20 **BY MR. McCRACKEN:**
- 21 Q Is this an e-mail you relied on for your analysis?
- 22 A Yes. We can see that in this e-mail the person response,
- 23 | "That worked. Thanks for jumping in at short notice."
- And then we also see what we saw before, "this is a potential problem for all new clients," but clearly we see,

- 1 "That worked," so we know it was tested in Johnson Controls
 2 and that it worked as expected.
 - Q We heard from Mr. Benge, I guess it was yesterday, that while this update or bug fix was eventually delivered to clients, including City of Eugene, it wasn't delivered immediately. Do you recall that?
- 7 A **Yes**.

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 8 Q And does that affect your opinion whether this was 9 cross-use?
 - A No, it doesn't. At the time that the Rimini engineers were implementing the fix in the City of Eugene's environment, it was because they were potentially affected by the bug and so they were working in the City of Eugene's environment for City of Eugene.
 - Q I want to ask you, so under Ms. Frederiksen-Cross' theories about cross-use, if Rimini had solved this issue, let's say for Johnson Controls, and determined that typing in B999 into the field fixes the issue, and let's say we have another client that shows up and is having another problem with their W2 print form, is there any way that Rimini can fix that problem for the second client?
 - A They could type B999999.99 in there. I don't know how they would do that without using the knowledge that they'd gained. They would have to reuse their knowledge and know-how.

- 1 Q And would that be cross-use under Ms. Frederiksen-Cross'
 2 theories?
 - A It would appear to be.
- 4 Q Let's move on to the next issue. Let's talk about Issue
 5 4 which is rsi940a.sqr, and it's the update HCM200049.
- 6 A Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q And, Professor, can you just tell us -- remind us, I know we've been hearing about these updates for four days, but remind us which update this is.
- A This is an update for the federal tax form 940A that had some misalignment issues.

We can see in this diagram what those misalignment issues are, that those Xs that we see over there in the middle of the page for West Virginia, New York, and Puerto Rico, I guess, I can't tell for sure, that the Xs aren't where they're supposed to be. They're supposed to be in the middle of the box.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{So}}$$ there was a misalignment issue for Schedule 940A of the IRS.

- Q All right. And what was Ms. Frederiksen-Cross' allegation in respect to this update?
- 22 A That Don Sheffield makes a change to rsi940, says he
 23 completed it in the City of Eugene, and sent the fix to all US
 24 clients, and that this was thus cross-use.
- 25 Q Do you agree with Ms. Frederiksen-Cross on this update?

-1004-

- 1 A No, I do not.
- 2 Q Why not?
- A Once again, the update rsi940a is completely Rimini work product. There is no Oracle copyrighted expression in

5 rsi940a.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- And many clients, including the City of Eugene, were slated to receive this update. When the testing was done in the City of Eugene's environment, it was for the City of Eugene because they would be affected potentially by a change to Form 940.
- Q We went through the file at issue in this update with Mr. Benge yesterday, but can you remind us, what are the files at issue for this update?
 - A There are three files, I think, that are described on this page. There is the Schedule A 940, that's just a GIF or image file.
 - There's the instructions for using Schedule A, and then there's the Rimini written file, rsi940a.sqr. We can see that there on the left.
- That's a Rimini-developed file. We see the logs at the top that indicate it's a Rimini-developed file.
- 22 | Q Did you review these files as part of your analysis?
- 23 A **Yes.**
- 24 Q Do any of these files contain any Oracle copyrighted 25 material in your opinion?

A They do not.

1

12

15

- 2 Q What's your understanding of which company wrote the rsi940a file?
- 4 A As I mentioned, rsi940a is written by Rimini developers.
- We see that because it says -- it's named rsi940a, and the
- 6 developers have included a log at the top that indicates
- 7 | they've made modifications over several years.
- 8 Q Why does it matter to your cross-use opinions that these
 9 files do not contain any Oracle copyrighted material?
- 10 A Because in my definition of cross-use that we discussed 11 earlier, the first prong, as it were, is that there must be a

reproduction of Oracle software. This is not Oracle software.

- Q All right. The second point on your introductory slide
 was that Ms. Frederiksen-Cross ignored that many clients were
- 16 A Yes. Similar to what we had before, there's some e-mails
 17 that described that many clients were likely to need this
 18 update and thus would get it.

slated to receive this update. Can you just explain that.

- 19 MR. McCRACKEN: Let's look at Oracle Exhibit 21.
- 20 Let's look at page 6.
- 21 BY MR. McCRACKEN:
- 22 Q And, Professor, what, on this page, if anything, was
 23 significant to your opinion that multiple clients required
 24 this update?
- 25 A The last sentence of this e-mail says, "Are SPH and SME,"

```
1
     that's Spherion and Smead,
 2
                  "Are Spherion and Smead getting this update
 3
          earlier than the rest of the clients?"
                   And the "rest of the clients" would be the other
 4
     ones that were going to get the update.
 5
          And let me back up. Is it logical to you that more than
 6
 7
     a couple clients would need this update?
 8
      Α
          Yes. As I mentioned, this a federal tax form, 940A, so
 9
     potentially every US client of Rimini Street would need this
10
     update.
11
          All right. Let's go to page 2 of this document.
12
               And, Professor, this is the document, this is the
13
     e-mail chain that describes the development of this update; is
14
     that right?
15
          Yes, that's correct.
          We've seen this a lot --
16
17
      Α
          We have.
18
          -- throughout court?
19
      Α
          Yes.
20
          And so, Professor, what, if anything, on page 2 is
21
     relevant to your opinions?
2.2
      Α
          The second sentence -- the end of the first sentence is
23
     perhaps the most relevant. It says,
24
                  "I have completed the testing in COEX, and
25
          I've rolled the two GIF files and the modified
```

```
version of the rsi940a.sqr program out to all US
 1
 2
          clients,"
 3
     and then excluding those that have gotten another Oracle
     update.
 4
               "All US clients," that's many clients that would be
 5
 6
     potentially affected by this change.
 7
          Is there any reason a Rimini engineer would use Transfer
     Files to transfer these files to US clients unless they needed
 9
     it?
10
               You would transfer these files to all the US clients
      Α
11
     who would be -- potentially need it, as you indicated, because
12
     it's a federal tax form.
13
          And is City of Eugene one of the US clients with version
     less than 2018-B?
14
15
          Yes, it is.
          What else is relevant to your analysis from this e-mail?
16
17
      Α
          It says,
18
                  "I could use CreateUpdateFolders for all US
          clients that will ultimately get this update."
19
20
                   You've heard testimony about
21
     CreateUpdateFolders, that's a Rimini tool that helps ensure
2.2
     that these fix -- the files in this case are sent to the US
23
     clients so that they it can be tested in their environment.
24
          And why is it meaningful that Don Sheffield says "all US
25
     clients that will ultimately get this update"?
```

2.2

- A Because it's an indication that the Rimini engineers thought at this time that all of the Rimini clients in the US -- again excluding the ones that had gotten Oracle update 2018-B -- were going to receive this update.
- Q In this e-mail Mr. Sheffield indicates that he rolled out the two GIF files and the modified version of rsi940a.sqr to these "all US clients" with certain versions.

Does sending that file copy any Oracle code in your opinion?

- A No. As I mentioned, and we've already discussed, rsi940a is a Rimini-written file on Rimini systems. It does not incorporate any Oracle expression at all, and the other files are GIF files created from IRS forms. So none of those files have Oracle protected expression in them.
- MR. McCRACKEN: All right. Mr. Jay, can we take down the exhibit, please, and go back to the demonstratives.

 BY MR. McCRACKEN:
- Q And, Professor, using this demonstrative DDX-557, can you explain how Rimini developed this update for its multiple clients.
- A Yes. In the bottom we see that Rimini Street has the rsi940a.sqr in the IRS forms.
- Now, the City of Eugene had an older version of rsi940a, and because they were a client who would likely need this update, Rimini engineers went into the City of Eugene's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

environment, made changes to the rsi940a to make sure that the forms were printed properly, tested them in the City of Eugene, again, for the City of Eugene because they were one of the US clients potentially affected by this bug.

And once they had rsi940a working as they wanted, they then had on their system -- again, this rsi940a with no Oracle protected expression, no Oracle copyrighted expression at all in it -- that's on the Rimini Street servers, and then they were able to send it via their tools to their clients to test it in their environments.

Q Let me break that down. So after -- you said that after -- or strike that.

You said that they changed the code in the Rimini file on the City of Eugene's system. What's the next step in the process after that?

A Well, they would write a document to describe how to make these changes because they document all the work they do, and they would make the change in their code on the Rimini Street server.

Q Okay. So they make the change -- so they make the change in City of Eugene, it works, and then they make the change on the Rimini Street server to document their solution?

A Yes, that's correct.

Q And then how do the other clients receive the update? How is it developed for them?

2.2

A Well, Rimini Street sends it to them using their tools, for example, Transfer Files or Transfer Tools and other tools that we saw in the e-mail, CreateUpdateFolders, those are sent to the clients, Spherion and Smead.

Again, those files are Rimini intellectual property, or from the IRS, and then they are tested in those environments.

First they are tested in one client's environment, assuming that it's only one engineer. There were multiple engineers. I guess they could be testing it individually.

But it's tested in Spherion to see that it works in Spherion. It's tested in Smead to see that it works in Smead.

And, again, this is the Rimini-written solution that's being used in each client's environment to support that client.

- Q Now, Professor, did the sending of the rsi940a.sqr file and the IRS forms to Spherion and Smead copy any Oracle protected works?
- A No, sending it did not because, as I mentioned, these are Rimini intellectual property, Rimini-developed solutions on the Rimini system. So sending them did not copy any Oracle expression.
- Q Is it the case that the only place where PeopleSoft software was used in this diagram was in testing the solution for the City of Eugene?

-1011-

- 1 A In the City of Eugene, when that update -- when the fix
 2 was being developed, yes, the City of Eugene's environment was
 3 used for the City of Eugene.
 - Q Is that cross-use in your opinion?
- A No, it was not, because it was used for the City of Eugene.
- Q I think we heard testimony over the last week that this
 update was eventually not delivered to the City of Eugene. Do
 you recall that?
- 10 A **Yes, I do.**

4

16

17

18

19

20

- 11 Q It had to do with a thing about the Virgin Islands. Do you remember that?
- 13 A Yes, that's my understanding.
- Does that affect your opinion as to whether this testing in City of Eugene's environment was for the City of Eugene?
 - A It doesn't change my opinion. It was for the City of
 Eugene because at the time that the testing and development
 was occurring the City of Eugene was potentially affected by
 this bug, and so that development was for the City of Eugene.
 - What happened later doesn't affect that. During the development process it was for the City of Eugene.
- 22 Did you prepare a timeline illustrating that opinion?
- 23 A Yes. I think we can see that.
- 24 Q **And just --**
- 25 A So --

- Q Briefly explain to us how this affects your opinion.
- 2 A These updates for the City of Eugene were created on
- 3 | January 25th. We saw in the e-mail that on January 25th they
- 4 were sent to clients that would ultimately get the updates,
- 5 and then only later did a business analyst determine that not
- 6 everybody would get the update.
- 7 But this illustrates my point that at the time the
- 8 engineer who is working in the City of Eugene's environment,
- 9 | it was for the City of Eugene. It was only later that it was
- determined that not everyone would actually get the
- 11 development -- the update.
- 12 Q Did you hear Ms. Frederiksen-Cross claim that Rimini was
- using City of Eugene's environment for generic environment?
- 14 A Yes, I heard that.
- 15 | Q Do you agree with her?
- 16 A No, I do not.
- 17 | Q Why not?

- 18 A Because each occurrence that we've heard here for the
- 19 | City of Eugene was to create, test, develop an update for the
- 20 | City of Eugene because they were affected by the issues in
- 21 that update.
- 22 Q Let's move to the next issue, which is Issue 6, the 1099
- 23 update for Easter Seals, And --
- 24 THE COURT: Let me interrupt you here. We need
- 25 to take an afternoon recess, and most of these sessions are

```
taking 10 or 15 minutes. Let's go ahead and take our
 1
 2
     afternoon break at this time.
 3
                   We'll reconvene at 3:30.
 4
                   MR. McCRACKEN:
                                   Thank you.
                           (A recess was taken.)
 5
 6
                   THE COURT: Have a seat, please.
 7
                   The record will show we are reconvened after our
 8
     afternoon recess.
 9
                   Mr. McCracken, you're welcome to go forward.
10
                   MR. McCRACKEN: Thank you.
11
                   And good afternoon, Professor Astrachan.
12
                   THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
13
     BY MR. McCRACKEN:
14
          We're going to be moving on to issue number 6 which is
15
     the 1099 update for Easter Seals, and I believe the contention
16
     by Ms. Frederiksen-Cross is that this is a derivative work.
17
     Is that your understanding?
18
          That is my understanding.
19
          Can you just describe for us what the issue is.
20
          Ms. Frederiksen-Cross says that because this update was
21
     specifically tailored to operate only with and modify Oracle's
2.2
     PeopleSoft software, that this update comprises a derivative
23
     work.
24
          Do you agree with Ms. Frederiksen-Cross?
25
          No, I disagree.
      Α
```

- Now, in this demonstrative, DDX-562, what are we looking at, Professor?
- A We're looking at an e-mail with a diagram of the zipped file RSI8F07 EAS GA.zip, that is the Easter Seals update.
 - Q And that's the alleged derivative work?
- 6 A That's correct.

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

20

21

2.2

- Q And this is based on DTX-610.
- Professor, did you analyze the files in this alleged derivative work?
- 10 A Yes, I looked at all the files.
 - Q And what are the different types of files in the bundle?
- 12 A I've grouped them here into four areas.
 - The first area are the .pdfs. So the .pdfs are client documentation explaining this bundle and instructions for installing the bundle. This is all Rimini written and it includes no alleged Oracle protected expression.
- 17 O And what's the next set of documents in the bundle?
- 18 A These are the Data Mover scripts. That's why they have 19 the .dms suffix.
 - Again, these are Rimini-written scripts to update vendor tables in a PeopleSoft environment. There's no Oracle copyrighted material in them.
- 23 Q Do you believe the DMS scripts are derivative works?
- 24 A No, they're not.
- 25 Q What's the next type of file?

- A These are the GIF files that deal with the 1099-I and 1099-M files that we've heard about, and then instructions for those forms.
- They are graphic interchange format GIF files that are created from the IRS materials for these forms, and there's no protected expression, no Oracle expression.

 They're from the IRS.
- And then, Professor, the last category of documents in the bundle are these two SQR files, rsi1099I.sqr and rsi1099M.sqr. Can you explain what those are.
- 11 A Those are the codes to print the corresponding IRS form,
 12 1099-I or 1099-M respectively, and there's no Oracle
 13 copyrighted material in them. They are not derivative works.
 - Q Which of these files, out of the ones you've just described, are the ones that Ms. Frederiksen-Cross contends are derivative works?
- 17 A These .sqr files.
- 18 Q Have you reviewed the .sqr files?
- 19 A Yes, I have.

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

15

- 20 Q Did you see any evidence that they incorporate any Oracle 21 code?
- 22 A No, I've seen no such evidence that they incorporate any
 23 Oracle code, nor have I heard Ms. Frederiksen-Cross contend
 24 that they incorporate any Oracle code.
- 25 Q Did she contend that they incorporate any other kind of

- 1 Oracle copyrighted expression?
- 2 A No, she did not.

files.

- Q Did she identify, you know, what that copyrighted expression would be?
- A There was no copyrighted expression that she identified and so there is none. There's no copyrighted or protected expression that I've seen, nor that she opined about in these
- 9 Q Why is it your opinion, Professor, that these two SQR 10 files are not derivative works?
- 11 A They were written by Rimini developers on Rimini systems.
- No Oracle tools or code was used in preparing them. They're
- 13 entirely Rimini work product.
- 14 Q Do those files, in your opinion, substantially incorporate any protected Oracle material?
- 16 A No. As I mentioned, they do not incorporate any Oracle
 17 material, let alone protected material.
- Q What were the reasons that Ms. Frederiksen-Cross gave for why these SQR files are supposedly derivative works, even if they don't have any Oracle code in them?
- A As I understand it, she contends that because they are designed to only work in a PeopleSoft environment and be part of that PeopleSoft environment, that that somehow makes them derivative works because they are written to only work in a PeopleSoft environment.

- 1 Q Do you agree with that?
- 2 A No, that makes no sense to me. I don't understand that.
- 3 It doesn't work with my understanding of files and programs at
- 4 | all.
- 5 Q Are there examples of software in your experience that
- 6 interoperate with other software?
- 7 A Well, I don't think this is about interoperability per
- 8 se, this is about -- I have written, myself, hundreds of
- 9 programs designed to work in a Windows environment. They will
- only work in a Windows environment. I write them to only work
- 11 in a Windows environment.
- 12 That doesn't make them derivative works of Windows,
- 13 | nor do the game programmers that create games that only run in
- 14 | a Windows environment and, while they're running, they're
- 15 | running in the Windows environment, they are not derivative
- 16 works either.
- 17 Q I think Ms. Frederiksen-Cross also opined that these
- 18 | files -- they extend or modify PeopleSoft. Is that your
- 19 understanding?
- 20 A That is my understanding, yes.
- 21 Q And what's your opinion about that?
- 22 A Well, these files are certainly included as part of
- PeopleSoft when they're running because they're printing 1099
- 24 | forms in a PeopleSoft environment. So when they're running,
- 25 | they are running in a PeopleSoft environment for, say, one of

Rimini's clients.

2.2

And when they are running, that PeopleSoft
environment that's been modified to use the 1099 forms for
Rimini, that's a derivative work because it's PeopleSoft
running in a client's environment, but it's running only in
the client's environment, and it's the PeopleSoft that is the
derivative work, not the Rimini files.

- Q I think you have a demonstrative, Professor. We're looking at DDX-568. Can you explain that concept with reference to your demonstrative.
- A Certainly. The 1099.sqr files, both I and M, have been developed by Rimini Street over several years. We see that when we look at these files that they have a log for each year that a new 1099 comes out.

So these are a Rimini-developed program. Each of them is a Rimini developed program. There's no contention that they incorporate any protected expression at all so they are not a derivative work.

Now, when they are running in a client's environment such as Easter Seals, when they're running, that entire environment is a PeopleSoft environment, and it's a PeopleSoft environment in which these 1099.sqr files are used to print 1099 forms.

So they are working as a whole in the client environment for that client. They're compliant with the

- 1 injunction.
- 2 Q I was going to ask you, does it violate the injunction to
- 3 have a derivative work in a client's environment for that
- 4 client?
- 5 A No, it does not.
- 6 Q Do the rsi1099m.sqr and 1099i.sqr files standing alone on
- Rimini systems modified any PeopleSoft environment?
- 8 A No, they do not. They can't because they're not running.
- 9 | They contain, as I mention, no expression, no protected Oracle
- 10 expression, and they can't run because there are no PeopleSoft
- 11 environments on Rimini systems.
- 12 Q Do you recall also that Ms. Frederiksen-Cross gave
- 13 | testimony about something called #include?
- 14 A Yes, I do.
- 15 MR. McCRACKEN: And, Mr. Jay, can we pull up
- 16 DTX-619, which is the rsi1099i.sqr file, and I think we want
- 17 | page 2. Maybe --
- 18 A No, we see this here.
- 19 Q Okay. So, Professor, what's the #include on this page?
- 20 A Well, this #include is part of the program, and in this
- 21 program we see many lines of code.
- 22 The #include is actually a directive to the
- 23 pre-compiler to do something when this code is on the client's
- 24 | PeopleSoft environment.
- 25 Q Hold on. Let's slow down because I think this is pretty

1 technical. 2 So when we're talking about #include, we're talking 3 about the words -- I mean, like a hashtag or a pound symbol and the word include; is that right? 4 That's correct. 5 6 It's -- well, continue. What is the function of a 7 #include symbol or function in computer programming? 8 Α So this directive says #include 'setenv.sqc,' and just as 9 it's part of this program on the Rimini system, it does nothing because, as I mentioned, this program cannot run 10 11 except in a PeopleSoft environment. So here it's just 12 characters in the program. 13 Now, when it's executed, when it's run, then 14 something happens with the #include, and I believe 15

Ms. Frederiksen-Cross had a diagram of what happens when a program with a #include is run on a client's PeopleSoft environment.

MR. McCRACKEN: And we can look at that.

Can you take this down, Mr. Jay. Thank you.

BY MR. McCRACKEN:

16

17

18

19

20

- Is this the diagram?
- 2.2 This is the diagram, and the source code would be this 23 1099i.sqc with what we call a #include directive.
- 24 And what that does is it says, okay, this is now 25 running in an Oracle PeopleSoft environment, certain commands,

functions, and variables need to be included in this program to run, and that's why it says #include.

In order for almost any program to run in a PeopleSoft environment, this would need to be included.

And so it says to the pre-compile, as you can see here, which is just part of the process of turning source code, this human readable code, into the code that runs.

Part of that process is please include the necessary parts to run in a PeopleSoft environment, and so they're literally included in the stream characters that results in the object code. So in that sense, they're copied into that stream of characters.

The stream of characters is the source code, and now that includes some PeopleSoft code because it's running in the client's PeopleSoft environment to create a PeopleSoft program.

- Q So I hear you say that when the #include is executed it's going to include some PeopleSoft code. Did I get that right?
- A Yes, that's correct.

2.2

- Q Where does that happen?
 - A This is running, as it says at the bottom of this slide, on the client's environment.

So in the client's environment where we are running PeopleSoft, this is included. As is the case for almost every PeopleSoft program, it will include setenv.sqc, what we saw

```
1 earlier.
```

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

- Q So let's go back to this diagram on DDX-568.
- When the rsi files, the .sqrs on Rimini's system,

 are just sitting there with #include in them, is that copying

 any Oracle code at that point?
- A No, it can't copy any Oracle code, it's not running, it's not being compiled. So no Oracle code is included in there.
- 8 Q So at what point is the #include going to take effect?
 - A When the program is executed, part of that compilation process that results in an executable, and that's all on the client's machine in the client's environment.
- 12 Q So that would occur in the top box on this demonstrative on DDX-568.
 - A That's correct. In the box labeled Easter Seals

 Production Environment, that's where the execution of this

 Rimini code results in, as it says, a derivative work, which

 is the client's modified PeopleSoft environment. The

 inclusion happens there.
 - Q When the code is run and the inclusion happens, which client's PeopleSoft software would be included and copied?
- 21 A In this case it would be Easter Seals since it's running
 22 in the Easter Seals environment.
 - Q And who would that -- which client would that inclusion support?
- 25 A It would support Easter Seals because the program is

- 1 running on the Easter Seals environment.
- 2 Q Are #includes something that's specific to PeopleSoft?
 - A No, #includes are part of many languages including, for example, this C and C++.

And I mentioned that I had, myself, written hundreds programs that run on Windows environments, and every one of them has a #include to incorporate Windows specific functionality as part of creating an executable, all of them.

- 9 Q So you, yourself, use #include.
- 10 A Yes, very often.

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 11 Q Do you teach your students to use #include?
- A When they're writing C and C++ programs, all of my students use #include.
- 14 Q Are there times when you have to use #include?
 - A Almost every program, even the simplest program that students write which, by convention, is a one-line program that prints "hello, world" on the screen, that's what's typically viewed as the first program in any language.

And in C, for that program to run, it's going to say #include stdio.h, and when it's run that's part of any C program that prints. So even the simplest C program that we teach our students has a #include.

- Q Professor, do you think all the programs you've written with #include are derivative works of some other software?
- 25 A **No, I do not.**

1 What would the consequences to your industry be if that 2 were the case? 3 As far as I can see --Α Objection, foundation. 4 MR. ISAACSON: THE COURT: I'll allow him to answer. 5 6 THE WITNESS: As I mentioned, nearly every C or 7 C++ program has a #include in it, and, as I see it, that would 8 mean every program that's designed to run on a Windows 9 environment would then be a derivative work of Windows. 10 Every program that's designed to run on an Apple 11 computer where you also have #includes would be then a 12 derivative work of the Apple operating system. 13 I don't see how there wouldn't be 14 derivative works always in this case. That makes no 15 sense to me whatsoever. 16 BY MR. McCRACKEN: 17 Are the RSI .sqr files created using OracleTools? 18 No, they are not. 19 Ms. Frederiksen-Cross seemed to indicate that they may use OracleTools or use PeopleTools. Do you recall that? 20 21 As I understand it, perhaps as part of their Α 2.2 execution in a client's environment, in this case the Easter 23 Seals environment, tools might be used as part of that 24 printing process, for example, but that would only happen in 25 the client's environment for the client.

- 1 Q Do the files standing alone on Rimini system use any 2 OracleTools?
 - A They do not.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

2.2

23

24

- And, Professor, can you just summarize your conclusions
 with respect to the Easter Seals issue.
 - A These are Rimini-written files, and, as I mentioned, they're revised every year to make sure that they work with the new 1099 forms.
 - Each of these files, 1099-I and 1099-M, is not a derivative work. None them incorporate any Oracle copyrighted material.
 - It is not cross-use to work in a client's environment for the benefit of that client so that they can print 1099 forms.
 - Q All right. Professor, let's move on to the last issue, which is issue number 10, which has to do with a file called risqtrtx.sqr, which we've being calling rsi quarter tax.
- 18 A Yes, I remember that.
- 20 And can you just give us an overview of what the issue and dispute is here.
 - A As I understand it, Ms. Frederiksen-Cross calls this a one code for all solution and that it is distributed to new Rimini customers.
 - Q Did Ms. Frederiksen-Cross, in her testimony, identify any reproduction of Oracle software that she claimed was the

- 1 | cross-use in this update?
- 2 A Not that I recall, no.
- 3 Q Did she identify any particular client's environment that
- 4 | she claims was cross-use as part of this update?
- 5 A No, not that I recall.
- 6 Q Do you agree with Ms. Frederiksen-Cross's opinion that
- 7 | the use of rsi quarter tax is cross-use?
- 8 A No, I do not.
- 9 Q Why not?
- 10 A This is a Rimini-written file. There is no reproduction
- 11 of Oracle code.
- 12 Each time this is developed and tested in a client's
- 13 environment, it is in fact developed and tested in the
- 14 | client's environment. In this case that is Rockefeller and
- 15 Home Shopping Network. It is tested in both client's
- 16 environment for the client.
- 17 Q All right. Let's go through some of these. I mean, I
- 18 | think I understand -- you've already opined on the first one,
- 19 but can you explain your view on the second bullet here.
- 20 A Any reproduction of Oracle code would be the new modified
- 21 | PeopleSoft environment with this SQR file in it. Any time
- 22 | that happens it's in a client's environment for the benefit of
- 23 that client.
- 24 Q And then you say here that the update was implemented and
- 25 | tested in RKF, I guess that's Rockefeller, and HSP, that's

- 1 Home Shopping Network's respective environments. What's your
 2 basis for that opinion?
 - A We saw a lot of both testimony and e-mail about how it was developed and tested.

This diagram shows what Mr. Benge described as the development of this update for Home Shopping Network, and it consists of many steps.

- Q This was the update where I examined Mr. Benge and we walked through the 13 steps in the Dev instruction?
- 10 A That's correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 11 Q Can you just describe how this development took place.
 - A Briefly. There's a document that describes what happens, and the 13 steps in the Dev instruction allow modification in the client's environment, and those consist of sending this risqtrtx.sqr file, which is Rimini work product, that's sent to a client's development environment.

There it's integrated. That may involve further development. It will involve unit testing and debugging. We heard about that today, and then after that, as part of the delivery, it will undergo QA testing.

- O And in what environments do these activities occur?
 - A They all happen in the client's environment for the benefit of that client. So in this case our diagram says it's in the Home Shopping Network environment, but the same sequence of steps would happen, for example, in the

- 1 | Rockefeller environment.
- 2 Q Did you see any evidence that this update was tested for
- 3 Home Shopping Network and Rockefeller?
- 4 A Yes. I've seen both e-mails and then today we saw that
- 5 as well.
- 6 Q What are you referring to?
- 7 A I'm referring to the testimony via zoom we had earlier
- 8 | today from Ms. Davenport.
- 9 MR. McCRACKEN: Can we pull up DTX-1004, please.
- 10 | And let's go to page 2.
- 11 BY MR. McCRACKEN:
- 12 Q Did this document inform your opinions about whether this
- 13 update had been tested?
- 14 A Yes. We heard that this is an environment spreadsheet,
- and we can see that the last two entries in the spreadsheet
- 16 | for Home Shopping Network and Rockefeller Group have a status
- of completed for both the developer and for testing.
- 18 So we know that they were developed and tested in
- 19 each case in the client's environment for that client.
- 20 Q All right. So based on your analysis of this update,
- 21 what are your conclusions with respect to HCM200105? And I
- 22 | think we have a slide on the conclusions.
- 23 A As I stated at the outset, there is no evidence that this
- 24 | rsi quarter tax contains Oracle copyrighted material, nor has
- 25 | there been any such assertion.

There's no reproduction of one client's Oracle software for another client. Each time an environment is used, it's for the benefit of that client.

These updates were developed in each client's environment, so once for Rockefeller and once for Home Shopping Network, and then each update was tested in the client's QA environment for Rockefeller and Home Shopping Network, thus there is no cross-use.

Q All right. Last topic, Professor.

Ms. Frederiksen-Cross testified that Rimini's recordkeeping was poor. Do you recall that?

12 A **Yes, I do.**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 13 Q What did you think of that allegation?
- 14 A I don't think it's true.
- 15 Q Why not?
 - A Rimini has extensive documentation, and we've seen evidence of it in this hearing as well as throughout the works I've studied.

For example, we've seen that Jira is used to track all client updates that Rimini does. Jira is standard industry software used by tens or hundreds of thousands of clients, depending on how much their website I would like to believe, but I've known other groups that use Jira.

Spira is another industry standard software suite that's used for tracking, testing, and quality assurance.

Previous to using Jira and Spira, as I understand it, Rimini used DevTrack, another standard tool.

All these tools contain extensive logs and information that allows Rimini to do what they're hired to do.

We also have seen examples of SalesForce which is another industry standard tool that Rimini uses to interact with its clients.

We've seen RiminiTools that keep extensive logs.

Every time Transfer Files happens there's a log. We saw today
the logs for Create Update and Apply Update.

Extensive logs are created throughout the process that Rimini uses to support its clients. So I've seen evidence of many good recordkeeping tools and procedures.

- Q Ms. Frederiksen-Cross criticized Rimini for not having what she called a version control system. Is that your understanding?
- A Yes, I remember that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.2

23

24

25

- Q Do you think that was a fair criticism?
- A No, I don't think that's a fair criticism because Rimini
 is not in the business of being a software developer. They're
 a client support organization.

So in a standard software development environment we might expect to some version control system, but, in a support company such as Rimini Street, that wouldn't necessarily be expected so I don't see that as an issue here.

Would it even be possible for Rimini to keep different 1 2 versions of Oracle software on its systems? 3 Well, Rimini doesn't keep any versions of Oracle software 4 on its system, and in each client's case, they keep a version 5 in the client's Dev and QA environments that's specific to 6 that client, and there are often differences. 7 So the versioning is done in the client's 8 environment for that client. Rimini wouldn't be keeping any 9 version control of that because it's not in their systems. 10 MR. McCRACKEN: Thank you, Professor. I have no 11 more questions on direct. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Cross-examination, 13 Mr. Isaacson. 14 MR. ISAACSON: Yes. 15 Good afternoon, Professor. My name is Bill 16 Isaacson. 17 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. ISAACSON: 20 Now, as I understand it, you were engaged by Rimini Street to evaluate and analyze Ms. Frederiksen-Cross's report. 21 2.2 Α Yes, that's correct. 23 And you were not asked to do an investigation of your own 24 and reach an opinion on whether Rimini Street has violated the 25 injunction; is that correct?

A That's correct.

- 2 Q The technical analysis that you've done in this case of
- 3 Rimini's processes was based upon what Rimini's engineers and
- 4 Rimini documentation told you; is that correct?
- 5 A Well, as I mentioned, I have read all the exhibits and
- 6 | information that Ms. Frederiksen-Cross includes in her report
- 7 | so I've used that along with what Rimini has provided me.
- 8 Q Right. So your technical analysis of Rimini's processes
- 9 | include what Rimini engineers told you, what Rimini
- documentation told you, and what you reviewed from the
- 11 exhibits of the Frederiksen-Cross report.
- 12 A I think that's reasonable.
- 13 Q And in terms of what Rimini engineers told you, or
- 14 anybody at Rimini told you, you did not undertake any factual
- 15 | investigation to verify the accuracy of their representations
- 16 | about their processes; is that correct?
- 17 A I worked to make sure that the explanations and e-mail
- 18 and logs that I read were consistent.
- 19 Q That wasn't my question.
- 20 You did not undertake any factual investigation to
- 21 | verify the accuracy of any Rimini representation that was made
- 22 to you, correct?
- 23 A I did not take specific actions for that, correct.
- 24 Q And you personally did not do anything to confirm whether
- 25 any Rimini developer copied Oracle code, correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I looked at all the exhibits and logs I was given, and I saw that except for the -- I didn't see any examples where code was copied, so I'm not sure what else I could have done. Well, you -- are you saying that if you wanted to know whether a Rimini developer copied Oracle code, all you think you could do was evaluate the Frederiksen-Cross report? As I mentioned, I looked at the log files of all the different tools that a Rimini engineer uses. I did not interview all of the Rimini engineers and ask them that question. In fact you personally did not do anything that -- to confirm that no Rimini developer copied Oracle code to its systems since the injunction went into effect, isn't that correct? In looking at the log files that were provided to me, which, as I understand it, were all the log files, I saw no such evidence. All right. But all you did was confine yourself to the materials in the Frederiksen-Cross, and other than that, you did not personally do anything to confirm that a Rimini developer copied Oracle code to its system since the injunction went into effect. I have that correct, don't I? I did look at the log files, and these are extensive log

files, but I didn't look at things outside of the materials

- that I have already spoken of that I used in coming to my opinions.
 - Q As part of your work in this case, you were allowed access to speak to anyone at Rimini that you wanted to, correct?
 - A I don't know that that was the case.
- Well, let me understand that. If you asked to talk to someone at Rimini, were you granted permission to do so?
- A I -- when I spoke with engineers, that happened. I

 didn't, for example, ask to speak with -- we've seen now QA

 engineers now in Bangalore and other parts of the world. I

 don't know if that would have been allowed or not.
- 2 So you interviewed certain people, and you didn't interview others. Those were your decisions. Every person that you requested to interview you were told yes.
- 16 A I think that's fair.

4

5

6

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

- Q Okay. And it was never suggested to you that in doing your work that if you needed to talk to someone at Rimini the answer might be no.
- 20 A That wasn't suggested to me.
 - Q All right. And it would be fair to say that it was your assumption in doing your work that you are going to be allowed reasonable access to speak to anyone at Rimini that you wanted to.
- 25 A I think that's reasonable.

- Now, after the injunction the only interview that you did 1 2 with any Rimini personnel was with a gentleman named James 3
- 4 I believe that's a reference in one of my reports, yes.
- 5 And you understood that he was an associate general 6 counsel at Rimini.
- 7 I don't remember his title.
- 8 Do you know anything about his background?
- 9 Not that I can recall.
- 10 So it was your choice, as I understand it, not to speak 11 to any Rimini personnel beyond Mr. Butler after the
- 12 injunction.

Butler.

- 13 Α Yes.
- 14 And by the time of your reports and deposition in this 15 case, you had not personally observed Rimini's software
- 16 development team performing any testing of any updates. Do I
- 17 have that correct?
- 18 I did not see Rimini engineers working in development 19 environment, that's correct.
- 20 You had not observed a Rimini engineer logging into a client environment to update or fix files before your reports 21 2.2 and depositions.
- 23 I may have seen someone log into a system, I don't 24 recall. But that would have been logging in, not going 25 through the entire development process.

1 Q And for your reports and depositions -- well -- well,
2 strike that.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Let me just ask you, you don't know whether Rimini

 has ever developed a PeopleSoft or a JD Edwards update for one

 Rimini client that was provided only to that client; is that

 right?
 - A As I stated earlier, I was asked to respond to the allegations of Ms. Frederiksen-Cross, and as I have talked about today, in each one of those cases I have described how the updates were made for that client.
 - Q To be clear, you are not here to answer the question before the Court as to -- for example, as to whether -- are you giving an opinion today as to whether the injunction has been violated?
 - A In my responses I have indicated that in what

 Ms. Frederiksen-Cross has opined that the injunction hasn't

 been invalidated, that we're in compliance based on her

 allegations.
- 20 Are you giving the opinion that in every respect the injunction has not been violated?
- 21 A Only in responding to Ms. Frederiksen-Cross.
- 22 Q With respect -- are you giving the opinion that -- well,
 23 I'll move on.
- You're not aware in terms of a -- since the injunction you are not aware any material changes to Rimini's

- 1 JD Edwards support processes, correct?
- 2 A That's reasonable, yes.
- 3 | Q Now, in terms of your testimony concerning derivative
- 4 | works, you consider the issue of whether a file is a
- 5 derivative work to be a legal conclusion, correct?
- 6 A Basically it's my understanding that that is -- that
- 7 | copyright issues are legal concerns, yes.
- 8 Q Right. You have no formal training in copyright law.
- 9 A I am not a lawyer, that is correct.
- 10 Q And I think it was made clear on your direct you're not
- 11 | trying to give legal opinions.
- 12 A That is correct.
- 13 Q All right. Now, you were asked in this case by Rimini's
- 14 | counsel to assume that to be a derivative work a work must
- 15 | substantially incorporate protected material from a
- 16 preexisting work; is that right?
- 17 A That's consistent with other definitions that I've been
- given in other cases as well, but, yes, that's correct.
- 19 Q And you agree that even under the definition of a
- derivative work that you were asked to assume, a work doesn't
- 21 | need to literally contain Oracle code to be a derivative work.
- 22 A I think what you're saying is consistent with my -- with
- 23 | the definition I used, but it must be substantially similar
- 24 to, as I understand it.
- 25 Q All right. Now, with respect to what we have called

violation 1, PeopleSoft materials on Rimini's site, this
refers to the psptaxdt, the PeopleSoft functional user
documentation on G6, and the PeopleSoft tax update on Texas

Children's Hospital. You remember those topics.

A I remember those topics, yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

2.2

23

24

- Q Okay. Now, with respect to the psptaxdt.dms materials that were on Rimini's system, in your opinion was there a violation of Rimini's Acceptable Use Policy?
- A My understanding of the Acceptable Use Policy is that Rimini's clients are told not to attach PeopleSoft software to either an e-mail or SalesForce ticket, so the fact that that happened would not be consistent with the Acceptable Use Policy.
- Q And you heard Mr. Benge say that in his view what happened there was a violation of the Acceptable Use Policy.
- A I heard Mr. Benge discuss that he had been reprimanded for that as well.
- Q Right. And, in your opinion, is what was -- what
 happened with the psptaxdt.dms materials a violation of the
 injunction?
 - A My understanding is that violation of the injunction would be a legal determination, not something that I would do.
 - Q Now, it hasn't been that long that we've been talking together. I thought you just gave me the opinion before that there's been no violation of the injunction in any respect

based on the materials that you've reviewed from the

Frederiksen-Cross report which would include this topic.

Are you changing that testimony?

A With -- as I discussed earlier today, I consider these isolated so it's not my determination as to whether they're being found on Rimini's system is a violation of the injunction.

It would appear that having files on Rimini's system is not consistent with their Acceptable Use Policy. Whether that's a violation of the injunction is something that I believe is a legal determination.

Q I'm becoming confused about your perception of your role here.

A few minutes ago you told me it was your opinion, that you were giving the opinion in this case that there was not a violation of the injunction in any respect based on the materials that you reviewed from the Frederiksen-Cross report.

Now you seem to be saying it's not your position -it not your position to be giving opinions about whether there
was a violation of the injunction.

A It may have been --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 22 | Q Are you changing your testimony?
- A When you asked me the earlier question, I was thinking
 more about the cross-use issues rather than all the issues
 before me, and that may have been in my mind when I answered

- 1 | your question.
- 2 Q So when I asked you whether you were giving an opinion
- 3 | about a violation of the injunction in every respect, with
- 4 respect to everything in the Frederiksen-Cross materials, you
- 5 | were only talking about cross-use.
- 6 A When I said that I didn't think that there had been a
- 7 violation, I was referring to cross-use, yes.
- 8 Q All right. Now, I'm still trying to understand your
- 9 role, as you view it, as an expert in this case.
- 10 You just told me that with respect to the PeopleSoft
- 11 | files on Rimini's system, violation 1, that you did not think
- 12 | it was your role to give opinions about whether there was a
- 13 violation of the injunction.
- 14 But do I understand it that for cross-use you do
- 15 | think it's your role to give opinions about whether there's a
- 16 | violation of the injunction.
- 17 A I believe it's my role to respond in each case that
- 18 Ms. Frederiksen-Cross provided as to whether her analysis is
- 19 | correct, and in the case of cross-use, as I explained earlier
- 20 today, I don't think her analysis is correct.
- 21 Q Right.
- 22 A In the case of having files on Rimini's system, there are
- 23 | absolutely files on Rimini's system.
- 24 Q Right. I don't think you're answering my question, sir,
- 25 | so I'm going to ask it again, all right?

2.2

You told me that with respect to the first violation, it was not your role as an expert to reach a conclusion about whether there's a violation of the injunction. All right.

With respect to cross-use you told me, again a matter of a minute or two ago, that, in your view, there was no violation of the injunction, and that's what you were thinking about when I asked you about whether -- your opinions about whether there was a violation of the injunction.

When your -- is it your view -- what you're saying is it's okay for you to give an opinion about whether there's a violation of the injunction on some of these topics but not others.

A I'm happy to give an opinion on each of the aspects that I have talked about today that are in response to

Ms. Frederiksen-Cross. So I would be happy to give an opinion about the files that you're alluding to as well as to the cross-use.

Q Well, with respect to the PeopleSoft materials, documentation, files that were found on the Rimini system with respect to violation one, do you have an opinion about whether there was a violation of the injunction?

A I would need to see the wording of the injunction to be sure, but absolutely there were files found on Rimini's system and they were Oracle files.

- Q Right. Is it -- once you heard they were violations of the Acceptable Use Policy, was that sufficient for you to know that they were violations of the injunction?
 - A The Acceptable Use Policy doesn't enter into my determination that they were found on the Rimini systems.
- O Did you review the Acceptable Use Policy before doing your reports or your depositions in this case?
- 8 A I read the Acceptable Use Policy. When I did that, I
 9 don't recall.
- 10 Q You didn't find it in your materials relied on in the -11 for your reports. Did you -- is this -- did you review the
 12 Acceptable Use Policy for the first time in order to prepare
- 14 A No.

19

20

21

2.2

for trial?

4

- Now, in terms of Oracle's IP that was uploaded to

 SalesForce by Rimini clients, you understood that there were

 Reference of Oracle IP uploaded to SalesForce by Rimini

 clients; is that correct?
 - A No, I understand that Ms. Frederiksen-Cross discussed many instances in her report, and I had an opinion in response to that. I don't recall how many I ultimately agreed might have been.
- MR. ISAACSON: Well, let me see if I can refresh your memory here.
- Can we look at his March 13th report at

```
1
     paragraph 211. We're going to show it to you. If you ever
 2
     need the reports, they're it's also in that binder that's been
 3
     handed to you.
                                 What Tab number or what exhibit
 4
                   THE WITNESS:
 5
     number?
 6
                   MR. ISAACSON:
                                 It's March 13th, it's towards the
 7
    back after the exhibits.
 8
                   THE WITNESS:
                                 Okay.
 9
                   MR. ISAACSON: And we're going to look in
10
     paragraph 211.
11
                   THE WITNESS: Okay. I see that on the screen
12
     and I have the report.
13
     BY MR. ISAACSON:
14
          All right. And you see in the middle of that paragraph,
15
                  "I understand that out of 934 documents that
16
          hit on Ms. Frederiksen-Cross' search, 844 of them,
17
          more than 90 percent, were uploaded to SalesForce by
18
          clients in violation of Rimini's policy."
                   Right? And you -- and what you were doing there
19
20
     was responding to Ms. Frederiksen-Cross' report which is where
21
     she had done, as referenced there, a search of Rimini's files
2.2
     for Oracle copyrighted material and identified 934 documents,
23
     and you looked at that and said, "I understand that 844 of
24
     them were uploaded to SalesForce by clients."
25
          Yes.
      Α
```

-1044-

- And you didn't question the accuracy of her search when she found those 934 documents.
- 3 A No, I did not.
- Q Okay. How did you determine that 844 of them were uploaded to SalesForce by clients?
- A There were SalesForce tickets that discussed these as I understand it.
- 9 You went through SalesForce tickets for 844 of these and determined that they came from clients?
- 10 A Well, if they were SalesForce tickets, they would have 11 come from clients.
- 2 So is it your -- so let me understand your work process
 here, that if it was a SalesForce -- if it was uploaded -- so
 you are just going by the fact that who did the upload.
- Did you actually check those uploads?
- A I don't recall if I looked at all eight hundred and -what's the number I used there?
- 18 Q Eight hundred --
- 19 A Forty-four.
- 20 Q Eight hundred forty-four.
- 21 A But when I write I understand that 90 percent of them
 22 were uploaded, that would mean that that was represented to
- 23 me, and I checked some of them.
- Q Right. Then you talked to Mr. Butler about the 934
- 25 | files, correct?

- A Yes, that's correct.
- 2 Q And after the injunction, when you talked to Mr. Butler,
- 3 | you talked to him about two things that you can remember, one
- 4 | was these 934 files, and the other was ten files that related
- 5 to violation 1. Do you recall that?
- 6 A I'd have to look at my footnotes, but that seems
- 7 reasonable.

- 8 Q I'm referring to your footnotes on page 70 and 114 of
- 9 your report.
- You don't recall talking to Mr. Butler after the
- 11 injunction about any other topics; is that correct?
- 12 A If I didn't reference it in that report, that would be
- 13 correct.
- 14 | Q Now, you say -- you go on to say,
- "I also understand that every one" -- and you
- 16 put that in italics -- "of these 934 documents were
- flagged by a Rimini employee as potentially
- containing third-party intellectual property
- 19 materials."
- I just want to stop there. Where did you go
- 21 that understanding from?
- 22 A That would have been from Mr. Butler. That's why it's
- 23 referenced in that footnote.
- 24 Q Well, it's -- actually the footnote is to the next
- 25 | sentence. There is no footnote to this sentence.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And I frankly don't understand where you were saying that after Barbara Frederiksen-Cross did a search and found 934 documents, that you then say,

"I understand that every one of these 934 documents were flagged by a Rimini employee as potentially containing third-party intellectual property materials."

Now, I know that the Frederiksen-Cross report flagged those. I don't know who this Rimini employee is, do you?

- 11 A I'm reporting about the conversation I had with
 12 Mr. Butler.
- 13 Q Do you know who the Rimini employee is who flagged these documents?
- 15 A I don't know if it was one, many, or who -- no, I do not know.
 - Q You said -- you said one, singular.

And when you had this conversation with Mr. Butler did it strike you as something worth inquiring about that once Ms. Frederiksen-Cross said, "Oh, I have flagged through the search 934 files," that Mr. Butler was saying, "Well, we already have a Rimini employee who has identified those"?

A I don't recall, but the use of the word "a" to me doesn't

mean a single person, it means some, but I don't know if it was one or many, and I did not follow up, that's correct.

Q A Rimini employee doesn't mean one. Okay.

But whether it's one or some, the -- my question is are you saying that you learned that before the Frederiksen-Cross report that these reports had already -- that these documents had already been flagged by Rimini?

A That's what I write here, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q So before the Frederiksen-Cross report revealed to the Court that there were 934 documents on their system that potentially contained third-party intellectual property materials and that they were the exact 934 documents flagged by Frederiksen-Cross, that that was all known beforehand for her report by Rimini, that's what you found out.
- 13 A I don't have that temporality in my report, nor do I
 14 recall that.
- 15 Q But that's what you wrote.
 - A What I wrote is that Ms. Frederiksen-Cross says that 934 documents hit in her search, and that -- then I write that "each of these documents was flagged by an employee."

So I didn't write that I had the conversation with Mr. Butler before the report. This is in response to her 934.

- Q Oh, I didn't mean to imply that you had the -- when you had the conversation with Mr. Butler. I only know it's after the injunction.
- So did you have the conversation with Mr. Butler before or after the reports of Ms. Frederiksen-Cross?

- 1 A That would have been after in responding to her report.
- 2 Q Right. And after her report, and in terms of your work
- 3 in responding to the report, you found out that Rimini had
- 4 | already flagged these documents.
- 5 A As referenced by Mr. Butler, that's correct.
- 6 Q And then Mr. Butler told you that all 934 documents were
- 7 locked down, quarantined, to make the file inaccessible, and
- 8 | you actually say that twice in this paragraph. He told you
- 9 | they were quarantined; is that right?
- 10 A That's what he said, yes.
- 11 Q And did you have an understanding of quarantined, where,
- 12 how?
- 13 A I know that what Mr. Butler told me. I didn't look to
- 14 understand the quarantine process that might have been in
- 15 place.
- 16 Q And you looked -- as a result of looking at the documents
- 17 | in this case, you looked at these files and -- they're in
- 18 | SalesForce, they're in e-mail files, and you saw them on a
- 19 | nonquarantine basis, right?
- 20 A I saw them as part of these e-mails and SalesForce
- 21 | tickets; that's correct.
- 22 Q And in your conversation with Mr. Butler was there any
- 23 suggestion that there more than 934 files in quarantine, or
- 24 | was that it?
- 25 A I don't recall.

- 1 Q Did you ask?
- 2 A I can't recall.
- 3 Q And you have never seen any actual documentation that any
- 4 of these files were quarantined.
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 Q We can look at your slides DDX-550 and 51.
- Now, this is the discussion of Johnson Controls, and here you're discussing how the W2 update was not cross-use.
- 9 Do you recall this slide?
- 10 A **Yes.**
- 11 Q Now, these were not -- you discuss the Johnson Controls
- issue in one paragraph of your report. Do you recall that?
- 13 A If you represent to me that's what I did, that sounds
- 14 reasonable.
- 15 Q And you didn't give any of these opinions in that
- 16 | paragraph, did you?
- 17 A I'd have to look to see. I don't recall my report
- 18 | verbatim at this point.
- 19 MR. ISAACSON: All right. Can we look at
- 20 paragraph 278 of that report.
- 21 BY MR. ISAACSON:
- 22 And, there, you can see a discussion of the bug fix and
- 23 JHN. Do you see that?
- 24 A **Yes.**
- 25 Q And you say that what the Frederiksen-Cross report has to

- 1 | say is extremely misleading, and you talk about the Adobe
- 2 Acrobat .pdf form. Do you see that? Which you said is not
- 3 Oracle software.
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 Q All right. You didn't discuss in your report whether the
- 6 City of Eugene was one of many clients affected by the bug,
- 7 | correct?
- 8 A That's correct. In this paragraph, I do not.
- 9 Q And you didn't discuss whether testing the solution to
- 10 | the bug in the City of Eugene's environment, for City of
- 11 | Eugene, whether that was cross-use, right?
- 12 A Yes. To be fair, this paragraph is discussing one aspect
- of the update, which was to change the .pdf file font. It
- 14 does not discuss the other one that you're asking me about,
- 15 | that's correct.
- 16 | Q And I agree on that.
- The work that you did here for your testimony was
- 18 | something you have just done in preparation for trial, reading
- 19 | some documents and listening to some testimony that's going on
- 20 | at trial. That's what's going on here, right?
- 21 A Well, I did all the work before I listened to the
- 22 testimony.
- 23 Q All right. And so if we can look at DTX-302, which is
- 24 | the document that you were looking at -- and hopefully -- is
- 25 | that in your binder? I think we need to hand that up. Well,

- that's in your binder from counsel, from your counsel. 1 2 Do you have an exhibit number on this? Is it on this? 3 DTX-302. 0 Oh, 302. 4 Α I believe it was in the binder that counsel for Rimini 5 6 Street gave you for your direct testimony, and it was a 7 document that you discussed with him so you had to have it up 8 there. 9 Α Okay. 10 MR. ISAACSON: All right. And can we put that 11 on the screen at page 2.
- 12 BY MR. ISAACSON:

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q And you read together, on page 2, an e-mail from Mr. Ramachandran,
- "Looks like this could be a potential problem
 for all new clients."

And then up above that, at the top of the page, "GPD, please let me know after you scope if you think other clients could be impacted."

You recognize that scope refers to the scope that goes into their system to determine who is going to be getting an update, correct?

- A I know that one use of scope is in the Jira system, that's correct.
- 25 Q All right. Now, that's what you read, and you decided to

```
read -- that was your choice to read those selections from the
 1
 2
     document; is that right?
 3
      Α
          Well, yes.
          Now, we go to page 1, and Paula Smith writes to the
 4
 5
     group, including to Jim Benge,
 6
                  "We will handling this on a case-by-case
 7
          basis as it will depend on the data they report."
 8
                   And you don't know whether City of Eugene was in
 9
     scope for this bug fix, you don't know whether they ever
10
     provided data that would indicate they needed the bug fix, and
11
     you don't know whether, when Rimini Street handled this on a
12
     case-by-case basis, that was to include the City of Eugene.
13
                   You don't know any of those things, do you?
14
          That's kind of a complicated question with many, many
15
     parts so --
          It was a compound question, and I'll ask it three times
16
17
     if you want, but I invite you to answer the question.
18
          As I understand it, in the Jira system, scope is
19
     something that can change.
20
               And as I read these documents, it is my
21
     understanding that the reason the update was made in the City
2.2
     of Eugene's environment was because that was one of the
23
     clients that would be in scope, as it were, in needing the
24
     update.
25
          But you never saw the City of Eugene was in scope for
```

- 1 | this bug fix, correct?
- 2 A I saw that US was in scope, and the City of Eugene is in
- 3 the US.
- 4 Q That was -- and did you -- and what date were they in
- 5 | scope -- was it in US in scope?
- 6 A I'd have to review the Jira logs to see when it was and
- 7 | when it wasn't.
- 8 Q Right. You're not able to -- you're not able to do that
- 9 today, and I'm not going to ask you to do it today.
- But you don't know the dates when City of Eugene was
- in scope or out of scope, is that fair?
- 12 A I don't know when the US was the scope completely. I
- would have to look at the Jira logs to be able to answer
- 14 | that with some authority.
- 15 Q And you don't know -- I'm sorry. I didn't mean to
- 16 | interrupt.
- You don't know when the City of Eugene was ever --
- 18 was within -- was ever determined on a case -- as a part of
- 19 this case-by-case basis as needing the bug fix, you don't know
- 20 that, do you?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q And you don't know whether they reported any data
- 23 | indicating they needed a bug fix, correct?
- 24 A I do not know that.
- 25 Q And you could have asked Rimini people. You could have

gone and said, "Did City of Oregon -- City of Eugene need the 1 2 bug fix? You know, I got this document, but it's not clear to 3 me," perhaps you're thinking that. You never went and asked anyone, did you? 4 5 What I did ask is was the City of Eugene potentially 6 affected by the bug, and the answer I got was yes. 7 0 From who? 8 From the Jira documents. I asked and was also informed 9 by talking -- well, I didn't speak with, but I heard Mr. Benge 10 discuss this. 11 Are you referring to his testimony or discussion outside 12 of court? 13 No, I did not have a discussion with him outside of 14 I heard that here. 15 So you're just relying on what Mr. Benge said and 16 Jira documents that you don't quite remember, right? 17 And the e-mail documents that you just had me reference. 18 Right. And you never went to the engineers on this and said -- or to Paula Smith, for that matter, who said, 19 20 "We will be handling this on a case-by-case 21 basis as it will depend on the data they report." 22 You never went to Paula Smith, or anybody else 23 with knowledge, to find out whether City of Eugene fell within 24 that case-by-case, or reported any of the necessary data. 25 You didn't do that, did you?

```
1
          I did not speak with Paula Smith.
 2
                   MR. ISAACSON: Then if we could look at DDX-551.
 3
     BY MR. ISAACSON:
          This is another one of your slides about the reuse of
 4
 5
     know-how, and, here, I think you said the Rimini engineers
 6
     knew the City of Eugene needed this bug fix, that the Rimini
 7
     engineers thought the City of Eugene was potentially affected
 8
    by a bug.
 9
               I think that's what you told us, right?
          Well, I certainly knew that they were potentially
10
11
     affected by the bug because they used this form.
12
          But you actually went beyond that and suggested that you
13
     had an understanding based on your work about what the Rimini
14
     engineers knew, and what the Rimini engineers were thinking.
15
               That's what you were telling us, right?
16
          I'm sorry, I don't understand your question.
17
          Okay. Let me -- the transcript will say what it says.
18
               But when you talked about what Rimini engineers
     might have known and what the City of Eugene needed, right?
19
20
               Ms. Frederiksen-Cross has to read the documents.
21
     She can't go to Rimini Street and interview engineers and find
2.2
     out what's going on. You could. You could actually do that,
23
     and you didn't, did you?
24
          I did not speak to Rimini engineers, that's correct.
25
          If we could look at your -- at 544, DDX-544, another one
```

```
of your slides, this is your definition of derivative work.
 1
 2
          No, I don't think so.
 3
          Oops. Oh, cross-use, sorry. Yeah. This is your
     definition of cross-use.
 4
                               Thanks.
 5
               And this is a definition provided to you by counsel;
 6
     is that right?
 7
          Well, as we can see in this slide, the permanent
 8
     injunction order says,
 9
                  "Shall not reproduce, prepare derivative
          works from, or use a specific licensee's PeopleSoft
10
11
          software or documentation."
12
                   That's what I refer to as the yellow bullet 1,
13
                  "There must be a reproduction or a derivative
14
          work," and then, "or use of a specific licensee's
15
          PeopleSoft software documentation."
16
                   And then part 2 is the green, "Other than to
17
          support the specific licensee's own internal data
18
          processing operations."
19
                   So I'm meaning these two definitions to comport
20
     with that injunction order.
21
          My pen just fell apart. I apologize.
2.2
               And it's your view that if there was a reproduction
23
     or derivative work of Oracle software by a Rimini client that
24
     was not solely to support that client, that that would be
25
     cross-use; is that right?
```

- A I think that's what bullet number 2 says, but I'd want to actually look at what your sentence is to be sure I got it right.
 - Q I think that's what it says, too. I just want to make sure you agree with me.

It's the next page is your definition of derivative work, and I think you said several times, "I understand this is the definition of derivative work."

When you say you understand that, your understanding is that's the legal definition of derivative work, and you have obtained that from counsel.

- A That's correct. And as I, I think, mentioned to you in a previous response, that's consistent with the definition of derivative work that I've been given in other cases as well.
- Q By other lawyers.
- 16 A That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

17 Q It's always nice when some lawyers agree.

The -- now, with respect to the cross-use issue,
which we call violation 4 with respect to Spherion and Smead
which you spent some time on, you are assuming that, as a
factual matter, that the City of Oregon [sic] environment was
used for testing, and not the environment of Spherion and
Smead because there was a problem common to many customers,
including the City of Eugene, correct?

A Again, there was -- there are many parts to your

- statement, and as I've discussed earlier, these -- both I
 wrote about, and we heard Mr. Benge and Ms. Davenport discuss,
 that these were tested in the other environments. So that's
 why I don't understand your question completely.
 - Q I'm just trying to understand the factual assumptions you're making.

I think we agree that the City of Eugene was used for testing of the -- of the fix here that went to Spherion and Smead. We agree on that?

- 10 A No, I don't agree with your characterization of it.
- 11 Q **Okay**.

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

23

24

25

- 12 A The City of Eugene was used to test the fix in the City
 13 of Eugene's environment for the City of Eugene.
- 14 Q Right. And then that fix also went to Spherion and 15 Smead.
- 16 A Where it was tested as well.
 - Q Right. And your factual assumption is that when the testing happened in the City of Eugene, there was a problem common to many customers that included the City of Eugene.
- 20 A I believe I stated that many customers would be affected
 21 by this bug, and the City of Eugene was one of them. I
 22 believe that is consistent with your statement.
 - Q Okay. And you are also assuming that the City of Eugene environment was not used simply because Rimini could not access the environments of Spherion and Smead.

- 1 | A I did not say that, that's correct.
- 2 Q Okay. I think I have achieved some level of negatives
- 3 here so I didn't understand your answer, but that may be the
- 4 problem with my question.
- 5 Did you have any understanding that the City of
- 6 | Eugene environment was being used by Rimini because they could
- 7 | not access the Spherion and Smead environments?
- 8 A No, I did not have that understanding.
- 9 Okay. And, on this issue, you didn't ask to interview
- 10 Tim Pringle or anyone from business affairs, right?
- 11 A That's correct.
- 12 O You did interview Don Sheffield at some point, correct?
- 13 A That sounds right.
- 14 Q Did you talk to him about the 940 Schedule A issue?
- 15 A I don't recall the complete substance of my conversation.
- 16 Q And do you have any familiarity with the City of Eugene
- 17 PeopleSoft license?
- 18 A No, I do not.
- 19 MR. ISAACSON: All right. Can we look at
- 20 **DDX-559**.
- 21 BY MR. ISAACSON:
- 22 Q This was a chart you prepared -- well, did you prepare
- 23 this chart?
- 24 A I worked with counsel to prepare my demonstratives. I
- 25 | didn't actually edit the PowerPoint, but we discussed it and

1 prepared it.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q Like who wrote the dates?
- A I understand that these dates are consistent with the exhibits that are noted as the basis for each of them.
 - Q That doesn't answer my question.

Who wrote dates on the slide?

- A Well, as I mentioned, I did not write the slide, but I was there when the slides were created. So I certainly didn't type January 25.
- Q Well, I don't know how you do your writing. Some of us handwrite things out and then they are put on a slide. Some of us type our own slides.
 - But did you write out in any fashion, you know, these three dates with these three things happening, or was this something you were just handed?
 - A You use the word "write," and as I mentioned, I did not write these, but it was a collaborative process of developing these slides, and those dates are certainly dates that I agree with.
- Q By collaborative process, do you mean that someone else wrote a draft of this, handed it to you, and you ended up agreeing with it?
- A No, That's not what I would describe as a collaborative process, nor is it the process that was used in this case.

```
1
          All right. So can we look at -- so it says on -- the
 2
     first thing it says is that,
 3
                  "On January 25th, 2019, Don Sheffield
          modifies Rimini-created files and tests them in City
 4
 5
          of Eugene's development environment for City of
 6
          Eugene."
 7
                   And then you reference OREX 21 at 2, and so can
 8
     we look at OREX 21 which I think is in both your binders.
 9
                   All right. And you can see there at the bottom
     of 2, Mr. Sheffield says, "I have completed the testing in
10
11
     COEX," City of Eugene.
12
          Yes, I see that.
13
          All right. Now, if we go to page 3, Mr. Sheffield says,
14
     on January 24th, "I tested in COEX." The testing happened on
15
     January 24th, didn't it?
16
          Well, that's certainly when this e-mail was written, yes.
17
          And the slide is inaccurate when it has that January 25th
18
     date for your first entry there, correct? It should say
19
     January 24th.
20
          There's an e-mail that you just told me earlier that
     says, "I have completed the testing, and have now ruled it
21
2.2
     out." So --
23
          Right. He wrote on -- he said on the 24th, "I tested
24
     it," and he wrote again on the 25th, "I've completed it."
25
               The first time he says he tested it was the 24th,
```

- 1 right?
- A And that's the first time he says he tested it, that's
- 3 correct?
- Q Right. Do you agree with me that this slide should say
- 5 January 24th?
- A I don't know if, in that e-mail from the 24th, which I -you know, it says,
- 8 "I tested it so tomorrow morning I will get
 9 the objects out to the clients and create the tech
 10 doc."
- 11 That's what it says on the e-mail of the 24th.
- 12 O Do you know whether on January 24th, whether -- well, you
- don't know on January 24th -- I think you told me this --
- 14 whether Rimini Street had any access to the Spherion or Smead
- 15 environments. You don't know that, right?
- 16 A I don't -- I know that there were some issues in that
- 17 | access. I don't know when that was.
- 18 Q Right. And do you know whether City of Eugene was in
- 19 | scope on January 24th?
- 20 A What I -- I don't know, without looking at the Jira logs,
- 21 | who was in scope, if that's what you mean. If you mean by in
- 22 | scope in the Jira logs, I don't know that.
- Q Okay. And on January 24th, you don't know whether
- 24 | the business analysis department had already made the
- determination that this form, 940 Schedule A, was not needed,

- 1 except for clients who were -- had operations in the Virgin 2 Islands. You don't know that. 3 I certainly don't know what they knew other than by the e-mail I referenced which happened on January 28th. 4 5 Right. And you were here when we discussed in court that the IRS had said in a formal notice in November of 2018 that 6 7 this form was only required if you were -- for -- the credit 8 was only available for the Virgin Islands, the credit 9 reduction. You were here and saw that, right? 10 I was here when we saw that in the IRS form. 11 indicated that there was a credit reduction in the 12 Virgin Islands. 13 Right. And you saw that that was dated November of 2018, 14 right? 15 Α Yes. 16 Okay. And over on your third column, you say, "A Rimini business analyst determines update 17 18 will not be delivered to all clients for tax law
- 19 reason."
- 20 All right? That was Ms. Laurie Gardner and her 21 department, correct?
- 2.2 I believe that's correct, yes.
- 23 Okay. You don't know when Ms. Gardner made that 24 determination, do you?
- 25 No, I only know when she sent that e-mail.

1 Q Right. Exactly.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And you said on the stand that every client needed this form when the testing was done, and that Rimini had made that determination, but you don't actually know that, do you?

A I was speaking of the developers who, it's my understanding, thought that all US clients would potentially need this.

I don't know how developers would have responded to the legal analysis before the e-mail on the 28th.

- Q You thought all the developers were thinking that?
- 11 A That's why, it's my understanding, that this was done in
 12 the environments that needed it.

We saw e-mails that indicated it would be rolled out -- the one you just showed me, it said, "We're rolling it out to all US clients."

That's my understanding that that's what the developers thought.

- Q All right. So you read a document, and you say, "I know what all the developers are thinking."
- A I obviously did not talk to all the developers so I couldn't know what they are all thinking. I know that what Mr. Sheffield wrote in his e-mai, is it was intended that this was going to roll out to all US clients.
- Q And I think -- it's not that you didn't to all them, you didn't talk to a single one, did you?

- 1 A I did not talk to engineers, that's correct.
- 2 Q All right. And you didn't talk to Laurie Gardner.
- 3 A That's also correct.
- 4 Q And you were here and heard that it was the business
- 5 | analysis department that does the analysis to determine what
- 6 | clients need what updates, right?
- 7 A I heard that, yes.
- 8 Q A developer is not sitting there thinking, "oh, I know
- 9 | what tax form this" -- you know, "I know the City of Eugene
- 10 needs the 940 Schedule A." That's the business analysis
- 11 department decision, isn't it?
- 12 A No. In this case, I can see in this e-mail from
- 13 Mr. Sheffield, who is an engineer, that he clearly thought all
- 14 US clients were going to receive it. It's clear from the
- 15 | e-mail to me.
- 16 Q And that's just your reading as a computer scientist of
- 17 | an e-mail, right?
- 18 As a computer scientist who has worked with many
- 19 developers, that's correct.
- 20 Q And when you said that -- in the middle column that
- 21 | "Don Sheffield sends Rimini created files to US clients," what
- 22 environment did he send it to, what client environments?
- 23 A It would have been sent using the transfer tools and the
- 24 | Create Update Tools -- probably not the latter.
- 25 As it says here,

"I know I need to know which of the document 1 2 artifact folders it would be added to. I notice the 3 scope in Jira was set to SPH. I changed it 4 temporarily to US so that I could use the create 5 update folder" -- sorry. I'll go again. 6 "I noticed the scope in Jira was set to 7 SPH," that's Spherion. "I changed it temporarily to 8 US so that I could use the CreateUpdateFolder tools for all US clients that will ultimately get this 9 10 update." 11 In order for the clients to actually get the updates, 12 they have to put it in their production environment from -- is it from the QA environment? Is that right? 13 14 I'm not sure what your question is because you said 15 production environment and QA environment. 16 How does a client -- the client, in order to get Yeah. 17 the update, it has to end up in their production environment, 18 right? 19 Rimini does not access the client's production 20 environment. 21 I know, but they send -- exactly. They send out a notice 2.2 of some type saying to the client the update is available and 23 you should access it on the QA product -- maybe I'm wrong 24 about which environment, but not from the production

environment -- to the client in the production environment,

25

```
gets a notice, and then they go in and they grab this update.
 1
 2
     That's the general process, right?
 3
          That's -- it's reasonable that that's the general process
     because informal and formal updates are different. But, in
 4
 5
     either case, the client has to move it to their production
 6
     environment.
 7
          Right. And there was never a determination that the City
 8
     of Eugene, or anybody besides Spherion, Smead, and Matheson,
 9
     needed this update and that the message should be sent, "go
10
     grab this update." That never happened, did it?
11
          Again, I'm not sure what your question is.
12
               Is you question who was told to move it into
13
     production?
14
          Yeah.
          As I understand it, we see here, it will not be delivered
15
16
     to all clients for tax law reasons, so not all clients were
17
     told to move it into production. That seems very clear to me.
18
          I agree. Only three were, Spherion, Smead, and Matheson.
19
          I know that they were. I don't know if they were the
```

only ones.

Okay. And one other question on this topic. Did you

- reach a conclusion as to whether there was a violation of the
- 23 injunction with respect to the Matheson aspect of this case?
- 24 Is that what you were testifying to on the stand?

20

21

25 A No. As I understand it, that was something that the

```
1
     Court had already made a decision regarding.
 2
                   MR. ISAACSON: All right. It's five o'clock,
 3
     your Honor, and I'm done with this topic.
 4
                   THE COURT: All right. It is an appropriate
 5
     time to take our weekend recess. I'm sure everyone will enjoy
 6
     a couple of days off to the extent they're able to be off.
 7
                   MR. ISAACSON: Can I just do one piece of
 8
     cleanup here?
 9
                   THE COURT: Go ahead.
                   MR. ISAACSON: I will hand up Exhibit 1352 which
10
11
     was that piece of transcript which was admitted yesterday so
12
     that the Court has a copy of it.
13
                   And then -- days are -- was it earlier today
14
     that you talked about getting the findings of fact and
15
     conclusions of law in two weeks?
                   THE COURT: Well, two weeks from when we end.
16
17
                   MR. ISAACSON: Right, Right. And I quickly said
18
     yes, and then everybody behind me advised me of, like, all
19
     sorts of problems they have in the next two weeks, and we were
20
     wondering if we could get three weeks. We know there is no
21
     objection from Rimini Street to that.
                   THE COURT: All right. I have no problem with
2.2
23
     three weeks if that works for everyone.
24
                   MR. VANDEVELDE: Yeah, no objection.
25
                   And I wanted to raise one housekeeping issue,
```

-1069-

```
1
     just on the timing for next week if your Honor --
 2
                   THE COURT: Yes.
 3
                   MR. VANDEVELDE: -- doesn't mind. Is that all
 4
     right?
 5
                   THE COURT: Go ahead.
 6
                   MR. VANDEVELDE: We talked about timing.
 7
     think we are well under our three days still. We have two
 8
     more witnesses after Professor Astrachan. I think maybe,
 9
     collectively, they will be a half-a-day.
10
                   To the extent Oracle wants to do a rebuttal
11
     case, if your Honor will permit it, we would just like advance
12
     notice of whoever they intend to call.
13
                   MR. ISAACSON: We will do that.
                                    Okay.
14
                   MR. VANDEVELDE:
15
                   THE COURT:
                               I would expect that, and I
16
     appreciate it.
17
                   And at this point in time I see that everyone is
18
     within their time that the Court had in mind for the case.
19
                   MR. VANDEVELDE: Thank you, your Honor.
20
                   THE COURT: All right.
21
                   So back to the weekend, enjoy it, and we'll see
2.2
     you on Monday morning at 9:00 a.m.
23
                   MR. VANDEVELDE: Thank you, your Honor.
24
                   MR. ISAACSON: Thank you, your Honor.
25
                           (Proceedings were adjourned.)
```

	1070-
1	-000-
2	
3	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings
4	in the above-entitled matter.
5	/s/Margaret E. Griener September 25, 2021 Margaret E. Griener, CCR #3, FCRR
6	Official Reporter
7	
8	INDEX
9	DEFENDANTS' WITNESSES: PAGE:
10	CROUCH-DAVENPORT, Brenda (By Televideo)
11	Direct Examination By Ms. Samplin 848 Cross-examination By Ms. Smith 887
12	Redirect Examination by Ms. Samplin 918
13	
14	ASTRACHAN, Owen
15	Direct Examination By Mr. McCracken 921 Cross-Examination By Mr. Isaacson 1031
16	
17	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS:
18	30 - 210 - 847
19	405 - 406 - 878
20	1352 - 847
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

\$ \$T3 [2] - 991:22, 991:24	1031 [1] - 1070:15 1099 [26] - 862:10, 862:13, 862:15, 862:16, 862:18, 863:9, 863:11,	177 [1] - 894:24 18 [4] - 893:15, 896:11, 898:6, 898:7 19 [5] - 898:1, 898:5, 898:8, 931:14,	210 [4] - 873:1, 873:18, 873:24, 1070:18 211 [2] - 1043:1, 1043:10	360 [1] - 962:18 361 [1] - 962:18 3:30 [1] - 1013:3	
'80s [1] - 925:17 '90s [2] - 924:18, 925:17 'em [5] - 967:24,	863:19, 863:23, 864:3, 864:14, 864:22, 865:1, 865:2, 865:8, 865:15, 930:8, 1012:22, 1013:15, 8:6, 1017:23, 1018:3, 8:17 1018:14, 1018:23, 1025:8, 1025:14 1099-I [3] - 1015:1, 1015:12, 1025:9	863:19, 863:23, 864:3, 864:14, 864:22, 865:1, 865:2, 865:8, 865:15, 930:8,	931:20 1999 [1] - 850:4 19P02 [1] - 884:15 1:15 [2] - 933:17, 934:1	22 [3] - 845:24, 847:9, 894:24 23 [3] - 889:7, 889:14 237 [3] - 936:2, 938:13, 983:4 23rd [1] - 912:3	4 4 [8] - 894:25, 930:6, 930:7, 945:3, 956:17, 994:10, 1003:5, 1057:19 4,000 [1] - 993:14
967:25, 968:6, 968:13, 968:17 'setenv.sqc [1] - 1020:8		2 2 [17] - 966:10, 985:12, 997:17, 998:3, 998:4, 998:6, 1001:18, 1006:11,	24 [5] - 841:6, 843:1, 889:7, 934:1 243 [3] - 959:14, 959:21, 960:4 245 [1] - 959:21 24th [12] - 1061:14,	4/18 [1] - 864:12 4/4 [2] - 884:20, 886:16 40 [1] - 924:22 400 [2] - 841:24, 886:8 401 [1] - 869:14	
/s/Margaret [1] - 1070:5	1015:12, 1025:9 1099.sqr [2] - 1018:11, 1018:22 1099i.sqc [1] -	1006:20, 1019:17, 1028:10, 1051:11, 1051:13, 1056:16, 1057:1, 1061:7,	1061:15, 1061:19, 1061:23, 1061:25, 1062:5, 1062:6, 1062:11, 1062:12,	402 [4] - 870:14, 879:16, 880:1, 908:19 403 [3] - 871:2, 882:9,	
00160772[1] - 880:24 00161188[1] - 878:13 00162054[2] - 873:4, 877:1 0175[1] - 961:9 03[4] - 955:1, 955:5, 959:13, 959:14	1020:23 1099i.sqr[1] - 1019:6 10:30 [1] - 887:6 10:35 [1] - 887:6 11 [5] - 931:13, 986:9, 986:10, 986:11, 987:6 114 [1] - 1045:8 11:45 [2] - 909:18, 909:21 12 [1] - 986:21 129 [1] - 896:11	1061:10 20 [2] - 850:5, 926:25 200 [1] - 884:13 200049 [1] - 881:18 2000s [1] - 924:19 200105 [2] - 869:4, 915:17 2008 [3] - 849:10, 889:25, 895:25	1062:13, 1062:19, 1062:23 25 [2] - 1060:9, 1070:5 25th [10] - 879:15, 880:14, 881:1, 883:21, 911:16, 1012:3, 1061:3, 1061:17, 1061:24 26 [1] - 989:10	913:23 404 [3] - 871:20, 883:9, 913:23 405 [5] - 876:3, 878:10, 878:15, 878:19, 1070:19 406 [5] - 879:24, 880:21, 881:3, 881:7, 1070:19	
1 1 [12] - 889:8, 903:2, 966:10, 985:1, 998:4, 998:6, 998:17, 1038:1, 1040:11, 1045:5, 1052:4, 1056:12 1.3.4 [1] - 986:7		201 [1] - 875:21 2015 [2] - 917:20, 928:14 2017 [1] - 928:9 2018 [7] - 863:9, 864:12, 864:25, 881:2, 898:21, 1063:6, 1063:13 2018-B [2] - 1007:14, 1008:4	278 [1] - 1049:20 28th [3] - 876:11, 1063:4, 1064:9 29 [3] - 962:16, 965:13, 971:15 29th [5] - 876:10, 876:20, 880:15, 909:4, 910:3 2:10-cv-0106-LRH- VCF [1] - 841:5	407 [1] - 882:15 408 [1] - 883:14 42 [1] - 910:4 44 [1] - 909:21 440 [1] - 923:7 49 [1] - 985:1 4th [2] - 901:24, 903:10	
1.3.5 [1] - 991:8 1/29 [1] - 909:7 10 [9] - 930:6, 930:9, 955:6, 957:20, 959:13, 959:15, 986:1, 1013:1, 1025:16 100 [3] - 933:2, 933:11, 975:21 100126 [1] - 863:3 100127 [1] - 864:9 100130 [1] - 864:21 100131 [1] - 864:21 1004 [1] - 866:6 1009 [2] - 869:5, 870:14 101 [1] - 923:2 1013 [4] - 870:22, 871:4, 871:20, 871:25	139 [2] - 974:18, 978:24 13th [4] - 898:3, 898:21, 1042:25, 1043:6 14 [2] - 893:15, 996:8 14-day [1] - 845:4 15 [4] - 893:15, 961:19, 961:21, 1013:1 16 [2] - 845:24, 847:9 17 [3] - 889:14, 961:21, 996:8 175 [8] - 959:20, 962:16, 965:10, 965:12, 971:15, 974:17, 976:24, 978:23 176 [1] - 894:24	2019 [26] - 873:16, 879:15, 880:14, 880:15, 881:1, 883:22, 884:20, 886:16, 892:23, 898:4, 901:24, 902:3, 903:10, 903:14, 904:2, 904:13, 904:18, 905:19, 906:14, 906:22, 909:4, 909:7, 910:3, 911:16, 912:3, 1061:3 2021 [4] - 841:6, 843:1, 934:1, 1070:5 205 [1] - 876:23 20th [1] - 849:10 21 [3] - 903:3, 1005:19, 1061:7	3 [10] - 841:33 845:24, 847:9, 863:8, 930:6, 963:22, 996:3, 996:4, 1061:13, 1070:5 3-18 [1] - 863:8 3/8 [1] - 863:9 30 [10] - 845:1, 845:19, 846:24, 847:2, 847:4, 922:22, 922:24, 924:22, 990:5, 1070:18 302 [3] - 998:3, 998:4, 1051:4 31st [1] - 875:12 32 [1] - 991:1	5 5 [5] - 841:8, 929:18, 934:14, 934:16, 940:17 50 [1] - 924:22 500 [1] - 923:8 500,000 [1] - 927:8 501 [2] - 939:5, 951:11 51 [1] - 1049:6 544 [1] - 1055:25 6 6 [10] - 930:6, 930:8, 953:3, 974:18, 976:9, 976:10, 978:23, 1005:20, 1012:22, 1013:14 601 [1] - 864:19 605 [1] - 862:25	

606 [1] - 864:5	982:4	accuracy [3] -	admit [3] - 846:15,	agreeing [1] - 1060:22
64 [3] - 959:15,	9:00 [1] - 1069:22	1032:15, 1032:21,	846:21, 917:8	ahead [17] - 848:5,
959:21, 960:4	9:05 [1] - 843:1	1044:1	admitted [21] - 847:3,	857:19, 857:21,
· ·			• •	
65 [1] - 959:21	9:11 [1] - 910:3	accurate [2] - 918:21	862:25, 864:6, 864:20, 866:7,	857:25, 875:17,
_	A	achieve [3] - 956:4,	, ,	884:11, 887:5,
7	Α	956:15, 985:25	868:15, 869:5,	888:24, 889:9,
7 020.42 054.2	Alexic 004:45	achieved [1] - 1059:2	870:22, 873:23,	889:15, 894:1,
7 _[4] - 930:13, 951:3,	A's [1] - 994:15	acknowledged [1] -	875:21, 877:5,	895:1, 907:6,
951:23, 979:22	A-s-t-r-a-c-h-a-n [1] -	932:23	877:6, 877:8,	985:15, 1013:1,
7/16 [1] - 864:25	921:14	Acrobat [1] - 1050:2	878:18, 879:17,	1068:9, 1069:5
70 [1] - 1045:8	a.m [1] - 1069:22	ACTION [6] - 965:25,	881:6, 882:9,	AirPod [4] - 855:16,
	A.M [1] - 843:1	966:4, 966:16,	883:10, 884:14,	855:18, 855:22
8	abbreviation [1] -	967:12, 967:22,	886:9, 1068:11	al [2] - 841:4, 841:7
	939:9	971:8	admitting [1] - 845:20	algorithms [1] - 923:2
8 [1] - 896:12	able [11] - 858:3,	action [16] - 949:20,	Adobe [1] - 1050:1	aligned [2] - 982:19,
8,000 [1] - 990:15	896:19, 914:6,	965:23, 966:15,	advance [1] - 1069:11	982:23
80 [3] - 952:11, 984:18	933:16, 988:20,	966:21, 967:1,	advanced [1] - 922:25	allegation [6] -
844 [5] - 1042:17,	989:25, 1009:9,	967:3, 967:6,	advised [1] - 1068:18	929:24, 987:8,
1043:16, 1043:23,	1053:8, 1053:13,	969:20, 970:23,	affairs [1] - 1059:10	987:10, 991:17,
1044:4, 1044:8	1068:6	970:24, 971:3,	affect [5] - 937:25,	1003:21, 1029:13
847 [2] - 1070:18,	above-entitled [1] -	971:5, 971:6, 971:7,	941:18, 1002:8,	allegations [2] -
1070:20	1070:4	971:11	1011:14, 1011:20	1036:8, 1036:18
848 [1] - 1070:11	absolutely [8] -	ACTION-FETCH [6] -	affected [21] - 997:6,	alleged [6] - 929:20,
873 [1] - 1070:18	925:13, 955:24,	965:25, 966:4,	997:9, 997:13,	984:20, 989:2,
878 [1] - 1070:19	968:21, 989:18,	966:16, 967:12,	997:16, 998:1,	1014:5, 1014:8,
881 [1] - 1070:19	991:2, 997:2,	967:22, 971:8	999:2, 999:3,	1014:16
885 [1] - 962:16	1040:23, 1041:24	actions [2] - 968:4,	1000:2, 1000:13,	allegedly [3] - 958:18,
886 [1] - 962:17	academic [2] - 923:20,	1032:23	1000:25, 1002:12,	961:5, 990:13
887 [1] - 1070:11	924:2	activities [2] - 893:25,	1004:9, 1007:6,	Allen [1] - 841:19
890 [1] - 965:14	acceptable [1] - 887:4	1027:21	1009:4, 1011:18,	allotted [1] - 843:12
892 [1] - 965:14	Acceptable [11] -	activity [1] - 994:7	1012:20, 1050:6,	allow [12] - 846:7,
89501 [1] - 841:24	846:1, 1038:8,	actual [12] - 887:25,	1054:6, 1055:7,	868:17, 872:21,
••••••	1038:9, 1038:12,	891:1, 899:19,	1055:11, 1058:20	894:1, 896:16,
9	1038:15, 1039:9,	902:23, 915:1,	affects [1] - 1012:1	897:7, 917:22,
•	1042:2, 1042:4,	918:11, 918:20,	affirmatively [1] -	925:10, 995:10,
9 [4] - 930:2, 930:11,	1042:6, 1042:8,	966:20, 989:19,	988:13	995:13, 1024:5,
959:20, 984:10	1042:12	990:9, 992:8, 1049:3	afternoon [7] -	1027:13
90 [2] - 1043:17,	access [20] - 858:25,	Add [4] - 986:15,	1012:25, 1013:2,	allowed [4] - 925:14,
1044:21	859:2, 895:3,	986:24, 986:25,	1013:8, 1013:11,	1034:3, 1034:12,
900 [3] - 971:16,	940:22, 944:2,	991:21	1013:12, 1031:15,	1034:22
972:21, 974:3	946:7, 954:18,	add [8] - 986:19,	1031:17	allowing [1] - 849:2
909 [2] - 971:16, 974:3	954:19, 965:20,	987:20, 988:4,	AFW [2] - 930:21,	allows [2] - 859:17,
918 [1] - 1070:12	965:23, 969:18,	989:1, 989:24,	930:22	1030:4
921 [1] - 1070:15	972:13, 1034:4,	992:3, 992:10	ago [6] - 867:13,	alluding [1] - 1041:17
934 [15] - 1043:15,	1034:23, 1058:25,	added [8] - 874:8,	894:22, 896:15,	almost [5] - 938:17,
1043:22, 1044:2,	1059:7, 1062:14,	877:12, 878:13,	923:12, 1039:14,	961:17, 1021:3,
1043:22, 1044:2,	1062:17, 1066:19,	880:25, 986:18,	1041:6	1021:24, 1023:15
1045:16, 1046:3,	1066:23	987:21, 988:2,	agree [21] - 844:4,	alone [3] - 1016:17,
1046:4, 1046:21,	accessed [1] - 943:25	1066:2	844:6, 906:6, 935:1,	1019:6, 1025:1
1047:8, 1047:10,	accesses [2] - 970:13,	additionally [1] -	979:6, 996:25,	alpha [5] - 858:15,
1047:16, 1047:10,	971:1	917:18	1003:25, 1012:15,	863:3, 866:21,
1047:10, 1047:20,	accessing [5] -	address [7] - 862:6,	1013:24, 1017:1,	
940 [7] - 873:7,	958:21, 965:21,	875:2, 879:2,	1013:24, 1017:1,	885:9, 886:12
875:12, 1004:10,	969:3, 969:4, 970:20	881:14, 881:19,	1050:16, 1057:15,	alphabet [1] - 974:1
1004:15, 1059:14,	according [1] - 898:25	908:13, 911:13	1057:17, 1058:7,	alternate [1] - 957:7
1062:25, 1065:10	account [1] - 960:13	adhering [2] - 926:10,	1058:9, 1058:10,	amount [2] - 936:15,
940A [3] - 1003:10,	accounted [1] -	926:13	1060:18, 1062:4,	949:2
1003:18, 1006:8	960:23	adjourned [1] -	1067:18	analogous [1] -
9999 [4] - 975:6,	accumulates [1] -	1069:25	agreed [2] - 977:9,	980:24
975:10, 976:15,	939:8	admission [1] - 846:3	1042:21	analysis [22] - 937:25,
313.10, 310.13,	000.0	auminosion [i] * 040.3	1012.21	938:1, 946:21,

954:14, 960:23, 961:18, 977:6, 983:21, 994:23, 1001:21, 1004:22, 1007:16, 1028:20, 1032:2, 1032:8, 1040:18, 1040:20, 1062:24, 1064:9, 1065:5, 1065:10 analyst [2] - 1012:5, 1063:17 analytic [13] - 942:4, 942:8, 942:19, 948:10, 961:25, 965:15, 972:6, 974:10, 976:23, 977:1, 977:7, 977:8, 983:17 analyze [8] - 941:23, 942:1, 948:21, 978:1, 994:2, 994:6, 1014:8, 1031:21 analyzed [2] - 935:10, 935:15 Analyzer [1] - 899:1 analyzing [1] - 965:13 Anil [1] - 874:10 ANSWER [6] - 889:12, 889:19, 889:22, 894:6, 895:6, 896:23 answer [23] - 852:10, 853:4, 890:16, 891:8, 893:7, 894:8, 895:14, 896:14, 905:15, 905:22, 909:11, 909:12, 909:14, 911:20, 969:7, 1024:5, 1034:19, 1036:11, 1052:17, 1053:13, 1054:6, 1059:3, 1060:5 answered [1] -1039:25 answering [1] -1040:24 anticipate [2] - 843:8, 844:17 anticipation [1] -843:22 apart [1] - 1056:21 API [30] - 944:3, 955:12, 955:17, 955:25, 956:1, 956:2, 956:10, 956:14, 957:11, 957:13, 957:24, 963:2, 963:9, 965:19, 966:2, 966:6, 966:7, 966:9,

966:12, 967:4, 967:8, 967:16, 969:2, 970:12, 971:6, 971:10, 976:6, 976:7, 976:17, 983:18 apologize [4] - 877:6, 891:13. 914:1. 1056:21 appear [7] - 938:3, 938:17, 948:15, 953:1, 980:9, 1003:3, 1039:8 APPEARANCES[1] -841:13 appearing [2] -847:20, 848:23 Apple [2] - 1024:10, 1024:12 Application [1] -956:2 application [2] -866:22, 956:11 applied [24] - 856:10, 857:6. 870:21. 871:8, 871:23, 872:3, 876:9, 878:4, 878:5, 880:7, 882:20, 882:21, 899:18, 909:1, 909:3, 909:6, 909:7, 909:14, 910:3, 913:20, 946:6, 994:23, 995:20 applies [2] - 856:14, 857.9 apply [26] - 851:10, 854:2, 856:18, 857:14, 857:21, 858:7, 882:25, 883:6, 883:7, 883:19, 883:24, 884:8, 884:11, 885:18, 911:25, 912:5, 912:7, 912:10, 913:15, 913:17, 913:18, 914:4, 961:25, 965:15, 972:6, 976:22 Apply [65] - 856:4, 856:8, 856:13, 856:22, 856:25, 857:2, 857:15, 857:24, 857:25, 861:18, 862:1, 869:8, 869:19, 869:21, 870:17, 870:24, 871:6, 875:23, 875:25,

876:3, 876:4, 879:17, 879:21, 880:1, 880:3, 880:14, 882:10, 882:13, 882:18, 882:20, 883:10, 883:13, 883:16, 883:17, 883:25, 890:18, 890:21, 891:2, 891:4, 891:15, 891:18, 891:21, 892:1, 892:3, 892:6, 892:19, 899:6, 899:10, 899:16, 899:20, 908:19, 908:22, 908:25, 909:17. 909:23. 910:1. 912:2. 913:6. 913:9, 913:13, 914:3, 920:7, 920:10, 1030:10 applying [2] - 885:2, 885:3 appreciate [5] -846:20, 849:3, 946:2, 963:14, 1069:16 Approach [1] - 985:16 appropriate [1] -1068:4 approves [1] - 893:22 April [2] - 901:24, 903:10 area [9] - 926:24, 939:24. 941:10. 941:11, 962:1, 964:8, 964:15, 1014:13 areas [4] - 869:11, 929:16. 995:6. 1014:12 array [1] - 934:23 artifact [1] - 1066:2 Arts [2] - 922:10, 922:12 aside [2] - 904:10, 926:22 aspect [3] - 924:3, 1050:12, 1067:23 aspects [2] - 987:16, 1041:14 assertion [1] -1028:25 assessment [1] -843:8 assignment [2] -928:18, 928:20 assist [2] - 891:21,

assume [5] - 846:24, 971:21, 984:3, 1037:14, 1037:20 assuming [4] -898:10, 1010:9, 1057:20, 1058:23 assumption [2] -1034:22, 1058:17 assumptions [1] -1058:5 Assurance [3] - 850:1, 887:24, 888:1 assurance [21] -849:14, 849:16, 849:20, 849:21, 850:2, 850:4, 850:7, 850:22, 852:13, 854:21, 854:24, 858:3, 859:6, 859:23, 861:8, 862:17, 888:2, 888:10, 906:1, 931:1, 1029:25 asterisk [5] - 951:3, 951:22. 951:23. 954:2, 954:3 asterisks [17] - 938:3, 938:5, 938:8, 938:9, 938:15, 938:18, 938:23, 950:22, 951:13, 951:14, 952:1, 952:7, 952:11, 952:15, 952:16, 962:5, 962:10 Astrachan [9] -844:14, 921:6, 921:12, 921:21, 946:4, 952:13, 1013:11, 1069:8 ASTRACHAN[2] -921:8, 1070:14 attach [1] - 1038:10 attached [1] - 931:11 attachment [1] -931:15 attachments [1] -931:15 attempt [1] - 893:17 attend [1] - 923:5 attention [1] - 914:20 Attorneys [2] -841:17, 841:21 augments [1] - 939:17 Australia [1] - 850:11 authored [2] - 924:10, 924:11 authority [1] - 1053:14 available [8] - 875:2,

associate [1] - 1035:5

879:2, 879:7, 881:14, 908:13, 911:13, 1063:8, 1066:22 avoid [1] - 988:14 award [9] - 923:16, 923:17, 923:22, 924:1, 924:3, 924:8 awards [5] - 923:14, 923:15, 923:21, 923:24, 926:1 aware [4] - 862:9, 892:21, 1036:24, 1036:25 awkward [1] - 893:21

В

B999 [4] - 1000:8. 1000:15, 1001:3, 1002:18 B999999.99 [4] -998:21, 999:11, 999:25, 1002:22 Bachelor [1] - 922:10 background [2] -922:9, 1035:8 BAL [1] - 971:20 Balamani [5] - 867:19, 867:20, 868:22, 870:1, 870:9 **BALANCE**[2] - 962:4, 962:6 balance [1] - 971:21 Bangalore [2] -850:11, 1034:11 Banner [1] - 866:24 Barbara [1] - 1046:2 based [19] - 846:21, 901:21, 920:9, 920:14, 920:19, 945:25, 967:5, 983:21, 987:18, 987:22. 988:23. 991:2. 1014:7. 1028:20, 1032:3, 1036:17, 1039:1, 1039:16, 1055:13 basis [8] - 977:14, 1027:2, 1048:19, 1052:7, 1052:12, 1053:19, 1054:21, 1060:4 basketball [2] - 925:9, 925:11 bates [2] - 935:23, 936:10 became [1] - 922:11

becoming [1] -

1039:12

922:5

BEFORE [1] - 841:2 874:22, 883:2, 848:11, 1070:10 856:11, 905:5 923:12 905:25 beforehand [1] -BRENDA [1] - 848:6 business [10] calculations [1] blank [2] - 964:9, 1047:11 briefly [2] - 1012:1, 873:16, 939:12, 971:25 began [4] - 849:14, 997:24 1027:12 1012:5, 1030:20, CALL [1] - 966:14 849:15, 850:4, blank-filled [1] - 964:9 broad [1] - 995:10 1059:10, 1062:24, **CALLS**[1] - 966:13 909:19 blinking [2] - 975:14, brought [1] - 918:12 1063:17, 1065:4, cannot [4] - 905:17, 1065:10 Begin [2] - 986:25, 975:18 budget [1] - 890:6 914:9, 988:19, Butler [16] - 1035:3, 1020:10 987.1 block [7] - 991:22, buffer [1] - 964:10 1035:11, 1044:24, begin [3] - 937:3, 992:4, 992:5, 992:8, bug [35] - 997:6, canonical [1] - 947:11 1045:2, 1045:10, 992:12, 992:13, 951:3, 986:25 997:7, 997:9, career [5] - 849:12, 1045:22, 1046:12, beginning [8] -993:6 997:13, 997:16, 923:10, 923:17, 1046:18, 1046:21, 874:21, 947:25, blocking [1] - 883:3 998:2, 998:13, 924:1, 924:3 1047:20, 1047:22, 951:22, 953:9, blow [3] - 936:23, 999:2, 999:12, careful [8] - 964:14, 1047:24, 1048:5, 953:13, 953:14, 957:15, 964:19 999:16, 1000:1, 964:16, 970:15, 1048:6, 1048:13, blurry [1] - 864:4 962:2, 962:3 1000:3, 1000:13, 970:19, 970:25, 1048:22 begins [2] - 964:7, **body** [1] - 972:24 1000:25, 1001:1, 972:15, 972:19, button [3] - 855:18, 989:15 **book** [1] - 924:13 1001:12, 1002:4, 976:5 956.9 behalf [4] - 846:20, border [2] - 951:19, 1002:12, 1009:4, Carolina [1] - 921:22 846:21, 848:6, 921:8 1011:19, 1049:22, BY [64] - 848:20, carry [1] - 949:22 951:25 852:9, 868:10, behind [3] - 949:6, 1050:6, 1050:10, case [99] - 844:7, Borst [3] - 863:6, 868:18, 869:15, 1052:9, 1052:10, 1068.18 864:11, 864:24 860:16, 861:22, **below** [1] - 966:17 1053:1, 1053:19, 872:23, 874:1, bottom [25] - 864:2, 865:20, 872:5, 1053:23, 1054:2, 877:9, 878:20, benefit [4] - 1025:13, 873:4, 873:6, 865:4, 870:14, 881:8, 883:4, 1054:6, 1055:6, 1026:22, 1027:23, 873:13, 873:14, 883:2, 883:3, 883:5, 1055:8, 1055:11, 887:22, 889:1, 1029:3 874:2, 874:3, 874:4, 910:13, 912:25, 889:23, 894:3, 1058:21 874:7, 874:8, 875:3, Benge [22] - 845:10, 936:23, 937:6, 894:16, 895:10, build [3] - 856:10, 845:14, 845:22, 953:6, 954:6, 954:7, 876:12, 876:25, 895:21, 897:10, 882:24, 883:19 897:24, 898:3, 962:2, 964:3, 877:1, 877:12, 900:16, 907:12, building [1] - 870:16 898:20, 900:10, 964:19, 966:10, 877:24, 878:7, 918:4, 921:19, bulk [1] - 938:1 905:1, 907:19, 966:17, 967:5, 878:12, 878:13, 929:10, 934:10, bullet [4] - 945:3, 908:2, 908:5, 982:15, 984:25, 879:2, 880:16, 935:8, 935:13, 1026:19, 1056:12, 914:19, 1002:3, 1008:21, 1021:21, 880:23, 880:24, 936:4, 936:24, 1004:12, 1027:5, 1061:9 1057:1 881:14, 881:19, 937:23, 938:14, 1027:8, 1038:14, bowtie [1] - 946:17 bunch [2] - 989:21, 892:15, 892:16, 940:2, 940:18, 1038:16, 1052:5, box [19] - 853:13, 990:7 892:21, 901:5, 951:12, 954:12, 1054:9, 1054:15, bundle [44] - 851:3, 901:6, 901:10, 864:1, 864:3, 955:14, 956:18, 1058:2 851:17, 851:19, 902:15, 904:3, 951:17, 951:25, 957:16, 959:3, Benjamin [1] - 841:14 851:24, 852:1, 908:13, 910:1, 952:24, 953:13, 963:23, 965:9, best [6] - 900:9, 980:25, 986:14, 852:2, 852:12, 911:4, 911:13, 974:20, 984:19, 853:24, 854:3, 915:5, 917:11, 924:19, 925:21, 987:15, 996:8, 986:22, 987:7, 925:24, 926:2, 926:4 999:13, 999:14, 854:15, 854:22, 942:4, 942:16, 989:11, 991:5, 943:11, 945:17, better [1] - 854:19 999:16, 1003:17, 856:14, 884:15, 991:12, 993:11, 947:6, 949:4, between [11] - 844:18, 1022:12, 1022:14 884:21, 884:23, 994:5, 999:20, 956:11, 960:14, boxes [12] - 865:7, 885:2, 885:7, 851:16, 887:6, 1001:20, 1005:21, 953:14, 953:23, 885:10, 885:14, 977:15, 977:16, 928:8, 928:10, 1008:17, 1013:13, 983:13, 999:15, 954:1, 979:1, 979:2, 885:17, 885:21, 929:20, 932:20, 1020:20, 1024:16, 1001:14, 1007:22, 885:22, 886:4, 951:8, 965:2, 979:2, 986:15, 986:17, 1028:11, 1031:19, 1010:23, 1021:24, 886:11, 886:17, 986:23, 986:25, 980:4 1043:13, 1049:21, 1022:21, 1024:2, 886:20, 886:22, 987:1, 987:14 beyond [2] - 1035:11, 1051:12, 1055:3, 901:19, 901:20, 1024:14, 1024:22, bracket [3] - 925:9, 1055:12 1059:21 1026:14, 1027:23, 902:9, 902:12, 925:12, 925:15 Bill [1] - 1031:15 1028:19, 1031:4, 903:7, 903:15, binder [8] - 862:22, brackets [1] - 925:11 C 903:17, 904:6, 1032:2, 1034:3, 898:1, 935:6, break [9] - 856:21, 905:14, 913:16, 1034:6, 1035:15, 955:15, 1043:2, 887:2, 887:6, C++ [2] - 924:16, 1037:13, 1039:15, 916:25, 919:16, 1050:25, 1051:1, 887:12, 887:17, 1023:4 1014:11, 1014:14, 1040:9, 1040:17, 933:14, 933:15, 1051:5 c-o-m-p [2] - 981:17, 1040:19, 1040:22, 1014:15, 1014:17, 1009:11, 1013:2 binders [2] - 994:3, 982:12 1042:7, 1048:17, breaking [1] - 939:18 1015:9 1061:8 calculating [2] -1052:6, 1052:12, bit [7] - 856:21, 859:5, breaks [1] - 940:7 **bundled** [1] - 851:22 851:13, 855:13 1053:18, 1053:19, bundles [3] - 854:17, 867:13, 869:9, Brenda [3] - 847:19, calculation [1] -

1054:20, 1054:24, 1036:25 1012:8, 1012:9, 854:3, 856:11, 1019:3, 1019:23, 1060:25, 1065:12, Changes [2] - 985:17 1012:13, 1012:19, 856:13, 857:3, 1020:16, 1021:15, 1012:20, 1050:6, 857:20, 858:4, 1021:22, 1021:23, 1067:5, 1067:23, changing [3] - 853:14, 1069:11, 1069:18 1039:3, 1039:22 1050:10, 1052:8, 858:14, 860:4, 1022:11, 1022:17, case-by-case [5] -Chapel [1] - 921:21 1052:12, 1052:21, 860:16, 860:23, 1022:20, 1024:22, 1052:6, 1052:12, character [8] - 926:19, 1052:25, 1053:2, 861:9, 861:13, 1024:25, 1025:12, 1053:19. 1054:20. 1053:10, 1053:17, 861:23, 865:10, 1026:3, 1026:12, 936:14, 957:3, 1054:24 1054:1, 1054:5, 866:21, 866:22, 1026:14, 1026:15, 957:12, 964:9, cases [18] - 863:24, 1054:23, 1055:6, 867:12, 869:24, 1026:22, 1027:14, 964:11, 973:25, 1055:7, 1055:19, 869:25, 874:3, 1027:16, 1027:22, 865:3, 865:8, 874:3, 975:10 897:19, 900:22, 1057:21, 1057:24, 874:4, 874:6, 874:7, 1028:19, 1029:1, characterization [1] -1058:7, 1058:12, 874:17, 874:25, 1029:4, 1029:7, 901:9, 902:13, 1058:10 1058:13, 1058:18, 875:3, 876:5, 1031:4, 1031:5, 918:15, 919:1, characterize [1] -1058:19, 1058:21, 876:12, 876:25, 1031:7, 1066:19 928:10, 931:11, 952:18 1058:23, 1059:5, 877:12, 878:13, client-specific [1] -933:12, 975:8, characters [17] -1036:9, 1037:18, 1059:16, 1061:4, 879:7, 880:4, 902:21 944:4, 952:9, 1061:5, 1061:11, 880:23, 880:24, 1057:14 clients [93] - 850:19, 956:22, 956:25, 1062:18, 1065:9, 881:19. 882:18. 851:8, 858:12, Casey [1] - 841:21 957:7, 958:3, 958:9, 1067:7 883:16. 884:12. 859:19, 866:1, category [2] - 950:20, 958:11, 960:4, 884:25, 885:15, claim [3] - 901:19, 866:4, 866:12, 1015:8 989:18, 999:11, 885:17, 886:11, 932:5, 1012:12 caught [1] - 906:15 866:13, 866:16, 999:13, 999:16, 892:15, 892:16, **claimed** [6] - 932:7, 866:20, 867:13, CBL [1] - 934:21 1020:12, 1021:10, 892:21, 897:17, 974:15, 978:2, cbl [1] - 937:15 1021:12, 1021:13 867:16, 872:25, 899:18, 902:10, 978:8, 982:6, 873:9, 915:6, cc [1] - 898:23 chart [2] - 1059:22, 902:11, 902:14, 1025:25 1059:23 915:19, 929:17, CCR [2] - 841:23, 902:21, 903:20, claims [3] - 962:1, 931:6, 931:8, 1070:5 check [3] - 855:13, 904:1, 904:12, 987:12, 1026:4 931:12, 931:15, center [2] - 925:5, 939:23, 1044:15 904:17, 904:23, clarification [1] -931:20, 932:15, 956:12 CHECK [1] - 964:20 897:2 905:9, 909:23, 933:7, 996:1, 997:5, **checked** [1] - 1044:23 certain [9] - 885:20, 914:10, 933:1, clarify [3] - 897:7, 997:12, 997:15, 943:14, 943:16, checks [7] - 939:24, 933:4, 994:15, 997:23, 998:1, 897:12, 902:8 944:23, 950:25, 940:1, 940:4, 940:5, 994:16, 994:17, 998:11, 998:12, class [4] - 923:13, 969:20, 1008:7, 941:6, 953:10, 981:6 994:18, 995:23, 998:24, 999:1, 945:23, 968:7, 968:9 1020:25, 1034:13 Children's [1] - 1038:4 classes [4] - 922:23, 995:25, 999:15, 999:3, 999:5, certainly [9] - 846:16, choice [4] - 944:4, 922:24, 922:25, 1000:16, 1002:19, 1001:25, 1002:5, 990:21, 1017:22, 970:4, 1035:10, 1002:21, 1006:9, 923:5 1003:24, 1004:6, 1018:11, 1055:10, 1052:1 1008:24, 1010:15, 1005:14, 1005:17, clean [2] - 845:16, 1060:8, 1060:18, circled [1] - 960:3 1014:14, 1018:24, 1005:23, 1006:3, 1061:16, 1063:3 City [88] - 996:12, 970:19 1018:25, 1019:4, 1006:4, 1006:7, certify [1] - 1070:3 cleanup [1] - 1068:8 996:23, 997:4, 1022:23, 1024:25, 1007:2, 1007:5, clear [10] - 853:20, chain [1] - 1006:13 997:7, 997:8, 999:5, 1025:13, 1026:16, 1007:8, 1007:10, 862:21, 964:10, chance [1] - 892:23 999:17, 999:23, 1026:23, 1027:23, 1007:13, 1007:19, 974:12, 980:16, 1000:1, 1000:2, change [21] - 851:7, 1028:19, 1029:2, 1007:23, 1007:25, 1036:11, 1037:10, 1000:5, 1000:7, 851:19, 852:15, 1029:3, 1029:20, 1008:2, 1008:7, 1054:2, 1065:14, 852:17, 853:2, 1000:10, 1000:12, 1030:21, 1031:6, 1008:20, 1009:4, 1067:17 1000:15, 1000:16, 854:5, 860:4, 875:7, 1031:8, 1035:21, 1009:9, 1009:24, clearly [6] - 977:20, 1000:17, 1000:18, 901:13, 965:1, 1036:5, 1036:10, 1010:4, 1012:4, 984:4, 990:3, 985:23, 1003:22, 1000:23, 1000:24, 1056:23, 1056:24, 1018:1, 1029:22, 998:12, 1001:25, 1004:9, 1007:6, 1002:5, 1002:11, 1064:2, 1065:22, 1030:7, 1030:12, 1065:13 1009:18, 1009:20, 1002:13, 1002:14, 1066:16, 1066:22, 1038:10, 1042:16, CLERK [12] - 848:1, 1009:21, 1011:16, 1003:23, 1004:6, 1066:25, 1067:5 1042:18, 1043:18, 848:4, 848:8, 1050:13, 1052:19 1004:8, 1007:13, client's [48] - 850:25, 1043:24, 1044:5, 848:12, 848:16, changed [4] - 985:25, 1008:23, 1008:25, 852:24, 853:1, 1044:9, 1044:11, 848:18, 887:10, 1009:13, 1066:3, 1009:2, 1009:3, 854:23, 856:14, 1050:6, 1051:16, 888:22, 921:10, 1009:14, 1009:21, 1066:7 856:24, 860:8, 1051:19, 1052:23, 921:13, 921:15, 1010:25, 1011:1, changes [14] - 882:4, 870:17, 886:5, 1062:9, 1063:1, 929:8 898:24, 899:3, 1011:2, 1011:3, 897:18, 899:14, 1063:18, 1064:6, click [1] - 918:16 1011:5, 1011:8, 908:14, 917:19, 931:24, 940:23, 1064:15, 1064:23, 1011:15, 1011:16, client [116] - 850:16, 985:6, 985:8, 985:9, 988:9, 1010:8, 1065:6, 1065:14, 851:11, 851:20, 985:11, 986:3, 1011:18, 1011:19, 1010:14, 1018:5, 1065:21, 1066:9, 852:25, 854:2, 1009:1, 1009:17, 1011:21, 1012:2, 1018:6, 1018:19, 1066:11, 1067:16

clients' [1] - 849:24 close [3] - 878:7, 933:3, 933:10 closed [1] - 877:24 closer [1] - 878:24 closings [2] - 844:7, 844:17 CMPAY [3] - 962:3, 962:7, 971:23 CMPTT [1] - 939:20 COBOL [33] - 860:5, 860:6, 861:7, 929:18, 937:2, 943:11, 943:25, 949:4, 949:5, 949:9, 949:11, 949:23, 950:2, 950:3, 950:7, 950:17, 950:22, 951:2, 952:1, 952:2, 952:8, 952:12, 954:22, 955:11, 955:18, 955:20, 963:1, 964:14, 968:16. 973:23. 982:16, 983:2 code [159] - 851:22, 853:19, 853:20, 858:15, 863:4, 866:21, 884:24, 885:9, 886:12, 893:12, 893:13, 925:6, 927:25, 929:20, 930:3, 930:14, 930:21, 931:4, 934:13, 941:19, 941:20, 942:20, 943:17, 943:22, 944:10, 944:16, 944:20, 945:3, 948:20, 948:22, 954:15, 954:21, 956:19, 956:21, 958:1, 959:20, 960:14, 961:4, 961:8, 961:20, 961:23, 962:1, 963:3, 963:6, 965:13, 965:16, 967:11, 967:20, 968:22, 969:9, 969:11, 969:15, 969:16, 969:19, 969:23, 970:6, 971:13, 971:18, 972:7, 972:9, 972:11, 972:12, 972:16, 972:20, 972:21, 972:22, 973:1, 973:18,

973:20, 974:3,

974:15, 974:22, 976:18, 976:19, 977:23, 978:1, 978:24, 981:5, 984:16, 984:21, 986:3, 986:13, 986:18, 986:23, 987:1, 987:10, 987:12, 987:18, 987:19, 987:22, 987:23, 988:1, 988:8, 988:14, 988:15, 988:17, 988:19, 988:23, 989:1, 989:2, 989:14, 989:24, 990:4, 990:6, 990:9, 990:12. 990:19. 991:2. 991:7. 991:18, 991:19, 991:21, 991:24, 992:3, 992:5, 992:9, 992:11, 993:2, 993:12, 993:19, 995:13, 1008:8, 1009:13, 1009:18, 1015:21, 1015:23, 1015:24, 1016:12, 1016:20, 1019:21, 1019:23, 1020:22, 1021:7, 1021:11, 1021:13, 1021:14, 1021:18, 1022:5, 1022:6, 1022:7, 1022:16, 1022:19, 1025:22, 1026:11, 1026:20, 1032:25, 1033:3, 1033:5, 1033:12, 1033:21, 1037:21 Code [5] - 898:25, 913:2, 959:7, 960:2, 960:13 codes [1] - 1015:11 COEX [4] - 996:22, 1006:24, 1061:11, 1061:14 cognizant [1] - 957:25 collaborative 131 -1060:17, 1060:20, 1060:23 collection [3] -947:14, 947:16, 947:23 collectively [1] -1069:9 colleges [1] - 924:22

color [1] - 926:19

937:3, 938:8,

column [12] - 867:18,

938:10, 938:19, 938:25, 951:3, 951:23, 951:24, 952:8, 1063:16, 1065:20 column-oriented [2] -937:3. 952:8 columns [2] - 937:2, 937:4 combination [1] -979:10 comfortable [3] -847:25, 848:1, 849:1 coming [9] - 844:5, 845:7, 855:23, 860:22, 866:13, 879:12, 974:1, 993:23, 1034:1 command [3] - 946:8, 954:25, 958:4 commands [6] -949:4, 954:23, 958:23, 958:24, 1020:25 comment [9] - 858:18, 858:19. 908:11. 910:13, 910:18, 911:10, 919:1, 950:23, 951:20 comments [8] -846:22, 867:2, 950:24, 951:2, 951:14, 952:2, 952:22, 962:12 common [24] - 861:16, 883:25, 884:1, 939:10, 939:11, 939:15, 939:18, 939:25, 940:6, 941:7, 947:17, 952:2, 952:11, 952:23, 953:16, 960:7, 974:2, 974:13, 975:5, 976:20, 980:3, 982:17, 1057:23, 1058:19 communicate [2] -873:10, 920:1 communicating [1] -878.1 communication [6] -874:12, 874:14, 877:11. 877:14. 879:4, 881:17 COMP [8] - 975:6, 976:15, 978:18, 979:15, 979:17, 981:23, 982:10 comp [5] - 978:19,

979:2, 981:19, 982:1, 982:15 company [4] - 925:3, 968:19, 1005:2, 1030:24 Company [1] - 878:12 compare [4] - 933:1, 933:9, 946:25, 947:15 compared [1] - 942:6 comparison [5] -949:13, 950:9, 950:10, 959:12, 976:23 comparisons [2] -932:16, 932:19 compensation [1] -865:2 competed [1] - 869:3 compilation [1] -1022:9 **compile** [1] - 1021:5 compiled [1] - 1022:7 **compiler** [1] - 1019:23 complete [4] - 863:21, 916:5, 916:6, 1059:15 completed [21] -858:16, 859:21, 863:6, 863:13, 863:18, 864:11, 864:17, 864:24, 867:11, 868:23, 886:15, 915:24, 916:14, 916:15, 921:1, 1003:23, 1006:24, 1028:17, 1061:10, 1061:21, 1061:24 completely [7] -876:8, 948:7, 953:12. 960:9. 1004:3. 1053:12. 1058:4 compliance [3] -845:25, 898:22, 1036:17 compliant [1] -1018:25 complicated [1] -1052:14 comport [1] - 1056:19 compound [1] -1052:16 comprises [1] -1013:22 comps [1] - 982:19 computational [3] -976:12, 976:15, 976:16

Computer [3] -924:11, 924:14, 924:15 computer [21] -908:22. 921:25. 922:2. 922:15. 922:20. 922:21. 923:2, 923:6, 923:9, 923:23, 925:18, 925:20, 926:23, 926:25, 927:15, 937:12, 947:12, 1020:7, 1024:11, 1065:16, 1065:18 computers [2] -925:13, 927:14 conceded [1] - 933:7 concept [1] - 1018:9 concepts [1] - 923:19 concerned [1] -844:20 concerning [2] -930:24, 1037:3 concerns [5] - 862:9, 872:5, 928:16, 928:18, 1037:7 conclude [2] - 862:2, 974:7 conclusion [4] -977:1, 1037:5, 1041:3, 1067:22 conclusions [6] -845:1, 983:22, 1025:4, 1028:21, 1028:22, 1068:15 concrete [1] - 995:3 condition [1] - 992:23 conditional [7] -991:22, 992:4, 992:5, 992:8, 992:12, 992:13, 993:6 conditions [3] -992:15, 992:19, 993.1 conduct [5] - 889:21, 942:4, 942:5, 942:15, 977:7 conducted [3] - 889:3, 889:11, 927:2 conducting [1] - 942:8 confident [2] - 929:4, 968:15 confine [1] - 1033:18 confirm [5] - 858:3, 955:9, 1032:24, 1033:12, 1033:20 confirmed [1] - 939:23 conform [1] - 926:8 confused [2] - 916:8,

1039:12 945:21, 945:22, 852:2, 853:16, 1037:9, 1037:12, containing [2] connect [2] - 855:17, 945:23, 945:24, 862:23, 866:16, 1037:18, 1040:19, 1045:18, 1046:6 944:12 contains [3] - 934:18, 946:1, 955:23, 879:21, 882:6, 1040:20, 1042:18, connected [3] -984:15. 1028:24 963:16, 968:20, 883:7, 883:11, 1044:25, 1045:1, 847:23, 855:19, contemporaneously 968:21, 983:2 887:24, 888:4, 1045:11, 1045:13, 956:11 [2] - 890:19, 892:4 conversation [7] -888:5, 888:12, 1046:25, 1048:5, 1046:11. 1046:18. 888:15, 888:19, 1048:21, 1049:5, connecting [2] contend [3] - 901:19, 1047:19. 1047:22. 889:3, 889:25, 1050:4, 1050:7, 855:18, 906:3 1015:23, 1015:25 1047:24, 1048:22, 890:1, 890:9, 1050:8, 1050:15, connection [4] contended [1] - 979:3 1059:15 890:12, 890:19, 1051:22, 1051:24, 892:20, 944:12, contends [6] - 930:3, convert [1] - 947:5 891:22, 892:11, 1053:1, 1053:23, 970:16, 976:13 934:17, 984:15, 892:21, 893:1, 1055:24, 1057:12, copied [23] - 911:7, connections [1] -991:23, 1015:15, 894:18, 896:4, 1057:16, 1057:24, 970:18 1016:21 953:21, 961:13, 896:5, 897:25, 1059:1, 1059:11, 974:16, 980:5, connects [1] - 906:7 contention [3] -898:4, 898:22, 1059:12, 1061:18, 981:3, 984:21, consecutive [1] -996:10, 1013:15, 898:23, 899:2, 1062:3, 1063:21, 987:10, 987:13, 957:6 1018:16 899:4, 899:5, 1063:22, 1065:1, 989:2, 990:14, consequences [1] -Contents [1] - 985:13 899:21. 900:7. 1065:3, 1065:19, 990:16, 990:18, 1024:1 contents [1] - 955:18 900:11. 900:13. 1070:3 consider [6] - 892:25, continue [5] - 930:1, 990:23, 991:18, 900:20, 901:17, corrected [3] - 913:16, 991:19, 1021:11, 893:9, 894:4, 936:25, 943:8, 901:22, 901:23, 914:9, 914:14 1022:20, 1032:25, 931:20, 1037:4, 943:20, 1020:6 901:25, 902:1, correctly [7] - 849:23, 1033:3, 1033:5, 1039.4 continues [1] - 887:17 903:3, 903:7, 903:8, 1033:12, 1033:21 855:13, 906:4, consist [4] - 847:9, continuing [1] -903:10, 903:14, copies [1] - 932:9 906:9, 937:1, 946:1, 942:7, 958:19, 944:11 903:15, 904:2, copy [9] - 917:4, 952:18 1027:14 contracted [2] -904:18, 905:2, 917:15, 932:12, correspond[1] consistent [8] -1000:11, 1000:17 905:10, 905:19, 979:21, 1008:8, 898:16 1032:18, 1037:17, contributions [1] -905:24, 906:5, 1010:17, 1010:21, corresponding [1] -1037:22, 1038:12, 923.22 906:10, 906:14, 1015:11 1022:6, 1068:12 1039:9, 1057:13, control [4] - 956:7, 906:22, 907:1, copying [21] - 950:15, counsel [11] - 843:7, 1058:22, 1060:3 1030:15, 1030:23, 907:14, 907:15, 843:8, 845:2, 950:16, 950:17, consistently [1] -1031:9 908:4, 908:9, 909:9, 950:19, 952:4, 1035:6, 1037:14, 901:7 Controls [15] - 930:7, 909:24, 910:6, 952:20, 961:18, 1051:1, 1051:5, consisting [1] -996:7, 996:14, 910:16, 910:17, 973:19, 976:21, 1056:5, 1057:11, 931:11 996:24, 999:18, 978:21, 979:5, 910:20, 911:8, 1059:24 consists [4] - 931:12, 999:23, 1000:20, 979:9, 979:18, 911:13, 911:18, counsel's [1] - 843:8 952:1, 954:23, 1001:1, 1001:5, 911:25, 912:1, 980:8. 980:17. count [4] - 946:23, 1001:12, 1001:15, 1027:7 912:6, 912:9, 981:15. 981:20. 949:11, 960:21, constitute [1] - 942:13 1002:1, 1002:17, 912:21, 913:7, 982:7. 983:1. 983:23 1049:7, 1049:11 constituted [1] - 997:1 913:22, 916:2, 983:14, 1022:4 counted [1] - 931:10 constrained [13] -Controls' [7] - 1001:4, copyright [8] - 927:23, 917:4, 917:5, counterdesignations 943:22, 944:10, 1001:7, 1001:10, 919:18, 919:19, 942:4, 942:11, [1] - 846:8 944:17, 944:19, 1001:11, 1001:15, 924:9, 926:11, 942:16, 944:25, counts [1] - 948:13 944:21, 945:1, 1001:16 928:15, 929:25, 993:18, 1037:7, couple [3] - 858:5, 945:3, 948:19, convention [19] -931:19, 935:18, 1037:8 1006:7, 1068:6 948:22, 948:25, 946:5, 946:19, 936:8, 937:13, copyrighted [20] course [18] - 849:12, 949:12, 958:17, 951:4, 952:2, 937:19, 952:19, 943:1, 977:13, 850:10, 857:4, 958:24 953:14, 953:16, 956:25, 960:19, 977:15, 977:16, 858:6, 859:7, constraint [6] -954:1, 954:8, 963:1, 967:8, 980:22, 977:17, 977:21, 873:15, 876:16, 945:10, 949:25, 968:3, 968:15, 981:10, 981:24, 995:3, 1004:4, 923:2, 923:6, 923:7, 950:20, 954:14, 970:15, 973:22, 1006:15, 1009:23, 1004:24, 1005:9, 923:10, 926:11, 959:5, 959:6 973:23, 974:2, 1014:6, 1020:5, 1009:7, 1014:22, 927:5, 927:7, 927:9, 976:5, 979:19, constraints [5] -1021:19, 1022:14, 1015:13, 1016:1, 927:11, 927:12, 944:22, 959:10, 981:8, 1023:16 1027:10, 1031:22, 1016:3, 1016:5, 932:25 960:23, 960:24, conventional [2] -1031:25, 1032:1, 1016:6, 1025:10, Coursera [2] - 927:5, 983:17 950:2, 962:11 1032:4, 1032:16, 1028:24, 1043:22 927.7 contain [7] - 853:19, conventions [18] -1032:22, 1032:23, Corey [1] - 841:16 courses [5] - 923:4, 984:21, 1004:24, 926:9, 926:10, 1032:25, 1033:14, Corr [1] - 987:16 923:8, 924:17, 1005:9, 1019:9, 926:13, 926:15, 1033:23, 1034:5, correct [164] - 847:8, 925:23, 927:3 1030:3, 1037:21 945:12, 945:14, 1035:17, 1035:19, 851:13, 851:15, COURT [68] - 841:1, contained [1] - 1047:9 945:15, 945:19, 1037:1, 1037:5,

843:4, 843:14,
843:19, 843:23,
844:8, 844:19,
844:23, 845:7,
845:11, 845:17,
846:15, 846:24,
847:3, 847:15,
847:18, 847:22,
848:2, 848:5, 852:8,
868:5, 868:7,
868:15, 872:17,
872:21, 873:21,
873:23, 877:8, 878:18, 881:6,
886:25, 887:4,
887:9, 887:11,
887:15, 887:19,
888:24, 889:9,
889:15, 893:21,
894:11, 894:15,
895:1, 896:16,
897:7, 900:15,
907:1, 907:9,
917:13, 917:22,
918:2, 920:25,
921:4, 921:7, 933:15, 934:4,
1012:24, 1013:6,
1024:5, 1031:12,
1068:4, 1068:9,
1068:16, 1068:22,
1069:2, 1069:5,
1069:15, 1069:20
Court [27] - 846:16,
848:23, 849:2,
849:11, 854:12,
862:6, 863:10,
863:22, 865:5,
867:8, 869:10,
870:15, 879:25,
881:16, 882:17, 883:15, 884:21,
885:13, 893:22,
919:10, 921:20,
956:1, 1036:12,
1047:8, 1068:1,
1068:12, 1069:18
court [10] - 846:17,
874:21, 887:7,
895:15, 928:3,
989:5, 1006:18,
1054:12, 1054:14,
1063:5 Court's [3] - 847:15,
865:22, 865:24
courtroom [1] -
846:19
Craig [1] - 844:15
crash [1] - 972:17
Create [2] - 1030:10,

```
1065:24
create [19] - 853:8,
 869:10, 875:24,
 879:20, 882:12,
 883:13, 890:23,
 893:23, 920:11,
 920:17. 927:14.
 985:21. 986:12.
 999:4, 1012:19,
 1017:13, 1021:15,
 1062:9, 1066:4
created [20] - 853:22,
 853:24, 856:8,
 857:12, 864:10,
 869:8, 871:1, 943:3,
 945:2, 961:5,
 986:17, 990:19,
 1008:13, 1012:2,
 1015:5, 1024:17,
 1030:11, 1060:8,
 1061:4, 1065:21
creates [2] - 856:25,
 858:11
CreateUpdateFolder
 [1] - 1066:8
CreateUpdateFolder
 s [3] - 1007:18,
 1007:21, 1010:3
creating [3] - 852:19,
 890:25, 1023:8
creative [14] - 943:17,
 943:18, 951:7,
 952:3, 958:15,
 962:1, 962:11,
 968:8, 968:10,
 970:6, 970:8, 973:1,
 988:24, 993:2
creativity [2] - 944:22,
 968:11
creator [1] - 864:24
credit [3] - 1063:7,
 1063:8, 1063:11
criticism [2] -
 1030:18, 1030:19
criticized [1] -
 1030:14
cross [50] - 843:18,
 843:21, 845:22,
 886:25, 887:3,
 887:18, 887:19,
 918:10, 919:5,
 919:20, 930:6,
 994:7, 994:17,
 994:19, 995:8,
 995:9, 995:20,
 996:15, 996:19,
 997:1, 997:8,
 998:15, 1000:8,
```

1001:13, 1002:9,

1002:16, 1003:1,

```
1003:24, 1005:8,
 1005:10, 1011:4,
 1025:12, 1026:1,
 1026:4, 1026:7,
 1029:8, 1031:12,
 1039:24, 1040:5,
 1040:7, 1040:14,
 1040:19, 1041:5,
 1041:18, 1049:8,
 1050:11, 1056:3,
 1056:4, 1056:25,
 1057:18
CROSS [2] - 887:21,
 1031:18
Cross [81] - 929:19,
 930:3, 931:7, 932:5,
 934:17, 935:1,
 935:19, 938:5,
 946:20, 947:18,
 949:14, 951:16,
 952:13, 958:16,
 961:4, 962:1,
 967:19, 973:17,
 974:15, 974:21,
 975:25, 978:2,
 978:8, 978:11,
 978:16, 979:3,
 979:25, 982:2,
 984:15, 989:5,
 991:13, 991:23,
 993:25, 995:7,
 996:16, 996:18,
 997:4, 997:14,
 998:14, 1003:25,
 1005:14, 1012:12,
 1013:16, 1013:20,
 1013:24, 1015:15,
 1015:23, 1016:18,
 1017:17, 1019:12,
 1020:15, 1024:19,
 1025:21, 1025:24,
 1029:10, 1030:14,
 1032:6, 1032:11,
 1033:6, 1033:19,
 1036:8, 1036:16,
 1036:21, 1039:2,
 1039:17, 1040:4,
 1040:18, 1041:16,
 1042:19, 1046:2,
 1046:8, 1046:20,
 1047:4, 1047:7,
 1047:11, 1047:16,
 1047:25, 1049:25,
 1055:20, 1070:11,
 1070:15
Cross' [19] - 922:3,
 928:20, 930:20,
 932:14, 937:25,
 948:4, 950:9,
 960:22, 961:10,
```

```
1031:21
CROSS-
 EXAMINATION[2] -
 887:21, 1031:18
Cross-examination
 [2] - 1070:11,
 1070:15
cross-examination
 [11] - 843:18, 843:21,
 845:22, 886:25,
 887:3, 887:18,
 887:19, 918:10,
 919:5, 919:20,
 1031:12
Cross-Use [1] -
 993:25
cross-use [39] - 930:6,
 994:7, 994:17,
 994:19, 995:8,
 995:9, 995:20,
 996:15, 996:19,
 997:1. 997:8.
 998:15, 1000:8,
 1001:13, 1002:9,
 1002:16, 1003:1,
 1003:24, 1005:8,
 1005:10, 1011:4,
 1025:12, 1026:1,
 1026:4, 1026:7,
 1029:8, 1039:24,
 1040:5, 1040:7,
 1040:14, 1040:19,
 1041:5, 1041:18,
 1049:8, 1050:11,
 1056:3, 1056:4,
 1056:25, 1057:18
CROUCH [3] - 848:6,
 848:15, 1070:10
Crouch [1] - 848:11
CROUCH-
 DAVENPORT[2] -
 848:6, 1070:10
Crouch-Davenport [1]
 - 848:11
CT3 [4] - 991:21,
 991:24, 992:21,
 992:24
current [3] - 849:25,
 888:7, 890:6
CURSOR [7] - 966:5,
 967:14, 971:4,
 971:9, 975:5,
 976:11, 982:4
cursor [17] - 967:4,
 967:7, 967:13,
```

995:22, 996:10,

996:25, 1002:15,

1003:1, 1003:20,

1043:16, 1043:20

Cross's [2] - 1026:6,

975:4, 975:5, 975:7, 975:8, 975:12, 975:13, 975:14, 975:17, 975:18, 975:20, 975:22, 975:23, 976:1, 976:7 custom's [1] - 899:11 customer [1] - 916:24 customer's [5] -899:7. 906:2. 906:8. 906:13, 906:21 customers [6] -906:14, 906:22, 1025:23, 1057:23, 1058:19, 1058:20 cutoff [1] - 996:8 D

D-a-v-e-n-p-o-r-t [1] -848:15 daily [1] - 920:3 Dartmouth [1] -922:19 dash [1] - 848:15 Data [7] - 949:6, 950:6, 963:7, 964:1, 964:2, 1014:18 **DATA**[2] - 962:22, 964:20 data [34] - 860:10, 923:2, 939:20, 939:23, 940:7, 940:24, 940:25, 941:4, 941:6, 941:8, 941:13, 941:14, 941:15, 941:17, 941:18, 953:10, 957:6, 957:8, 958:5, 958:7, 958:8, 958:12, 963:5, 964:22, 964:24, 965:3, 983:12, 1052:7, 1052:10, 1053:22, 1054:21, 1054:24, 1056:17 database [49] -854:10, 930:22, 930:24, 930:25, 940:23, 941:3, 941:13, 941:14, 943:24, 944:2, 944:11, 944:12, 944:18, 946:7, 954:19, 954:20, 957:4, 958:4, 958:6, 958:21, 958:22, 963:6, 965:20, 965:21, 965:23,

967:13, 969:2,

987:8, 995:19,

969:3, 969:4, 969:5, 845:1, 909:8, 926:5, deliver [2] - 861:23, 1065:5, 1065:11 864:1, 864:3, 939:7, 969:7, 969:11, 927:16, 996:6, 875:20 985:10, 1000:19 departmental [1] -969:15, 969:19, 1003:8, 1068:6, deliverable [4] -905:4 Design [1] - 985:16 970:13, 970:14, 1068:13, 1069:7 867:5, 873:13, 874:5 deposition [16] design [6] - 923:1, 970:17, 970:21, **DDX-510** [1] - 940:21 delivered [17] - 861:9, 845:23. 846:7. 923:19. 923:24. 971:1, 972:10, **DDX-515** [1] - 949:18 861:13, 875:7, 846:9. 846:12. 924:4, 924:5 972:13, 975:20, 846:18. 889:7. **DDX-519**[1] - 959:5 876:11, 880:13, designation [2] -975:21, 978:5, 889:14, 893:15, DDX-535 [1] - 978:22 882:5, 902:3, 957:2, 973:25 983:12, 984:1 894:24, 896:11, 903:13, 903:21, designations [2] -**DDX-537** [1] - 979:21 databases [1] - 944:16 896:15, 897:8, 909:1, 914:16, 844:2, 846:7 **DDX-544** [2] - 994:9, 897:11, 917:16, date [33] - 853:16, 927:4, 1002:4, 1055:25 designed [5] - 973:11, 860:22, 864:12, 931:2, 1035:14 1002:5, 1011:8, **DDX-550** [1] - 1049:6 1016:22, 1017:9, 875:11, 879:13, Deposition [1] -1063:18, 1067:15 1024:8, 1024:10 DDX-551 [2] - 999:21, 879:14, 880:18, 847:17 1055:2 deliveries [6] - 914:17, detail [7] - 901:12, 880:19, 885:20, 914:18, 919:6, depositions [3] -939:18, 939:19, **DDX-557** [1] - 1008:18 885:21, 898:18, 919:7, 919:11, 1035:22, 1036:1, 940:7, 949:2, 955:7 DDX-559 [1] - 1059:20 901:24, 903:9, 919:12 1042:7 details [3] - 896:6, DDX-562 [1] - 1014:1 908:25, 910:7, delivering [7] -**Derivative** [1] - 993:25 896:7, 897:20 DDX-568 [3] - 1018:9, 912:2, 915:23, 876:15, 904:2, derivative [42] - 930:5, determination [8] -1022:2, 1022:13 939:8, 939:9, 941:8, 904:12, 904:17, 994:12, 994:15, 1038:22, 1039:5, **de** [3] - 984:5, 991:3 941:15, 941:18, 905:19, 906:13, 994:19, 994:22, 1039:11, 1042:5, deadline [1] - 885:21 958:10, 960:7, 906:21 995:1, 995:7, 1062:25, 1063:24, deal [5] - 944:17, 963:10, 963:11, delivery [34] - 851:20, 1013:16, 1013:22, 1064:4, 1067:7 972:16, 972:18, 963:19, 963:20, 858:20, 860:21, 1014:5, 1014:9, determine [15] -973:11, 1015:1 965:3, 982:14, 861:1, 861:3, 875:9, 1014:23, 1015:13, 852:18, 941:24, dealing [2] - 893:24, 1053:4, 1061:18 1015:16, 1016:10, 876:16, 876:17, 942:3, 942:20, 975:7 dated [1] - 1063:13 878:4, 879:12, 1016:19, 1016:24, 942:23, 948:3, deals [2] - 972:22, dates [7] - 1053:10, 880:17, 880:19, 1017:12, 1017:15, 948:21. 964:4. 981:5 1060:2, 1060:3, 880:20, 881:2, 1018:4, 1018:7, 974:11, 977:18, dean [1] - 983:14 1060:6, 1060:14, 901:22, 903:16, 1018:18, 1019:3, 994:15, 1012:5, debugging [1] -1060:18 903:21, 904:8, 1022:16, 1023:24, 1044:4, 1051:21, 1027:18 DAVENPORT[2] -904:10, 904:20, 1024:9, 1024:12, 1065:5 decade [3] - 890:2, 848:6, 1070:10 904:24, 905:10, 1024:14, 1025:10, determined [7] -895:12, 895:22 Davenport [34] -905:11, 905:21, 1037:3, 1037:5, 975:4, 999:25, decided [1] - 1051:25 844:13, 847:20, 909:8, 909:15, 1037:14, 1037:20, 1000:20, 1002:17, decision [2] -848:11, 848:21, 910:6, 911:3, 913:7, 1037:21, 1056:1, 1012:10, 1044:9, 1065:11, 1068:1 849:5. 852:10. 913:8. 925:9. 1056:9, 1056:13, 1053:18 decisions [1] -862:21. 864:16. 1027:20 1056:23. 1057:6. determines [1] -1034:14 **Delivery** [1] - 998:9 864:20. 868:19. 1057:8. 1057:10. 1063:17 deck [2] - 959:2. 871:7, 871:22, 1057:14 demonstrative [25] determining [4] -999:19 describe [12] - 925:1, 873:2, 874:20, 869:10, 869:13, 947:19, 948:5, **DEF** [1] - 879:8 875:22, 876:24, 955:18, 956:3, 869:16, 870:13, 948:7, 960:14 Defendant [2] - 848:6, 957:17, 984:11, 877:10, 878:11, 871:2, 871:3, Dev [12] - 860:24, 921:8 985:2, 993:25, 878:22, 879:17, 871:19, 875:24, 871:12, 881:20, Defendants [1] -880:22, 881:10, 876:2, 879:20, 996:3, 1009:16, 886:2, 897:21, 841:8 882:10, 883:6, 1013:19, 1027:11, 879:23, 882:12, 907:20, 913:11, DEFENDANTS[1] -884:14, 886:10, 882:15, 883:12, 1060:23 919:13, 930:22, 841:19 887:7, 887:17, 883:14, 928:24, described [8] -1027:9, 1027:13, DEFENDANTS' [1] -887:23, 892:25, 940:14, 940:19, 856:22, 946:9, 1031:5 1070:9 918:5, 921:1, 994:8, 999:22, 955:23, 1004:14, develop [4] - 850:15, definition [11] -1028:8, 1058:2 1008:18, 1014:1, 1005:17, 1015:15, 850:17, 999:17, 930:14, 1005:10, Davenport's [5] -1018:8, 1018:10, 1027:5, 1036:9 1012:19 1037:19, 1037:23, 883:2, 889:7, 1022:12 describes [10] - 939:7, developed [33] -1056:1, 1056:4, 893:15, 894:24, demonstratives [6] -955:25, 956:21, 849:22, 849:23, 1056:5, 1057:6, 896:11 870:25, 922:5, 985:6, 985:7, 985:8, 850:24, 853:2, 1057:8, 1057:10, day-to-day [6] - 859:7, 965:5, 977:4, 985:10, 994:8, 860:4, 865:25, 1057:13 890:10, 890:11, 1008:16, 1059:24 1006:13, 1027:12 925:4, 987:20, definitions [2] -896:6, 896:19, Description [1] department [6] -989:1, 989:13, 1037:17, 1056:19 919:21 845:25, 849:14, 950:6 989:24, 992:3, degree [3] - 922:10, days [10] - 843:12, 1062:24, 1063:21, description [5] -992:11, 996:1, 922:16, 932:20

DTX-501 [7] - 935:5, 936:2, 936:23, 937:18, 951:9, 979:22, 981:22 DTX-502 [2] - 935:12, 935.14 DTX-605 [2] - 862:20, 862:25 **DTX-610** [1] - 1014:7 DTX-619[1] - 1019:16 due [2] - 875:12, 885:20 Duke [9] - 921:23, 922:16, 922:22, 923:6, 923:13, 923:21, 925:11, 927:4, 945:20 **Duration** [1] - 902:23 duration [4] - 902:23, 918:11, 918:19, 918:20 during [4] - 845:22, 888:16, 888:20, 1011:20 duty [1] - 893:5

Ε

E-I-e-f-I-a-b-h-a [1] -914:2 e-mai [1] - 1064:22 e-mail [56] - 873:3, 874:25, 898:3, 898:20, 898:25, 908:9, 910:10, 910:14, 911:8, 917:3, 917:6, 917:9, 920:6, 931:15, 932:7, 932:11, 932:12, 996:15, 996:16, 996:20, 996:24, 997:14, 997:15, 997:19, 997:20, 998:3, 998:14, 998:18, 998:20, 999:10, 999:24, 1001:21, 1001:22, 1005:25, 1006:13, 1007:16, 1008:5, 1010:3, 1012:3, 1014:3, 1027:3, 1032:17, 1038:11, 1048:18, 1051:13, 1054:17, 1061:16, 1061:20, 1062:6, 1062:11, 1063:4, 1063:25, 1064:9, 1065:12, 1065:15, 1065:17 e-mails [7] - 901:21,

early [3] - 924:18, 925:17, 929:5 earned [1] - 922:11 **EAS** [2] - 863:4, 864:10 easier [1] - 895:3 easiest [1] - 887:9 Easter 1231 - 862:10. 862:18, 863:3, 863:4, 863:11, 863:19, 864:10, 864:22, 865:9, 865:11, 865:15, 930:9, 1012:23, 1013:15, 1014:4, 1018:20, 1022:14, 1022:21, 1022:22, 1022:25, 1023:1, 1024:22, 1025:5 **EBS**[1] - 888:3 edit [1] - 1059:25 **editions** [1] - 924:12 editor [1] - 937:15 education [2] -923:23, 926:25 educational [1] -922:8 Edwards [6] - 888:3, 930:12, 930:14, 990:25, 1036:4, 1037:1 effect [3] - 1022:8, 1033:13, 1033:22 efficiency [1] - 944:10 efforts [1] - 888:2 eight [7] - 850:8, 891:20, 931:11, 987:4, 1044:16, 1044:18, 1044:20 eight-two [1] - 850:8 either [10] - 860:5, 884:1, 931:15, 952:23. 980:14. 989:7. 1001:9. 1017:16. 1038:11. 1067:5 **element** [1] - 944:8 elements [6] - 943:5, 943:9, 943:20, 957:6, 958:22, 971:7 ellipses [10] - 987:17, 988:11, 988:12, 988:17, 989:17, 989:21, 990:3,

930:23, 931:12,

1005:16, 1028:4,

1048:20, 1064:13

E1 [1] - 986:8

E1) [1] - 986:7

ear [1] - 855:22

990:7, 990:8, 992:6 ELSE [2] - 949:7, 973:15 employed [1] - 887:23 employee [8] - 939:13, 1045:17, 1046:5, 1046:9, 1046:13, 1046:22, 1047:1, 1047:18 employees [3] -859:13, 859:22, 925:10 end [21] - 844:7, 852:10, 853:4, 871:20, 888:16, 888:21, 891:12, 909:19, 947:25, 951:4, 951:23, 970:1, 973:18, 973:24, 974:1, 982:11, 1006:22, 1066:17, 1068:16 END [6] - 949:7, 949:19, 949:23, 969:19, 973:14 END-IF [4] - 949:7, 949:19. 949:23. 973:14 END-PERFORM [1] -949:7 ended [11] - 969:19, 969:22, 969:23, 969:25, 970:3, 970:4, 970:7, 972:9, 1060:21 engaged [1] - 1031:20 engineer [26] - 858:17, 883:23, 884:3, 884:4, 889:3, 889:12, 889:24, 895:25, 906:7, 913:10, 913:21, 913:24, 914:6, 914:13, 986:12, 986:14, 992:3, 995:23, 1000:20, 1001:5, 1007:7, 1010:9, 1012:8, 1033:8, 1035:20, 1065:13 Engineer [1] - 849:15 engineers [34] - 859:9, 859:10, 874:11, 899:12, 906:1, 907:14, 914:1, 984:14, 985:20, 986:17, 995:10, 995:13, 999:25, 1000:10, 1002:10, 1008:1, 1008:25,

1010:10, 1032:3, 1032:9, 1032:13, 1033:9, 1034:9, 1034:11, 1035:18, 1054:18, 1055:5, 1055:7, 1055:14, 1055:18, 1055:21, 1055:24, 1065:1 English [2] - 949:22, 964:13 enjoy [2] - 1068:5, 1069:21 ensure [8] - 851:14, 854:5, 855:6, 906:3, 926:7, 982:19, 982:25, 1007:21 ensures [2] - 851:12, 906:8 ensuring [1] - 849:21 enter [2] - 873:10, 1042:4 entered [1] - 843:10 enterprise [2] -923:20. 924:2 EnterpriseOne [4] -985:19, 986:5, 986:8, 990:13 entire [5] - 942:24, 976:23, 988:20, 1018:20, 1035:25 entirely [1] - 1016:13 entitled [2] - 868:16, 1070:4 entries [1] - 1028:15 entry [2] - 902:15, 1061:18 Environment [2] -950:5, 1022:15 environment [186] -849:24, 850:25, 851:10, 852:24, 854:23, 855:8, 855:9, 856:24, 857:4, 857:18, 857:21, 858:8, 858:9, 858:10, 858:19, 858:22, 858:25, 859:2, 859:6, 859:18, 860:8, 861:19, 862:1, 866:11, 866:14, 867:8, 867:15, 870:5, 870:10, 870:17, 871:13, 871:16, 876:7, 876:14, 878:5, 880:5, 882:22, 883:18, 884:1, 885:3, 885:4,

886:6, 894:17,

-11 894:21, 897:18, 899:7, 899:11, 899:15, 899:17, 899:22, 903:20, 904:1, 904:12, 904:17, 904:23, 905:9, 905:18, 906:3, 906:8, 906:13, 906:21, 909:7, 912:8, 913:18, 915:2, 915:3, 915:20, 916:12, 919:13, 920:12, 920:15, 931:23, 931:24, 933:4, 937:16, 955:21, 988:2, 988:9. 995:14. 996:13. 996:23. 997:8, 997:11, 999:14, 1000:1, 1000:5, 1000:8, 1000:13, 1000:15, 1000:23, 1001:6, 1001:10, 1001:11, 1001:15, 1001:16, 1002:11, 1002:13, 1004:8, 1007:23, 1009:1, 1010:8, 1010:14, 1011:2, 1011:15, 1012:8, 1012:13, 1014:21, 1016:22, 1016:23, 1016:25, 1017:9, 1017:10, 1017:11, 1017:14, 1017:15, 1017:24, 1017:25, 1018:3, 1018:5, 1018:6, 1018:19, 1018:21, 1018:22, 1018:25, 1019:3, 1019:7, 1019:24, 1020:11, 1020:17, 1020:25, 1021:4, 1021:9, 1021:15, 1021:22, 1021:23, 1022:11, 1022:17, 1022:22, 1023:1, 1024:9, 1024:22, 1024:23, 1024:25, 1025:13, 1026:3, 1026:13, 1026:14, 1026:16, 1026:21, 1026:22, 1027:14, 1027:16, 1027:22, 1027:24, 1028:1, 1028:14, 1028:19, 1029:2, 1029:5, 1029:7, 1030:22, 1031:8, 1035:19, 1035:21, 1050:10,

F

extremely [1] - 1050:1

F940 [1] - 881:2 F9401_2018.gif [3] -874:18, 875:6, 879:9 F940_2018.gif [5] -874:18, 875:5, 879:9. 881:22. 881:23 fact [21] - 846:12, 876:21, 894:7, 938:7, 938:18, 941:17, 943:4. 948:3, 952:3, 954:22, 962:25, 969:24, 973:14, 975:9, 979:3, 1000:3, 1026:13, 1033:11, 1038:11, 1044:14, 1068:14 factual [5] - 1032:14, 1032:20, 1057:21, 1058:5, 1058:17 fail [5] - 882:24, 883:25, 900:23, 901:1, 972:13 failed [12] - 882:25, 883:6, 883:7, 883:18, 883:19, 883:23, 912:5,

fails [1] - 944:13 fair [6] - 1030:18, 1030:19, 1034:16, 1034:21, 1050:12, 1053:11 fall [1] - 923:8 false [2] - 948:15, 960:19 familiar [7] - 854:25, 856:4, 860:12, 865:20, 869:7, 872:8, 890:23 familiarity [1] -1059:16 family [1] - 921:22 far [7] - 850:15, 896:2, 929:3, 940:14, 941:2, 975:16, 1024:3 fashion [1] - 1060:13 favorite[1] - 926:19 FCRR [2] - 841:23, 1070:5 federal [4] - 928:2, 1003:10, 1006:8, 1007:12 fell [2] - 1054:23, 1056:21 fetch [14] - 963:5, 964:17, 965:18, 965:22, 965:24, 967:6, 967:23, 968:5, 968:13, 968:16, 969:4 FETCH [21] - 946:8, 946:10, 946:15, 962:3, 962:4, 962:6, 962:7, 964:9, 964:12, 964:15, 964:16, 965:25, 966:4, 966:16, 967:1, 967:12, 967:22, 970:1, 971:6, 971:8 Fetch [1] - 966:15 FETCH-CMPAY [2] -962:3, 962:7 FETCH-TAX-BALANCE[2] -962:4, 962:6 fetching [1] - 968:12 few [6] - 847:22, 862:5, 918:7, 918:15, 918:17, 1039:14 field [9] - 873:6,

912:7, 912:10,

913:9, 913:17

914:4

failing [2] - 891:19,

877:13, 918:11, 925:18, 926:23, 997:24, 999:12, 1000:1, 1002:18 fields [3] - 927:22, 927:23, 964:9 fifth [1] - 843:6 file [142] - 869:19, 872:7, 872:13, 872:20, 874:18, 899:10, 899:16, 910:2, 912:11, 913:1, 913:3, 929:18, 929:20, 929:21, 929:23, 933:6, 933:9, 934:16, 934:17, 934:18, 935:3, 935:9, 935:14, 935:17, 936:18, 937:11, 937:14, 938:22, 939:2, 939:3, 939:6, 939:17, 939:22, 940:3, 940:5, 940:6, 940:9, 940:10, 940:13, 940:24, 941:4, 941:9, 941:10, 947:3, 947:25, 948:2, 948:15, 948:16, 949:15, 952:6, 953:1, 953:2, 953:11, 953:18, 953:19, 953:20, 953:21, 953:24, 954:9, 959:21, 959:22, 960:6, 960:7, 961:23, 962:6, 962:7, 962:17, 965:14, 971:16, 971:25, 973:20, 974:19, 975:20, 975:21, 978:3, 979:21, 980:5, 980:7, 980:8, 980:9, 980:10, 980:11, 980:18, 980:20, 980:23, 980:24, 981:2, 981:3, 981:5, 981:25, 982:1, 982:3, 983:13, 984:6, 984:7, 987:9, 987:11, 987:19, 987:23, 988:8, 988:9, 988:25, 989:19, 989:24, 990:10, 990:13, 990:17, 990:22, 990:25, 991:18,

992:2, 992:18, 993:13, 993:14, 1004:11, 1004:16, 1004:18, 1004:20, 1004:21, 1005:3, 1008:8, 1008:11, 1009:14, 1010:16, 1014:4, 1014:25, 1019:16, 1025:16, 1026:10, 1026:21, 1027:15, 1037:4, 1048:7, 1050:13 Files [3] - 1007:8, 1010:2, 1030:9 files [122] - 875:5, 877:23, 879:3, 879:9, 881:15, 881:22, 910:18, 912:15, 912:20, 913:11, 915:12, 929:17, 931:4, 931:6, 931:8, 931:11, 931:13, 931:14, 931:20, 931:25, 932:6, 932:15, 932:20, 932:24, 932:25, 933:7, 935:2, 935:19, 935:23, 939:1, 940:8, 940:12, 941:12, 941:23, 942:1, 947:1, 947:4, 948:21, 950:11, 954:17, 954:22, 954:24, 955:5, 955:10, 973:18, 974:9, 974:11, 977:23, 978:13, 979:10, 979:13, 980:4, 983:13, 983:22, 983:24, 1004:12, 1004:14, 1004:22, 1004:24, 1005:9, 1006:25, 1007:8, 1007:10, 1007:22, 1008:6, 1008:12, 1008:13, 1010:5, 1014:8, 1014:10, 1014:11, 1015:1, 1015:2, 1015:4, 1015:9, 1015:14, 1015:17, 1015:18, 1016:8, 1016:10, 1016:14, 1016:19, 1017:3, 1017:18, 1017:22, 1018:7, 1018:11, 1018:13, 1018:22, 1019:6, 1022:3, 1024:17, 1025:1,

-1.3 1025:6, 1025:9, 1033:7, 1033:15, 1033:16, 1033:24, 1033:25, 1035:21, 1039:8, 1040:11, 1040:22, 1040:23, 1041:17, 1041:20, 1041:24, 1041:25, 1043:21, 1044:25, 1045:4, 1046:21, 1048:17, 1048:18, 1048:23, 1049:4, 1061:4, 1065:21 fill [2] - 957:3, 990:8 filled [1] - 964:9 filler [12] - 954:23, 955:1, 955:6, 956:19, 956:21, 958:4, 958:14, 958:19, 958:23, 959:13, 959:14 filter [6] - 943:21, 945:8, 948:12, 962:11, 965:6, 968:23 filtered [18] - 943:5, 943:9, 943:15, 944:9, 944:23, 948:17, 950:12, 950:18, 958:25, 961:1, 962:14, 962:19, 967:17, 968:25, 970:9, 971:5, 971:11, 974:5 final [1] - 983:22 finance [1] - 963:19 financials [3] - 863:3, 864:9, 864:21 findings [2] - 845:1, 1068:14 fine [2] - 845:5, 845:6 finer [2] - 940:7, 941:8 finish [6] - 843:21, 844:4, 844:6, 844:7, 844:9, 845:4 finished [8] - 864:17, 870:7, 870:16, 870:17, 871:13, 876:8, 909:20, 970:1 finishes [1] - 969:11 finishing [1] - 1000:19 firmly [1] - 844:10 first [59] - 849:9, 852:13, 852:14, 858:6, 863:25, 866:7, 866:17, 867:5, 870:2, 871:2, 871:10, 873:1, 890:21, 892:18, 909:11, 912:3,

1060:11

1041:16

871:12

hanging [1] - 938:6

happy [2] - 1041:14,

hard [1] - 844:18

Harry [1] - 963:14

hashtag [1] - 1020:3

HC92APP-RST[1] -

HC92APP [1] - 871:12

926:21, 944:3, 1021:1 fund [1] - 923:18 funded [1] - 926:1 funding [1] - 923:16

G

G6[1] - 1038:3 gained [3] - 996:14, 1000:23, 1002:24 game [1] - 1017:13 games [1] - 1017:13 Gardner [3] - 1063:20, 1063:23, 1065:2 gather [1] - 931:9 gears [4] - 862:5, 865:19, 872:4, 909:22 general [5] - 896:22, 955:19, 1035:5, 1067:2, 1067:3 generalist [1] - 925:20 generally [3] - 852:16, 853:18, 985:2 generic [1] - 1012:13 gentleman [1] -1035:2 germane [1] - 926:20 **GIF** [10] - 875:5, 879:9, 881:22, 912:20, 1004:15, 1006:25, 1008:6, 1008:13, 1015:1, 1015:4 given [4] - 912:13, 1033:1, 1037:18, 1057:14 glad [1] - 918:12 glance [2] - 962:24, 983:16 Global [6] - 849:18, 850:1, 887:24, 887:25, 998:8 global [3] - 850:6, 850:10, 859:9 Gorli [1] - 871:10 GORLI [1] - 871:11 GPD [2] - 998:8, 1051:18 **GPT** [1] - 998:8 grab [2] - 1067:1, 1067:10 grade [1] - 945:25 graduate [2] - 922:14, 925:2 graduating [1] -923:13 grained [1] - 941:8 granted [1] - 1034:8

graphic [1] - 1015:4

great [4] - 874:23, 932:20, 938:24, 955:7 green [6] - 863:7, 863:21. 886:15. 915:12. 956:9. 1056:16 Griener [3] - 841:23, 1070:5, 1070:5 grosses [1] - 939:9 grounds [1] - 917:11 group [10] - 851:20, 882:21, 882:24, 884:23, 903:17, 907:20, 920:9, 920:14, 920:19, 1052:5 Group [11] - 866:1, 867:14, 867:21, 868:24, 869:1, 869:4, 871:16, 872:2, 883:18, 930:10, 1028:16 grouped [2] - 929:16, 1014:12 grouping [3] - 897:13, 897:15 groups [5] - 896:8, 896:9, 896:22, 897:20, 1029:23 guarantee [1] - 862:3 guess [8] - 855:3, 866:18, 874:9, 912:16, 1002:3, 1003:15, 1010:10, 1026:25 guide [1] - 852:22 quidelines [1] - 926:8

Н

half [2] - 938:13, 1069:9 half-a-day [1] - 1069:9 Hampshire [1] -862:10 hand [7] - 859:11, 937:8, 971:23, 972:22, 994:3, 1050:25, 1068:10 hand-off [1] - 859:11 handed [3] - 1043:3, 1060:15, 1060:21 handle [5] - 944:14, 969:9, 969:22, 973:7, 973:13 handled [1] - 1052:11 handling [3] - 973:24, 1052:6, 1054:20 handwrite [1] -

HCM [1] - 902:20 HCM2 [1] - 915:16 HCM200049 [31] -872:6, 872:8, 872:20, 874:16, 875:1, 876:4, 876:9, 879:1, 880:3, 880:6, 881:13, 882:20, 883:17, 883:19, 883:22, 902:3, 902:6, 902:16, 903:20, 904:1, 904:11, 906:12, 906:20, 907:17, 908:12, 909:1, 910:2, 910:3, 911:12, 912:5, 1003:5 HCM200049_U.dms [1] - 913:1 HCM200105 [17] -865:21, 865:24, 866:11, 866:14, 867:25, 868:12, 869:1, 870:7, 870:18, 870:20, 871:14, 871:23, 872:1, 915:5, 915:9, 915:18, 1028:21 HCM20049 [1] - 879:7 head [1] - 900:18 header [1] - 959:8 headers [1] - 950:2 headset [1] - 855:17 hear [11] - 848:17, 852:8, 855:22, 874:22, 891:9, 894:20, 895:15, 895:17, 974:21, 1012:12, 1021:17 heard [23] - 850:14, 856:3, 874:2, 985:5, 989:5, 989:8, 1002:3, 1007:20, 1011:7, 1012:14, 1012:18, 1015:2, 1015:23, 1027:19, 1028:14, 1038:14, 1038:16, 1042:1, 1054:9, 1054:14,

1058:2, 1065:4, 1065:7 hearing [8] - 844:25, 850:14, 856:3, 862:7, 928:16, 934:24, 1003:8, 1029:17 **HEARING** [1] - 841:11 held [3] - 888:23, 889:2, 889:11 hello [1] - 1023:17 help [10] - 857:13, 860:10, 869:9, 871:1, 882:12, 888:17, 888:21, 891:15, 922:5, 996:9 helped [6] - 875:24, 879:20, 883:13, 889:5, 890:23, 920:11 helps [1] - 1007:21 Hi [3] - 879:1, 881:13, 908:12 **hi** [2] - 918:5, 918:6 **HICKS** [1] - 841:2 high [2] - 922:11, 963:3 higher [1] - 896:25 highlight [1] - 857:11 highlighted [6] -853:12, 853:17, 865:6, 870:8, 883:5, 966:18 Hill [2] - 841:17, 921:21 hired [1] - 1030:4 history [1] - 863:13 hit [2] - 1043:16, 1047:17 hold [3] - 936:22, 981:21, 1019:25 holding [1] - 844:10 home [1] - 956:6 Home [17] - 866:1, 867:13, 867:17, 868:1, 868:12, 868:23, 869:25, 870:20, 930:10, 1026:15, 1027:1, 1027:6, 1027:24, 1028:3. 1028:16. 1029:5, 1029:7 honestly [1] - 918:23 Honor [33] - 843:11, 845:5, 845:6, 845:9, 845:15, 845:21, 846:5, 847:19, 868:2, 868:13, 872:15, 873:20,

881:5, 887:1,

-15 887:18, 889:6, 889:13, 893:20, 894:14, 917:7, 917:14, 918:1, 920:24, 921:5, 933:13, 933:18, 934:9, 1068:3, 1069:1, 1069:11, 1069:19, 1069:23, 1069:24 HONORABLE [1] -841:2 honors [1] - 922:11 hopefully [1] -1050:24 Hospital [1] - 1038:4 Houmand [1] - 841:16 hours [2] - 902:23, 902:24 housekeeping [1] -1068:25 HSDWPSW002 [1] -870:6 HSH [1] - 867:4 HSP [3] - 869:25, 870:11, 1026:25 HTML [1] - 927:13 **human** [1] - 1021:7 hundred [4] - 975:22, 1044:16, 1044:18, 1044:20 hundreds [4] - 927:1, 1017:8, 1023:5, 1029:21 Hyderabad [1] -850:11 hyphenated [1] -848:14 ı

IBM [2] - 923:16, 924:3 ID [1] - 914:2 identical [1] - 935:23 Identification [1] -950:5 identified [9] - 903:2, 904:15, 909:23, 918:20, 939:21, 950:21, 1016:5, 1043:22, 1046:22 identifies [1] - 908:15 identify [11] - 900:6, 903:25, 904:10, 905:8, 905:17, 905:22, 907:17, 907:25, 1016:3, 1025:24, 1026:3 idiosyncrasies [1] -952:14

1040:13, 1040:16,

1017:6

875:15, 876:13,

976:12, 977:8,

1023:24, 1024:7,

isolated [7] - 929:16, 931:6, 931:21, 931:22, 932:1, 932:3, 1039:5 issue [49] - 857:18, 857:21. 858:20. 861:4, 861:6, 865:19, 872:4, 872:25, 884:1, 884:2, 891:17, 913:2, 913:6, 916:19, 917:10, 918:14, 918:19, 929:16, 930:2, 931:5, 937:24, 983:6, 983:7, 984:9, 996:2, 996:3, 996:7, 998:23, 999:9, 1002:16, 1002:18, 1003:4, 1003:18, 1004:11, 1004:13, 1012:22, 1013:14, 1013:19, 1025:5, 1025:15, 1025:16, 1025:19, 1030:25, 1037:4, 1049:12, 1057:18, 1059:9, 1059:14, 1068:25 Issue [13] - 929:18, 930:2, 930:8, 930:9, 930:11, 930:13, 934:14, 934:16, 984:10, 996:3, 996:4, 1003:4, 1012:22 issued [1] - 917:20 issues [18] - 862:6, 862:9, 875:19, 890:11, 891:4, 893:24, 928:23, 930:5, 930:6, 993:24, 995:5, 1003:11, 1003:13, 1012:20, 1037:7, 1039:24, 1062:16 IT [1] - 1001:5 italics [1] - 1045:16 items [1] - 874:21 itself [8] - 915:8, 933:10, 943:3, 943:13, 987:23,

J

992:5, 993:2, 993:4

James [1] - 1035:2 January [36] - 876:10, 876:11, 876:20, 879:15, 880:14, 880:15, 881:1,

883:21, 902:3, 903:14, 904:2, 904:13, 904:18, 905:19, 906:14, 906:22, 909:4, 910:3, 911:16, 912:3, 958:8, 958:9, 1012:3, 1060:9, 1061:3, 1061:14, 1061:15, 1061:17, 1061:19, 1062:5, 1062:12, 1062:13, 1062:19, 1062:23, 1063:4 Java [3] - 927:5, 927:13, 927:17 JavaScript [1] -927:13 **Jay** [16] - 865:4, 883:1, 936:1, 938:12, 954:11, 956:17, 957:14, 959:1, 963:21, 974:18, 986:21, 987:3, 993:10, 1008:15, 1019:15, 1020:19 **JD** [6] - 888:3, 930:12, 930:14, 990:25, 1036:4, 1037:1 **JDE** [29] - 930:2, 930:3, 984:10, 984:13, 984:15, 984:22, 985:8, 985:19, 985:24, 986:12, 986:18, 987:10, 987:19, 987:23, 988:2, 988:7, 988:9, 988:21, 988:25, 989:19, 989:23, 990:12, 991:7, 991:9, 991:18, 992:2, 993:12 Jessica [1] - 841:15 JHN [1] - 1049:23 JHN's [1] - 997:11 **Jim** [19] - 874:19, 875:6, 876:12, 878:3, 879:6, 897:16, 897:23, 898:3, 898:20, 900:10, 904:4, 904:25, 905:1, 907:19, 908:2, 908:5, 914:19, 1052:5 Jim's [1] - 919:13 Jira [15] - 930:24,

1053:6, 1053:13, 1054:8, 1054:16, 1062:20, 1062:22, 1066:3, 1066:6 job [3] - 849:25, 945:13. 988:5 jobs [2] - 849:13, 946:3 Johnson [22] - 930:7, 996:7, 996:14, 996:24, 999:18, 999:23, 1000:20, 1001:1, 1001:3, 1001:5, 1001:7, 1001:9, 1001:10, 1001:11, 1001:12, 1001:15, 1001:16, 1002:1, 1002:17, 1049:7, 1049:11 join [1] - 849:9 joining [1] - 850:3 Juan [2] - 881:13, 881:18 **JUDGE** [1] - 841:2 judge [1] - 907:8 Judge [1] - 921:3 jumping [1] - 1001:23

1051:23, 1052:18,

Κ

KALLA[1] - 870:2 Katie [2] - 847:24, 887:9 keep [10] - 858:2, 858:12, 859:17, 859:18, 867:10, 944:19, 1030:8, 1031:1, 1031:3, 1031:4 keeping [2] - 857:19, 1031:8 kept [3] - 901:7, 932:9, 986:16 key [1] - 976:14 kids [1] - 921:22 Kim [1] - 866:24 Kimberly [3] - 863:6, 864:11, 864:24 kind [10] - 853:3, 853:6, 855:3, 855:16, 858:11, 859:8, 901:13, 970:19, 1015:25, 1052:14 kinds [2] - 948:19, 972:15 know-how [5] -995:11, 995:21,

1001:1, 1002:25,

1055:5
knowledge [10] 893:6, 896:9,
995:11, 995:24,
996:13, 1000:22,
1000:25, 1002:23,
1002:24, 1054:23
knowledgeable [5] 893:1, 893:3,
893:10, 894:5, 924:5
known [3] - 1029:23,
1047:11, 1055:19
knows [1] - 846:17

•17

L

label [5] - 963:10,

975:8, 993:24

969:16, 971:21,

labeled [4] - 959:7, 973:10, 981:24, 1022:14 labels [1] - 972:1 lack [2] - 868:13, 944:22 lacks [2] - 872:15, 900:14 Lanchak [1] - 844:15 language [15] -908:22, 924:15, 937:3, 943:10, 943:13, 943:14, 943:16, 943:19, 949:3, 950:8, 951:1, 952:9, 954:25, 983:18, 1023:18 languages [9] -922:25, 927:13, 927:15, 927:16, 927:18, 943:11, 945:5, 952:3, 1023:3 **LARRY** [1] - 841:2 last [31] - 848:12, 866:23, 867:16, 867:22, 870:1, 870:8, 871:10, 889:2, 889:10, 891:1, 891:5, 891:24, 895:23, 921:13, 923:8, 938:8, 938:10, 938:19, 938:25, 945:10, 951:24, 957:5, 957:7, 973:25, 1005:25, 1011:7, 1015:8, 1025:15, 1028:15, 1029:9 late [3] - 924:18,

925:17, 928:9

1029:19, 1029:20,

1029:23, 1030:1,

М

947:6

M-A-T [1] - 876:6 ma'am [4] - 848:8, 908:10, 908:24, 913:25 machine [5] - 879:8, 881:20, 908:15, 908:16, 1022:11 MacKereth [1] -844:15 madam [2] - 891:9, 895.15 Madness [1] - 925:12 **mai** [1] - 1064:22 mail [56] - 873:3, 874:25, 898:3, 898:20, 898:25, 908:9, 910:10, 910:14, 911:8, 917:3, 917:6, 917:9, 920:6, 931:15, 932:7, 932:11, 932:12, 996:15, 996:16, 996:20, 996:24, 997:14, 997:15, 997:19, 997:20, 998:3, 998:14, 998:18, 998:20, 999:10, 999:24, 1001:21, 1001:22, 1005:25, 1006:13, 1007:16, 1008:5, 1010:3, 1012:3, 1014:3, 1027:3, 1032:17, 1038:11, 1048:18, 1051:13, 1054:17, 1061:16, 1061:20, 1062:6, 1062:11,

1063:4, 1063:25, 1064:9, 1065:12, 1065:15, 1065:17 mails [7] - 901:21, 930:23, 931:12, 1005:16, 1028:4, 1048:20, 1064:13 Main [1] - 949:8 major [1] - 995:6 management [1] -849:15 manager [11] - 888:15, 888:16, 888:20, 889:4, 889:20, 891:17, 896:2, 914:5 Manager [3] - 849:17, 888:10 managers [6] -890:10, 892:1, 896:3, 919:21, 919:24, 920:1 manner [1] - 894:2 manual [2] - 853:6, 857:25 manually [10] -857:14, 857:22, 883:24, 884:4, 884:5, 884:8, 884:11, 913:15, 913:20 Manufacturing [5] -872:6, 878:9, 878:12, 880:4, 880:9 March [4] - 925:12, 925:15, 1042:25, 1043:6 Margaret [2] - 841:23, 1070:5 mark [2] - 846:3, 916:6 Mark [6] - 877:17, 877:19. 877:21. 878:1, 878:3, 910:14 marker [20] - 987:18, 987:19, 987:22, 987:24, 987:25, 988:7, 988:10, 988:13, 988:15, 988:23, 989:6, 989:8, 989:14, 989:15, 989:23, 989:25, 990:11, 990:19, 991:25, 993:8 markers [4] - 987:15, 989:4, 991:2, 993:20 marks [1] - 993:17 Master [2] - 922:12, 922:14 MAT_[1] - 885:9

match [6] - 933:10,

933:11, 948:1, 960:14, 960:17, 971:19 matches [8] - 948:15, 949:24, 959:14, 960:4, 960:17, 977:19. 984:2 matching [25] -929:20, 932:20, 933:3, 942:6, 942:10, 942:12, 946:21, 948:3, 948:5, 949:16, 949:17, 951:7, 958:18, 960:10, 960:19, 960:22, 961:11, 961:15, 961:16, 961:20, 962:19, 972:5, 977:10, 977:11, 977:12 material [15] - 935:2, 941:2, 995:2, 1004:25, 1005:9, 1014:22, 1015:13, 1016:15, 1016:17, 1025:11, 1028:24, 1036:25, 1037:15, 1043:22 materials [16] -930:18, 930:20, 1015:5, 1033:19, 1033:25, 1038:1, 1038:6, 1038:19, 1039:1, 1039:17, 1040:4, 1041:19, 1042:10, 1045:19, 1046:7, 1047:10 mathematics [1] -947:22 Mathematics [1] -922:10 Matheson [30] - 872:6, 873:4, 875:10, 875:12, 876:5, 876:20, 876:25, 877:20, 878:5, 884:16, 884:20, 885:7, 885:10, 885:24, 901:16, 901:21, 902:3, 903:13, 906:12, 906:21, 907:18, 909:22, 910:2, 910:6, 910:16, 910:22, 911:11, 1067:8, 1067:18, 1067:23 matter [11] - 844:23, 896:20, 897:14,

897:18, 908:20, 930:16, 1005:8, 1041:6, 1054:19, 1057:21, 1070:4 matters [1] - 900:3 Maureen [2] - 879:1, 908:12 McCracken [85] -841:21, 845:8, 845:9, 846:23, 847:1, 847:14, 921:16, 921:17, 921:19, 929:10, 933:13, 933:18, 934:7, 935:5, 935:8, 935:12, 935:13, 936:1, 936:4, 936:22, 936:24, 937:21, 937:23, 938:12, 938:14, 939:4, 940:2, 940:16, 940:18, 951:9, 951:12, 954:10, 954:12, 955:13, 955:14, 956:16, 956:18, 957:14, 957:16, 959:1, 959:3, 963:15, 963:21, 963:23, 965:4, 965:7, 965:9, 974:17, 974:20, 984:17, 984:19, 986:20, 986:22, 987:3, 987:7, 989:9, 989:11, 991:4, 991:5, 991:10, 991:12, 993:9, 993:11, 994:3, 994:5, 999:19, 999:20, 1001:18, 1001:20, 1005:19, 1005:21, 1008:15, 1008:17, 1013:4, 1013:9, 1013:10, 1013:13, 1019:15, 1020:18, 1020:20, 1024:16, 1028:9, 1028:11, 1031:10, 1070:15 MCCRACKEN[5] -846:5. 847:5. 847:11, 921:5, 934:9 MCRACKEN[1] -934:10 mean [29] - 855:2, 874:14, 879:5, 881:17, 888:14, 902:20, 903:17, 913:19, 918:20,

920:5, 926:14, 928:14, 941:21, 943:2, 944:20, 961:15, 971:18, 987:24, 1020:3, 1024:8, 1026:17, 1044:22, 1046:24, 1047:1, 1047:21, 1053:15, 1060:20, 1062:21 meaning [3] - 892:13, 932:25, 1056:19 meaningful [1] -1007:24 means [14] - 882:2, 912:7, 913:3, 913:8, 947:14, 947:21, 948:14, 959:9, 959:12, 961:15, 968:12, 972:9, 975:10, 1046:24 meant [1] - 989:7 meantime [1] - 916:21 media [1] - 956:11 medical [1] - 925:4 meet [1] - 920:4 meetings [1] - 859:11 members [1] - 853:8 memory [4] - 932:12, 941:10, 941:11, 1042:24 mention [2] - 918:13, 1019:9 mentioned [51] -850:13, 856:25, 867:7, 867:13, 870:9, 871:15, 884:3, 885:23, 902:6, 926:1, 941:6, 941:9, 949:3, 951:2, 952:8, 952:22, 953:9, 954:18, 960:20, 962:10, 963:16, 964:22, 965:1, 967:25, 968:2, 968:7, 973:14, 976:3, 977:8, 981:1, 982:16, 983:24, 985:16, 988:1, 988:19, 993:7, 993:19, 1005:4, 1006:8, 1008:10, 1010:19, 1016:16, 1020:10, 1023:5, 1024:6, 1025:6, 1032:5, 1033:7, 1057:12, 1060:7, 1060:16 merge [1] - 851:23

-19

merged [1] - 884:24 message [3] - 877:17, 878:2, 1067:9 metadata [3] - 866:7, 866:10, 915:16 method [2] - 948:11, 960.21 methodology [3] -948:5, 948:18, 983:23 middle [9] - 877:16, 912:19, 937:4, 957:15, 976:11, 1003:13, 1003:16, 1043:14, 1065:20 might [33] - 861:22, 896:19, 898:12, 925:8, 938:2, 939:12, 940:17, 941:19, 941:20, 943:11, 947:10, 948:1, 948:15, 949:21, 952:10, 954:19, 959:11, 960:3, 962:24, 964:11, 965:23, 974:24. 975:21. 992:13, 995:24, 998:1, 1024:23, 1030:23, 1034:19, 1042:21, 1048:14, 1055:19 millions [1] - 977:23 mind [6] - 868:20, 868:21, 902:8, 1039:25, 1069:3, 1069:18 mine [3] - 898:5, 929:11, 929:13 minimis [3] - 984:5, 991:3 minor [1] - 901:13 minute [2] - 873:20, 1041:6 minutes [3] - 847:22, 1013:1, 1039:14 misalignment [3] -1003:11, 1003:12, 1003:18 MISC [2] - 864:14, 865:15 Miscellaneous [4] -864:22, 865:1, 865:2, 865:9 misleading [1] -1050:1 miss [2] - 983:9, 983:10 missed [1] - 909:11 missing [11] - 873:7,

875:12, 882:25, 912:17, 912:23, 913:3, 913:11, 953:11, 981:4, 992:7, 993:7 misstates [1] - 906:24 Miszewski [1] -910:14 mobile [1] - 923:1 model [1] - 945:23 modest [1] - 843:21 Modification [1] -938:4 modification [1] -1027:13 modifications [1] -1005:7 modified [7] - 933:4, 1006:25, 1008:6, 1018:3, 1019:7, 1022:17, 1026:20 modifies [1] - 1061:4 modify [2] - 1013:21, 1017:18 module [1] - 933:14 Monday [9] - 843:15, 843:22, 844:1, 844:3, 844:6, 844:9, 844:16, 974:22, 1069:22 month [1] - 844:24 months [2] - 902:2, 903:12 Morarii [1] - 886:13 morning [23] - 843:4, 843:6, 848:21, 887:2, 887:5, 887:17, 892:3, 892:8, 892:19, 899:24, 900:3, 900:7, 900:21, 906:18, 907:13, 907:16, 911:5, 914:22, 916:11, 921:16, 921:17, 1062:8, 1069:22 most [6] - 933:11, 949:19, 961:11, 977:24, 1006:23, 1012:25 move [19] - 845:3, 846:3, 873:18, 877:2, 878:15, 881:3, 917:7, 933:13, 971:13, 984:9, 984:10, 993:22, 1003:4, 1012:22, 1025:15,

1036:23, 1067:5,

1067:12, 1067:17

moved [1] - 847:7 movement [1] - 973:6 Mover[1] - 1014:18 movie [1] - 926:19 moving [3] - 973:3, 973:4, 1013:14 MR [128] - 843:11, 843:17, 843:20, 843:25, 844:11, 844:22, 845:5, 845:6, 845:9, 845:15, 845:18, 846:5, 846:23, 847:1, 847:5, 847:8, 847:11, 847:12, 847:14, 872:15, 873:22, 894:3, 894:16, 897:10, 921:5, 921:16, 921:19, 929:10, 933:13, 933:18, 934:9, 934:10, 935:5, 935:8, 935:12, 935:13, 936:1, 936:4, 936:22, 936:24, 937:21, 937:23, 938:12, 938:14, 939:4, 940:2, 940:16, 940:18, 951:9, 951:12, 954:10, 954:12, 955:13, 955:14, 956:16, 956:18, 957:14, 957:16, 959:1, 959:3, 963:21. 963:23. 965:4. 965:7. 965:9. 974:17, 974:20, 984:17, 984:19, 986:20, 986:22, 987:3, 987:7, 989:9, 989:11, 991:4, 991:5, 991:10, 991:12, 993:9, 993:11, 994:3, 994:5, 999:19, 999:20, 1001:18, 1001:20, 1005:19, 1005:21, 1008:15, 1008:17, 1013:4, 1013:10, 1013:13, 1019:15, 1020:18, 1020:20, 1024:4, 1024:16, 1028:9, 1028:11, 1031:10, 1031:14, 1031:19, 1042:23, 1043:6, 1043:9, 1043:13, 1049:19, 1049:21,

1051:10, 1051:12, 1055:2, 1055:3, 1059:19, 1059:21, 1068:2, 1068:7, 1068:10, 1068:17, 1068:24, 1069:3, 1069:6, 1069:13, 1069:14, 1069:19, 1069:23, 1069:24 MS [69] - 847:19, 848:20, 852:9, 868:2, 868:4, 868:6, 868:9, 868:10, 868:13, 868:18, 869:13, 869:15, 872:19, 872:23, 873:18, 873:20, 874:1, 877:2, 877:4, 877:6, 877:9, 878:15, 878:17, 878:20, 881:3, 881:5, 881:8, 883:1, 883:4, 886:23, 887:1, 887:7, 887:18, 887:22, 889:1, 889:6, 889:10, 889:16, 889:23, 893:14, 893:16, 893:19, 894:8, 894:13, 894:23, 895:2, 895:10, 895:19, 895:21, 896:10, 896:13, 896:18, 897:3, 897:5, 900:14, 900:16, 906:24, 907:2, 907:4, 907:7, 907:11, 907:12, 917:7, 917:9, 917:14, 917:25, 918:4, 920:22, 920:23 multiple [5] - 852:1, 920:4, 1005:23, 1008:19, 1010:9 must [30] - 937:3, 943:13, 944:4, 944:23, 945:14, 945:16, 949:23, 951:3, 952:22, 956:4, 956:8, 956:14, 956:25, 957:21, 961:12, 961:13, 964:16, 965:20, 965:22, 967:9, 970:5, 988:4, 994:12, 994:21, 995:1, 995:3, 1005:11, 1037:14, 1037:23, 1056:13

Ν

-2.0

NA [1] - 867:4 name [22] - 848:10, 848:13, 859:15, 866:21, 870:1, 870:3, 871:10, 873:6, 880:25, 914:2, 915:17, 921:11, 921:12, 921:13, 921:21, 946:11, 946:17, 969:12, 971:25, 975:5, 1031:15 named [5] - 877:17, 934:16, 968:7, 1005:5, 1035:2 names [8] - 858:14, 926:15, 926:17, 926:18, 944:5, 963:17, 968:14, 976:3 naming [5] - 902:12, 945:15, 945:18, 968:4. 968:9 nature [1] - 942:24 nearly [1] - 1024:6 NEC [1] - 865:2 necessarily [1] -1030:24 necessary [2] -1021:8, 1054:24 need [32] - 845:3, 860:22, 875:20, 897:12, 899:22, 900:8, 903:1, 908:2, 908:5, 914:5, 916:3, 942:22, 967:6, 967:22, 985:24, 988:9, 1005:17, 1006:7, 1006:9, 1007:11, 1008:24, 1012:24, 1021:1, 1021:4. 1037:21. 1041:23. 1043:2. 1050:25, 1054:1, 1064:7, 1065:6, 1066:1 needed [11] - 966:21, 1007:8, 1034:18, 1052:10, 1053:23, 1055:6, 1055:19, 1062:25, 1064:2, 1064:12, 1067:9 needing [4] - 860:17, 944:17, 1052:23, 1053:19 needs [5] - 852:18,

954:16, 955:10,

988:2, 1065:10

nine [1] - 975:9 non [3] - 936:19, 938:2, 975:12 non-fixed [1] - 936:19 non-programmers [1] - 975:12 none [4] - 981:7, 1008:13, 1016:6, 1025:10 nonemployee [1] nonquarantine [1] -1048:19 noon [3] - 933:17, 933:19, 934:6 normalized [8] -946:21, 946:23, 947:10, 947:11, 948:5, 948:13, 960:21, 983:23 North [1] - 921:21 **note** [1] - 858:19 noted [5] - 876:12, 894:11, 901:5, 961:9, 1060:4 notes [2] - 916:16, 916:18 nothing [4] - 902:23, 974:13, 989:18, 1020:10 notice [9] - 843:9, 898:22, 983:6, 1001:23. 1063:6. 1066:2, 1066:21, 1067:1, 1069:12 noticed [2] - 844:24, 1066.6 notification [1] - 874:8 notify [1] - 857:20 noting [1] - 982:2 November [4] - 898:3, 898:21, 1063:6, 1063:13 NSF [2] - 923:17, 924:1 number [25] - 873:4, 878:13, 880:24, 902:21, 947:18, 951:3, 952:7, 952:9, 955:2. 957:22. 958:2. 958:3. 958:6. 958:11, 961:21, 976:12, 976:15, 977:18, 1013:14, 1025:16, 1043:4, 1043:5, 1044:17,

numbers [7] - 898:11,

898:14, 935:20,

1051:2, 1057:1 numbered [1] - 898:9

935:23, 957:1 0 o'clock [1] - 1068:2 Object [3] - 985:16, 985:17, 986:7 object [10] - 846:5, 846:14, 896:13, 897:5, 902:13, 903:16, 917:11, 985:23, 988:21, 1021:11 objection [25] -845:13, 845:19, 846:4, 846:20, 846:25, 847:2, 868:2, 868:5, 868:13, 872:15, 873:22, 877:5, 878:17, 881:5, 894:9, 894:11, 900:14, 906:24, 907:7, 907:8, 917:9, 917:23, 1024:4, 1068:21, 1068:24 objects [18] - 851:24, 855:15, 856:19, 857:5, 857:12, 861:1, 876:18, 878:3, 880:17, 882:7, 885:4, 902:11, 902:17, 902:18, 902:20, 985:8, 985:24, 1062:9 observed [2] -1035:15, 1035:20 obtained [1] - 1057:11 obviously [1] -1064:20 OC [1] - 987:15 occasionally [1] -891:14 occur [9] - 862:2, 862:3, 938:17, 938:23, 938:24, 948:1, 980:17, 1022:12, 1027:21 occurred [2] - 892:22, 915:21 occurrence [3] -937:9, 979:25, 1012:18 occurrences [3] -980:14, 980:15, 982:12 occurring [2] - 892:7,

938:8, 980:13 October [2] - 849:10, 889:25 **OF** [3] - 841:1, 841:11, 962:22 offer [1] - 922:2 offering [2] - 930:13, 930.17 Official [2] - 841:23, 1070:6 often [5] - 920:1, 954:16, 956:6, 1023:10, 1031:6 older [1] - 1008:23 onboard [1] - 915:6 once [16] - 855:19, 910:22, 916:14, 919:14, 969:6, 970:20, 980:14, 995:22, 1000:19, 1004:3, 1009:5, 1029:5, 1042:1, 1046:19 One [1] - 844:23 one [124] - 851:6, 851:18, 856:9, 858:11, 859:8, 859:13, 859:14, 862:9, 862:14, 863:15, 863:17, 863:24, 865:21, 866:23, 867:9, 869:25, 871:2, 871:11, 873:20, 876:13, 879:6, 882:23, 882:24, 884:18, 886:14, 891:16, 891:25, 905:16, 906:7, 910:5, 912:3, 912:14, 912:22, 912:23, 914:1, 915:6, 916:2, 916:21, 918:16, 918:25, 920:5, 923:21, 923:24, 925:8, 926:5, 929:16, 929:19, 933:1, 933:2, 933:3, 938:8, 947:9, 947:15, 948:15, 949:15, 956:8, 957:7, 957:11, 961:10, 966:13, 968:23, 969:1, 969:10, 976:8, 978:17, 978:20, 979:4, 979:12, 979:14, 979:20, 980:12, 980:15,

981:14, 982:20, 982:21, 982:22, 983:3, 992:22, 993:1, 994:12, 995:14, 997:5, 998:5, 999:5, 1007:13, 1009:3, 1010:8, 1010:9, 1017:25, 1023:6, 1023:16, 1025:22, 1026:18, 1029:1, 1035:4, 1036:4, 1036:9, 1041:21, 1045:3, 1045:15, 1046:4, 1046:15, 1046:17, 1046:25, 1047:1, 1047:2, 1049:12, 1050:6, 1050:12. 1050:14. 1051:23, 1052:22, 1055:4, 1055:25, 1058:21, 1064:14, 1064:25, 1067:21, 1068:7, 1068:25 one-line [1] - 1023:16 one-on-one [1] -920.5 one-stop [2] - 858:11, 859:8 ones [7] - 882:23, 885:17, 1006:5, 1008:3, 1015:14, 1015:15, 1067:20 ongoing [1] - 927:2 online [6] - 853:11, 853:14, 854:10, 927:3, 927:5, 927:6 oops [2] - 965:11, 1056:3 open [3] - 862:24, 932:7, 932:8 operate [1] - 1013:21 operating [1] -1024:12 operations [2] -1056:18, 1063:1 opined [4] - 1016:7, 1017:17, 1026:18, 1036:16 opining [1] - 930:11 opinion [45] - 948:4, 958:14, 960:25, 974:4, 974:5, 977:8, 978:4, 981:11, 984:4, 993:16, 993:18, 996:25, 998:7, 998:15, 1000:7, 1000:14, 1002:8, 1004:25, 1005:23, 1008:9,

-21

1011:18

occurs [3] - 861:12,

```
862:6, 928:11
parties' [1] - 935:22
partner [1] - 860:17
parts [10] - 960:9,
 962:4, 972:2, 985:4,
 991:20, 992:7,
 1021:9, 1034:11,
 1052:15, 1057:25
party [9] - 846:2,
 858:23, 873:5,
 877:13, 878:14,
 880:25, 1045:18,
 1046:6, 1047:9
pass [4] - 900:23,
 901:1, 918:16,
 918:25
passage [1] - 974:8
passed [12] - 863:6,
 863:12, 863:17,
 863:19, 864:12,
 864:15, 864:18,
 864:24, 865:3,
 865:9, 884:17,
 886:15
passes [1] - 885:7
patent [1] - 927:23
Paula [4] - 1052:4,
 1054:19, 1054:22,
 1055:1
paused [1] - 848:3
pay [3] - 939:13,
 939:18, 960:7
paymaster [4] -
 939:11, 939:15,
 940:6, 941:7
paymasters [2] -
 939:10, 939:25
payment [2] - 940:24,
 971:24
pdf [4] - 937:12,
 937:14, 1050:2,
 1050:13
pdfs [2] - 1014:13
pedagogical [1] -
 925:21
pen [1] - 1056:21
pending [3] - 897:3,
 897:4, 907:8
people [8] - 850:6,
 855:24, 923:17,
 927:14, 947:10,
 975:14, 1034:13,
 1053:25
PeopleSoft [75] -
 850:19, 888:3,
 888:6, 893:1, 893:4,
 893:10, 894:5,
 896:7, 896:21,
 898:21, 898:24,
 900:19, 929:17,
```

```
931:6, 931:14,
 931:25, 932:2,
 932:24, 932:25,
 942:25, 955:17,
 955:20, 955:22,
 965:19, 965:21,
 977:16, 977:22,
 978:3, 978:7,
 999:14, 1001:7,
 1001:11, 1010:23,
 1013:22, 1014:21,
 1016:22, 1016:23,
 1016:25, 1017:18,
 1017:23, 1017:24,
 1017:25, 1018:2,
 1018:4, 1018:6,
 1018:21, 1019:7,
 1019:10. 1019:24.
 1020:11. 1020:16.
 1020:25, 1021:4,
 1021:9, 1021:14,
 1021:15, 1021:18,
 1021:24, 1021:25,
 1022:17, 1022:20,
 1023:2, 1026:21,
 1036:4, 1038:1,
 1038:2, 1038:3,
 1038:10, 1040:10,
 1041:19, 1056:10,
 1056:15, 1059:17
PeopleTools [1] -
 1024:20
per [1] - 1017:7
percent [5] - 933:2,
 933:11, 977:24,
 1043:17, 1044:21
perception [1] -
 1039:12
perform [7] - 857:25,
 859:22, 925:5,
 949:20, 969:20,
 973:9, 988:5
PERFORM [1] - 949:7
Perform [1] - 973:8
performed [1] -
 859:25
performing [5] -
 977:1, 977:9, 989:3,
 995:12, 1035:16
performs [1] - 954:15
perhaps [7] - 933:3,
 958:10, 961:11,
 962:24, 1006:23,
 1024:21, 1054:3
period [1] - 918:24
permanent [3] -
 994:10, 995:4,
```

1056:7

1034:8

permission [1] -

```
permit [1] - 1069:11
person [8] - 870:10,
 900:9, 914:3, 990:1,
 1001:5. 1001:22.
 1034:14, 1046:24
personally [10] -
 888:6, 890:13,
 892:2, 900:2, 900:5,
 914:12. 1032:24.
 1033:11, 1033:20,
 1035:15
personnel [3] -
 930:23, 1035:2,
 1035:11
perspective [1] -
 995:15
Ph.D [1] - 922:15
Phillips [1] - 841:15
phone [3] - 855:17,
 855:19, 923:1
phonetic) [1] - 866:24
phrase [1] - 938:5
physically [1] - 850:9
PIC [3] - 955:2, 975:6,
 982:4
pic [11] - 937:4, 937:5,
 954:23, 955:2,
 955:6, 957:20,
 957:21, 959:13,
 959:14
pick [10] - 874:17,
 875:3, 875:4, 879:7,
 879:8, 881:20,
 884:25, 908:13,
 925:11, 925:14
picked [1] - 878:3
picking [3] - 844:9,
 859:13, 925:9
piece [7] - 886:6,
 896:3, 977:23,
 988:17, 992:9,
 1068:7, 1068:11
pieces [2] - 875:25,
 987:12
place [17] - 856:23,
 860:7, 882:1, 890:8,
 896:15, 900:2,
 908:1, 916:9,
 941:18, 948:2,
 958:7, 976:10,
 988:3, 988:6,
 1010:23, 1027:11,
 1048:15
placed [1] - 899:11
places [5] - 941:19,
 941:20, 981:12,
 981:13, 981:14
PLAINTIFF [1] -
 841.14
Plaintiff's [5] - 847:4,
```

```
847:17, 873:24,
 878:19, 881:7
plaintiffs [1] - 841:5
PLAINTIFFS' [1] -
 1070:17
plan [20] - 852:20,
 852:21, 852:22,
 852:24, 853:8,
 853:17, 854:1,
 854:4, 861:18,
 862:1, 863:2,
 884:15, 886:11,
 898:7, 905:20,
 916:1, 916:6, 917:1,
 919:3, 919:15
plans [10] - 853:10,
 853:18, 853:21,
 853:22, 853:24,
 854:7, 854:11,
 890:17, 920:17,
 920:20
planted [1] - 951:18
platforms [1] - 964:10
played [2] - 846:9,
 846:19
plus [2] - 844:1, 990:5
Pocker [1] - 841:14
point [14] - 863:22,
 876:19, 883:21,
 897:1, 925:13,
 1000:9, 1001:8,
 1005:13, 1012:7,
 1022:5, 1022:8,
 1049:18, 1059:12,
 1069:17
pointed [1] - 918:10
points [2] - 968:8,
 968:9
policies [1] - 890:7
Policy [11] - 846:1,
 1038:8, 1038:9,
 1038:13, 1038:15,
 1039:9, 1042:2,
 1042:4. 1042:6.
 1042:8. 1042:12
policy [1] - 1043:18
poor [1] - 1029:11
popular [1] - 923:6
portion [9] - 865:6,
 871:6, 897:8,
 942:13, 977:13,
 977:21, 977:25,
 990:16, 990:22
portions [9] - 847:7,
 871:3, 879:21,
 880:1, 882:13,
 882:18, 942:6,
 977:11, 977:12
position [5] - 888:7,
 889:2, 889:11,
```

```
1039:18, 1039:19
positive [1] - 949:20
possible [4] - 860:21,
 910:21. 944:12.
 1031:1
possibly [3] - 891:25,
 953:1, 990:8
postinjunction [3] -
 928:16, 928:19,
 930:19
potential [4] - 997:22,
 998:23, 1001:25,
 1051:15
potentially [24] -
 997:5, 997:9,
 997:12, 997:15,
 998:1, 999:2, 999:3,
 1000:2, 1000:13,
 1000:24, 1002:12,
 1004:9, 1006:9,
 1007:6, 1007:11,
 1009:4, 1011:18,
 1045:17, 1046:6,
 1047:9, 1054:5,
 1055:7, 1055:10,
 1064:6
Potter [1] - 963:14
pound [1] - 1020:3
PowerPoint [1] -
 1059:25
practice [6] - 862:4,
 894:2, 896:21,
 914:13, 917:20,
 976:20
practices [7] - 924:2,
 924:5, 925:21,
 925:25, 926:2,
 926:4, 926:5
pre [15] - 862:25,
 864:6, 864:20,
 866:7, 868:15,
 869:5, 870:22,
 875:21, 879:17,
 882:9, 883:10,
 884:14, 886:9,
 1019:23, 1021:5
pre-admitted [13] -
 862:25, 864:6,
 864:20, 866:7,
 868:15, 869:5,
 870:22, 875:21,
 879:17, 882:9,
 883:10, 884:14,
 886:9
pre-compile [1] -
 1021:5
pre-compiler [1] -
 1019:23
preexisting [2] -
 995:3, 1037:16
```

917:16, 920:10,

920:15, 920:20,

932:11, 956:25,

957:1, 958:3,

958:11, 964:4,

854:21, 854:24,

858:3, 859:5,

859:23, 861:8,

862:17, 888:2,

888:10, 906:1,

873:13, 878:3,

913:12, 916:16,

918:25, 973:19,

973:23, 989:20,

1045:16, 1051:10,

recently [1] - 849:18

recess [9] - 847:25,

887:14, 933:17,

1012:25, 1013:5,

933:19, 934:6,

998:8, 1018:10,

1035:4, 1045:12,

1054:17, 1061:7

referenced [5] - 899:3,

1043:21, 1045:23,

```
1048:5, 1063:4
referring [12] - 897:23,
 898:10, 910:21,
 940:19, 951:15,
 955:1, 970:11,
 1028:6, 1028:7,
 1040:7. 1045:8.
 1054:11
refers [2] - 1038:2,
 1051:20
reflect [2] - 865:14,
 871:3
reflected [2] - 867:15,
 880:1
reflection [1] - 918:21
reflects [1] - 869:16
refresh [1] - 1042:23
regard [2] - 954:1,
 999.9
regarding [7] - 894:5,
 898:21, 945:20,
 952:14, 974:7,
 974:8, 1068:1
regular [2] - 873:15,
 937.9
regularity [1] - 938:25
regularly [1] - 893:23
regulatory [13] -
 849:22, 850:13,
 850:14, 850:15,
 850:24, 851:7,
 851:18, 852:15,
 852:17, 853:2,
 854:5, 873:12,
 885:20
related [9] - 915:8,
 923:19, 927:23,
 927:24, 930:5,
 940:3, 959:17,
 989:19, 1045:4
relates [5] - 899:3,
 906:17. 930:11.
 979:23, 995:7
relating [1] - 911:25
relative [1] - 893:24
release [6] - 863:5,
 864:23, 866:22,
 884:17, 886:13,
 914:9
relevance [1] - 917:11
relevant [9] - 917:21,
 925:23, 926:20,
 953:5, 954:14,
 963:24, 1006:21,
 1006:23, 1007:16
reliable [1] - 948:5
relied [4] - 997:14,
 998:14, 1001:21,
 1042:10
rely [2] - 955:9, 996:18
```

```
relying [1] - 1054:15
remember [16] -
 891:5, 892:24,
 895:23. 918:23.
 932:17. 960:2.
 967:24, 991:14,
 1011:12, 1025:18,
 1030:17, 1035:7,
 1038:4, 1038:5,
 1045:3, 1054:16
remembered [1] -
 929:5
remind [7] - 867:8,
 879:14, 884:21,
 885:13, 1003:7,
 1003:9, 1004:12
remotely [4] - 849:3,
 899:12, 906:3, 906:7
remotes [1] - 956:7
remoting [1] - 913:21
remove [1] - 947:8
renew [1] - 894:8
Reno [2] - 841:7,
 841:24
RENO [2] - 843:1,
 934:1
repeat [7] - 868:19,
 872:17, 890:16,
 891:8, 895:14,
 906:15, 909:5
repeated [1] - 916:18
rephrase [2] - 868:6,
 907:9
replace [1] - 964:11
replied [1] - 877:22
report [36] - 846:18,
 857:7, 891:1, 909:6,
 914:25, 919:24,
 930:21, 983:14,
 1031:21, 1032:6,
 1032:11, 1033:6,
 1039:2, 1039:17,
 1042:20, 1042:25,
 1043:12, 1043:20,
 1045:9, 1045:12,
 1046:8, 1047:4,
 1047:7, 1047:12,
 1047:13, 1047:20,
 1048:1, 1048:2,
 1048:3, 1049:12,
 1049:17, 1049:20,
 1049:25, 1050:5,
 1052:7, 1054:21
reported [3] - 996:7,
 1053:22, 1054:24
Reported [1] - 841:23
Reporter [2] - 841:23,
 1070:6
reporter [3] - 874:21,
 891:9. 895:15
```

```
REPORTER [1] -
 852.8
reporters [1] - 846:17
reporting [1] -
 1046:11
reports [21] - 845:25,
 856:15, 856:17,
 857:1, 857:17,
 857:22, 890:25,
 892:7, 928:21,
 931:3, 961:11,
 1035:4, 1035:14,
 1035:21, 1036:1,
 1042:7, 1042:11,
 1043:2, 1047:4,
 1047:25
represent [1] -
 1049:13
representation[1] -
 1032:21
representations [1] -
 1032:15
represented [1] -
 1044:22
representing[1] -
 957:8
represents [1] -
 976:13
reprimanded [1] -
 1038:16
reproduce [1] - 1056:9
reproducing [1] -
 995:16
reproduction [11] -
 994:12, 994:14,
 994:17, 994:19,
 1005:12, 1025:25,
 1026:10, 1026:20,
 1029:1, 1056:13,
 1056:22
requested [2] -
 966:21, 1034:15
required [35] - 943:14,
 943:18, 944:2,
 944:5, 949:24,
 950:3, 950:14,
 950:15, 951:1,
 955:8, 957:3, 957:4,
 957:10, 957:21,
 963:7, 963:8,
 964:22, 965:19,
 965:25, 966:3,
 967:4, 967:12,
 967:17, 968:3,
 968:4, 969:17,
 970:25, 971:4,
 971:7, 973:15,
 973:16, 1005:23,
 1063:7
requirement [1] -
```

```
964:21
requirements [3] -
 890:24, 906:6, 963:1
requires [4] - 943:16,
 950:8, 966:22, 967:1
research [3] - 923:16,
 923:18, 926:2
resource [2] - 970:17,
 976:13
resources [1] - 944:16
respect [22] - 913:2,
 987:9, 996:11,
 1003:21, 1025:5,
 1028:21, 1036:19,
 1036:22, 1037:25,
 1038:6, 1038:25,
 1039:16, 1040:3,
 1040:4, 1040:10,
 1041:1, 1041:5,
 1041:19, 1041:21,
 1057:18, 1057:19,
 1067:23
respective [1] -
 1027:1
respectively [1] -
 1015:12
respond [5] - 874:7,
 922:3. 928:20.
 1036:7, 1040:17
responded [1] -
 1064:8
responding [4] -
 1036:21, 1043:20,
 1048:1, 1048:3
response [5] -
 1001:22, 1041:15,
 1042:20, 1047:20,
 1057:13
responses [1] -
 1036:15
responsibilities [1] -
 905:4
responsibility [1] -
 850:23
responsible [1] -
 885:2
rest [4] - 937:5, 993:6,
 1006:3, 1006:4
restrict [1] - 995:20
result [8] - 897:8,
 936:19, 956:15,
 969:8, 969:9,
 972:10, 1048:16
results [8] - 900:19,
 900:24, 901:3,
 916:9, 956:5,
 1021:10, 1022:10,
 1022:16
retained [2] - 928:9,
 928:11
```

```
retest [1] - 885:5
retrieved [4] - 877:23,
 910:19, 910:22,
 910:24
return [13] - 969:11,
 969:13, 969:15,
 969:16, 969:19,
 969:23, 972:8,
 972:11, 972:12,
 972:20, 972:21
returned [1] - 969:14
reuse [3] - 995:10,
 1002:24, 1055:4
reused [1] - 1000:22
revealed [1] - 1047:7
review [16] - 852:14,
 852:18, 885:6,
 890:15, 890:17,
 890:19, 892:6,
 892:10, 892:15,
 902:17, 930:18,
 953:23, 1004:22,
 1042:6, 1042:11,
 1053:6
reviewed [10] -
 892:18, 930:20,
 930:21, 931:2,
 931:3. 1015:18.
 1032:10, 1039:1,
 1039:17
reviewing [2] -
 852:17, 890:13
revised [1] - 1025:7
Richard [1] - 841:14
Rico [1] - 1003:14
rid [1] - 962:20
right-hand [3] - 937:8,
 971:23, 972:22
Rimini [259] - 846:21,
 847:19, 849:6,
 849:7, 849:9,
 849:12, 849:13,
 849:25, 850:3,
 850:6, 850:18,
 854:10, 856:9,
 858:22, 859:1,
 859:2, 859:22,
 873:15, 875:9,
 888:11, 889:24,
 890:3, 898:11,
 898:25, 900:12,
 900:17, 901:20,
 902:2, 903:12,
 903:19, 903:25,
 904:11, 904:16,
 904:23, 905:8,
 905:18, 906:11,
 906:19, 911:1,
 911:15, 915:7,
 915:18, 928:8,
```

928:12, 928:13,
928:17, 929:17,
929:20, 930:4,
930:23, 931:4,
931:8, 931:12,
931:20, 931:25,
932:1, 932:5,
932:15, 933:8,
934:17, 935:9,
936:13, 936:21,
937:19, 938:4,
938:9, 938:19,
939:2, 939:3, 939:6,
939:21, 939:24,
940:6, 940:10,
940:13, 941:4,
941:9, 949:15,
952:6, 953:1,
953:11, 953:13,
953:18, 953:24,
954:9, 959:22,
960:6, 961:12,
962:7, 962:18,
974:16, 976:19,
979:21, 980:5,
980:7, 980:9,
980:11, 980:20,
980:23, 981:2,
981:8, 982:1,
983:13, 984:6,
984:14, 985:20, 986:12, 986:14,
986:17, 986:18, 986:23, 987:1,
987:2, 987:20,
988:1, 989:1,
989:13, 989:24,
990:16, 990:18,
990:19, 991:7,
992:3, 992:11,
993:17, 994:7,
995:10, 995:13,
995:16, 996:9,
996:21, 998:12,
999:3, 999:8,
999:17, 999:25,
1000:10, 1000:14,
1001:7, 1001:9,
1002:10, 1002:16,
1002:20, 1004:3,
1004:18, 1004:20,
1004:21, 1005:4,
1006:9, 1007:7,
1007:21, 1008:1,
1008:2, 1008:11,
1008:19, 1008:21,
1008:25, 1009:8,
1009:13, 1009:18,
1009:22, 1010:1,
1010:5, 1010:13,
1010:20, 1010:21,
i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

1012:12, 1014:15,
1014:20, 1016:11,
1016:13, 1018:4,
1018:7, 1018:12,
1018:15, 1018:16, 1019:7, 1019:11,
1020:9. 1022:16.
1025:1, 1025:6,
1025:23, 1026:10,
1027:15, 1029:16, 1029:20, 1030:2,
1030:4, 1030:6,
1030:12, 1030:14,
1030:19, 1030:24,
1031:1, 1031:3, 1031:8, 1031:20,
1031:24, 1032:4,
1032:7, 1032:9,
1032:13, 1032:14,
1032:21, 1032:25, 1033:5, 1033:8,
1033:9, 1033:12,
1033:20, 1034:4,
1034:8, 1034:18,
1034:23, 1035:2,
1035:6, 1035:11, 1035:18, 1035:20,
1036:3, 1036:5,
1041:20, 1042:5,
1042:16, 1042:17,
1045:17, 1046:5,
1046:9, 1046:13, 1046:22, 1047:1,
1047:5, 1047:12,
1048:3, 1051:5,
1052:11, 1053:25,
1055:5, 1055:6,
1055:13, 1055:14, 1055:18, 1055:21,
1055:24, 1056:23,
1058:24, 1059:6,
1061:4, 1062:14,
1063:17, 1064:3, 1065:21, 1066:19,
1068:21
RIMINI [1] - 841:7
Rimini's [36] - 850:16,
865:14, 888:2,
890:7, 898:14, 906:1, 906:2, 906:7,
920:9, 920:14,
920:19, 930:21,
935:2, 990:24,
995:20, 1018:1, 1022:3, 1029:10,
1032:3, 1039:10,
1035:15, 1036:25,
1037:13, 1038:1,
1038:7, 1038:8, 1038:10, 1039:6,
1039:8, 1040:11,
,

```
1040:22, 1040:23,
 1041:24, 1043:18,
 1043:21
Rimini-created [1] -
 1061:4
Rimini-developed [9]
 - 987:20, 989:1,
 989:13, 989:24,
 992:11, 1004:20,
 1004:21, 1010:20,
 1018:15
Rimini-identified [1] -
 939:21
Rimini-written [5] -
 1008:11, 1010:13,
 1014:20, 1025:6,
 1026:10
RiminiTools [1] -
 1030:8
risqtrtx.sqr [3] -
 930:9, 1025:17,
 1027:15
RKF [2] - 871:9,
 1026:25
Rockefeller [19] -
 866:1, 867:14,
 867:21, 868:24,
 869:1, 869:4, 871:8,
 871:13, 871:16,
 871:24, 872:1,
 930:10, 1026:14,
 1026:25, 1028:1,
 1028:3, 1028:16,
 1029:5, 1029:7
role [6] - 1039:12,
 1040:9, 1040:12,
 1040:15, 1040:17,
 1041.2
roles [4] - 849:11,
 888:13, 888:14,
 888:18
roll [1] - 1064:23
rolled [3] - 1006:25,
 1008:5, 1064:13
rolling [1] - 1064:14
Roth [1] - 987:16
roughly [1] - 993:14
row [3] - 867:17,
 867:21, 867:22
RS[1] - 986:25
RS-18F03 [1] - 863:5
RS-19P02 [3] - 884:17,
 886:11, 886:13
RS-19P02_bundle_
 MAT [1] - 884:19
rscpmpay [1] - 929:22
rsi [4] - 1022:3,
 1025:17, 1026:7,
 1028:24
RSI [1] - 1024:17
```

```
rsi19p02 [1] - 903:7
RSI8F07_EAS_GA.
 zip [1] - 1014:4
rsi940 [2] - 862:14,
 1003:22
rsi940a [10] - 1004:3,
 1004:5. 1005:3.
 1005:4, 1005:5,
 1008:10, 1008:24,
 1009:1, 1009:5,
 1009:6
rsi940a.sqr [19] -
 872:7, 872:13,
 872:20, 874:18,
 875:6, 879:10,
 881:23, 885:10,
 886:17, 886:20,
 912:12, 912:22,
 930:8, 1003:5,
 1004:18, 1007:1,
 1008:6, 1008:22,
 1010:16
rsi960us [2] - 997:24,
 998:24
rsi960us.sqr [1] -
 999:6
RSLNK [3] - 939:21,
 939:25, 941:9
rspcmpay [4] -
 929:18, 935:9,
 936:6, 984:6
RSPCMPAY[1] -
 971:25
rspcmpay.cbl [2] -
 934:15, 934:16
RST[1] - 871:12
RTNCD[1] - 969:15
rtncd [1] - 972:12
ruled [1] - 1061:21
run [47] - 854:21,
 854:25, 855:2,
 855:3, 855:6, 855:7,
 855:10, 855:20,
 855:24, 856:19,
 857:3, 858:1, 860:5,
 869:20, 870:4,
 870:5, 870:6,
 871:12, 876:19,
 880:4, 880:15,
 883:25, 884:7,
 884:20, 913:9,
 913:13, 913:14,
 932:2, 988:17,
 988:19, 988:20,
```

RSI-18F07 [1] - 864:23

RSI-19P02 [1] - 886:20

rsi1099i.sqr [2] -

1015:9, 1019:16

1015:10, 1019:6

rsi1099m.sqr [2] -

992:6, 1017:13, 1019:10, 1020:10, 1020:13, 1020:16, 1021:2, 1021:3, 1021:9, 1022:19, 1023:6, 1023:19, 1023:20, 1024:8, 1024:10 runnable [1] - 988:22 running [25] - 855:8, 855:25, 857:17, 857:19, 870:17, 885:3, 1017:14, 1017:15, 1017:23, 1017:24, 1017:25, 1018:2, 1018:5, 1018:19, 1018:20, 1019:8, 1020:25, 1021:14, 1021:21, 1021:23, 1022:6, 1022:21, 1023:1 runs [2] - 851:2, 1021:7

S

S-CHECK [1] - 964:20 S-YTD [1] - 962:22 **S_YTD** [3] - 964:24, 966:5, 970:2 sales [2] - 860:16, 904:3 SalesForce [21] -873:4, 873:8, 873:9, 873:15, 874:2, 878:12, 931:11, 931:16, 1030:5, 1038:11, 1042:16, 1042:17, 1043:17, 1043:24, 1044:5, 1044:6, 1044:8, 1044:10, 1044:13, 1048:18, 1048:20 SAMPLIN [33] -847:19, 848:20, 852:9, 868:6, 868:9, 868:10, 868:18, 869:13, 869:15, 872:19, 872:23, 873:18, 874:1, 877:2, 877:6, 877:9, 878:15, 878:20, 881:3, 881:8, 883:1, 883:4, 886:23, 887:7, 893:16, 894:8, 896:13, 897:5, 900:14, 906:24, 917:9, 918:4, 920:22 **Samplin** [3] - 841:20,

```
1070:11, 1070:12
Samuel [1] - 841:20
SAP [1] - 888:3
Savi [3] - 869:3, 871:9,
 884:18
Savithri [3] - 867:22,
 871:15, 884:17
saw [37] - 870:10,
 871:16, 890:21,
 891:1, 925:6, 951:5,
 956:24, 957:20,
 958:19, 964:2,
 965:17, 966:14,
 969:3, 971:5, 971:6,
 971:10, 976:7,
 976:14, 976:15,
 999:24, 1001:24,
 1010:3, 1012:3,
 1021:25, 1027:3,
 1028:4, 1030:9,
 1033:2, 1033:16,
 1048:18, 1048:20,
 1052:25, 1053:2,
 1063:9, 1063:10,
 1063:13, 1064:13
schedule [3] - 843:9,
 844:20, 845:4
Schedule [6] -
 1003:18, 1004:15,
 1004:17, 1059:14,
 1062:25, 1065:10
scheduled [4] - 863:5,
 864:23, 886:13,
 920.4
school [3] - 922:11,
 922:14, 945:13
science [14] - 921:25,
 922:3, 922:15,
 922:20, 922:21,
 923:2, 923:6, 923:9,
 923:23, 925:19,
 925:20, 926:23,
 926:25, 947:12
Science [4] - 922:15,
 924:11, 924:14,
 924:15
scientist [2] -
 1065:16, 1065:18
scope [21] - 858:12,
 998:10, 1051:18,
 1051:20, 1051:23,
 1052:9, 1052:18,
 1052:23, 1052:25,
 1053:2, 1053:5,
 1053:11, 1053:12,
 1062:19, 1062:21,
 1062:22, 1066:3,
 1066:6
screen [13] - 883:3,
 929:1, 937:5, 951:4,
```

```
957:15, 975:13,
                            961:3, 962:8, 974:15
 975:15, 975:17,
                          see [189] - 843:15,
 975:18, 975:23,
                            863:7, 863:16,
 1023:17, 1043:11,
                           864:2, 864:3,
 1051.11
                           864:13, 866:7,
screenshots [5] -
                           867:3, 867:4,
 853:10. 853:11.
                           867:22. 877:16.
 853:18. 901:16.
                           877:17. 880:5.
 902:7
                            880:14, 884:19,
                           884:20, 886:15,
script [2] - 857:8,
 857:9
                            891:19, 894:12,
scripts [3] - 1014:18,
                           902:15, 902:24,
                           908:17, 910:14,
 1014:20, 1014:23
                           912:11, 912:15,
Scrum [1] - 866:24
                           912:25, 915:18,
se [1] - 1017:8
                           916:24, 916:25,
Seals [23] - 862:10,
                           918:11, 919:17,
 862:18, 863:3,
                           928:25, 929:1,
 863:4, 863:12,
                           929:2, 929:6,
 863:19, 864:10,
                           930:11, 936:16,
 864:22, 865:9,
                           936:20, 937:2,
 865:11, 865:15,
                           937:4, 937:7, 937:9,
 930:9, 1012:23,
                           937:13, 937:15,
 1013:15, 1014:4,
                           937:18, 938:9,
 1018:20, 1022:14,
                           938:11, 938:19,
 1022:21, 1022:22,
                           939:8, 939:22,
 1022:25, 1023:1,
                           940:22, 941:1,
 1024:23, 1025:5
                           942:5, 942:10,
search [6] - 1043:16,
                           942:13, 943:3,
 1043:21, 1044:1,
                           944:6, 944:15,
 1046:2, 1046:21,
                           946:15, 947:4,
 1047:17
                           947:16, 948:13,
seat [4] - 843:4,
                           949:24, 950:5,
 887:15, 934:4,
                           950:7, 950:10,
 1013:6
                           951:10, 951:20,
second [18] - 863:14,
                           952:11, 952:23,
 864:2, 864:13,
                           952:24, 953:6,
 865:5, 866:18,
                           953:12, 954:24,
 901:4, 909:20,
                           955:4, 955:6,
 951:11, 953:7,
                           955:17, 956:21,
 964:6, 964:7, 977:5,
                           957:5, 957:9,
 981:16, 997:20,
                           957:19, 957:21,
 1002:21, 1005:13,
                           959:13, 959:17,
 1006:22, 1026:19
                           960:3, 961:14,
seconds [3] - 909:21,
                           962:3, 962:23,
 910:4, 918:17
                           963:11, 964:6,
section [26] - 849:16,
                           964:17, 964:19,
 853:12, 853:15,
                            964:24, 965:25,
 858:18, 858:19,
                           966:2, 966:3, 966:7,
 863:20, 870:8,
                           966:11, 966:14,
 908:11, 911:10,
                           966:15, 967:10,
 938:3, 950:2, 953:1,
                            967:11, 967:12,
 953:17, 960:6,
                           968:16, 969:22,
 971:13, 972:8,
                           971:19, 971:23,
 972:22, 973:3,
                           972:21, 972:25,
 973:6, 974:1, 980:7,
                           973:4, 973:12,
 980:17, 980:23,
                            973:14, 973:15,
 980:24, 981:1
                            975:3, 975:13,
Section [1] - 950:7
                           975:18, 976:6,
SECTION [1] - 953:8
                            976:8, 977:12,
sections [4] - 950:25,
                            978:18, 979:11,
```

```
979:15, 979:17,
 980:2, 980:11,
 980:25, 981:8,
 982:13, 982:23,
 983:7, 984:23,
 984:25, 985:4,
 985:17, 986:6,
 986:15, 986:16,
 987:14, 987:15,
 987:16, 989:16,
 991:8, 994:10,
 994:21, 996:5,
 997:21, 999:10,
 1001:22, 1001:24,
 1001:25, 1003:12,
 1003:13, 1004:18,
 1004:20, 1005:5,
 1008:21, 1010:11,
 1010:12, 1011:23,
 1015:20, 1018:12,
 1019:18, 1019:21,
 1021:5, 1024:3,
 1024:7, 1024:13,
 1028:2, 1028:15,
 1030:25, 1033:2,
 1035:18, 1041:23,
 1042:23, 1043:11,
 1043:14, 1049:17,
 1049:22, 1049:23,
 1050:2, 1053:6,
 1056:7, 1061:9,
 1061:12, 1065:12,
 1067:15, 1069:17,
 1069:21
seeing [4] - 986:10,
 986:11, 986:23,
 1000:6
seem [2] - 962:24,
 1039:18
segment [1] - 989:6
SELECT<sub>[8]</sub> - 949:6,
 953:7, 962:22,
 964:20, 966:22,
 980:6, 980:25
Select [5] - 963:7,
 963:8, 964:1, 964:2,
 967:14
select [4] - 964:22,
 964:23, 964:24,
 970:2
SELECT-DATA[2] -
 962:22, 964:20
Select-Data [1] - 964:1
select-data [2] -
 964:22, 964:24
SELECT-PRVCHKS
 [3] - 953:7, 980:6,
 980:25
SELECT-SETUP [1] -
 949:6
```

```
selecting [2] - 953:10,
 981.6
selection [1] - 963:7
selections [1] -
 1052:1
selling [1] - 924:19
semester [1] - 923:7
send [4] - 1009:9,
 1065:22, 1066:21
sending [5] - 1008:8,
 1010:16, 1010:19,
 1010:21, 1027:14
sends [2] - 1010:1,
 1065:21
Senior [5] - 849:14,
 849:17, 849:18,
 888:10
SENIOR [1] - 841:2
senior [7] - 888:14,
 888:16, 888:20,
 889:4, 889:18,
 889:20
sense [9] - 844:8,
 844:20, 886:6,
 941:12, 946:18,
 995:25, 1017:2,
 1021:11, 1024:15
sent [17] - 929:17,
 931:6, 931:8,
 931:12, 931:14,
 931:20, 932:15,
 933:1, 933:7,
 1003:23, 1007:22,
 1010:3, 1012:4,
 1027:15, 1063:25,
 1065:23, 1067:9
sentence [8] - 957:7,
 964:6, 1005:25,
 1006:22, 1045:25,
 1057:2
separate [1] - 844:5
SEPTEMBER [2] -
 843:1, 934:1
September [2] - 841:6,
 1070:5
Sequel [1] - 949:7
sequence [3] - 959:9,
 960:12, 1027:25
series [1] - 932:16
server [2] - 1009:19,
 1009:22
servers [1] - 1009:8
services [2] - 898:24,
 966:12
serving [3] - 989:4,
 992:1, 992:2
sessions [1] - 1012:25
set [14] - 884:19,
 885:21, 946:23,
```

947:14, 947:22,

-				30
909:12, 909:13,	956:15, 978:6,	903:6, 903:9,	1026:21	864:11, 864:14,
911:19, 912:19,	985:25, 988:2,	903:19, 903:25,	sqrs [1] - 1022:3	866:25, 867:1,
912:22, 914:24,	988:6, 995:5,	904:11, 904:15,	staffing [1] - 890:6	886:14, 915:10,
917:7, 974:25,	1023:2, 1023:7,	905:3, 905:8,	stage [1] - 981:21	1028:16
975:9, 981:25,	1031:5, 1032:23,	905:12, 905:13,	staging [1] - 913:4	stay [3] - 887:8,
984:6, 984:18,	1056:10, 1056:14,	905:17, 905:20,	stand [2] - 1064:2,	915:25, 916:13
985:15, 994:4,	1056:17	905:22, 914:11,	1067:24	stdio.h [1] - 1023:20
998:8, 1053:15,	specifically [5] -	915:22, 916:3,	standard [18] - 926:8,	step [14] - 852:13,
1055:16, 1056:3,	867:17, 971:2,	916:5, 916:9,	939:9, 945:3, 945:7,	852:14, 852:22,
1066:5	991:19, 997:10,	916:10, 916:11,	945:12, 945:15,	900:21, 902:17,
Sorry [1] - 975:1	1013:21	916:14, 916:18,	945:19, 945:21,	942:8, 942:20,
sort [1] - 960:8	specification [16] -	916:24, 917:1,	947:11, 963:10,	964:1, 964:2, 977:5,
sorts [1] - 1068:19	930:2, 930:12,	919:5, 919:6,	963:19, 976:4,	977:18, 1009:14
sound [2] - 855:23	984:11, 984:14,	919:10, 919:17,	976:20, 1029:20,	step-by-step [2] -
sounds [2] - 1049:13,	984:20, 984:22,	920:17, 920:20,	1029:24, 1030:2,	852:22, 900:21
1059:13	985:3, 985:5,	930:25, 1029:24,	1030:6, 1030:22	Stephen [1] - 844:15
source [8] - 930:14,	985:22, 986:3,	1030:1	standards [1] - 956:2	steps [14] - 852:25,
930:21, 984:15,	986:5, 989:3, 990:2,	spoken [2] - 845:18,	standing [2] - 1019:6,	854:4, 903:1,
987:10, 988:8,	990:7, 990:24,	1034:1	1025:1	915:11, 916:12,
1020:22, 1021:6,	993:18	spoon [1] - 968:13	standpoint [2] -	916:15, 942:17,
1021:13	Specifications [2] -	spreadsheet [27] -	925:22	942:22, 988:14,
South [1] - 841:24	986:7, 991:9	858:8, 858:9,	star [8] - 951:22,	994:11, 1027:7,
space [13] - 936:15,	specifications [1] -	858:10, 858:11,	952:23, 952:24,	1027:9, 1027:13,
947:8, 952:10,	930:23	859:6, 859:18,	953:2, 953:12,	1027:25
961:14, 961:15,	specify [1] - 902:12	861:19, 862:1,	953:15, 954:7, 954:8	still [9] - 885:16,
961:17, 979:4,	spell [2] - 848:12,	866:12, 866:14,	start [13] - 852:19,	895:17, 896:7,
979:12, 979:14,	921:13	867:9, 867:15,	874:20, 923:18,	896:21, 912:25,
980:15, 982:20,	spent [2] - 919:2,	868:11, 868:22, 870:10, 871:16,	928:7, 952:15,	919:3, 928:17, 1040:8, 1069:7
982:21, 982:22	1057:20 SPH [4] - 882:21,	899:23, 915:1,	952:22, 952:24,	stop [4] - 858:11,
spaces [25] - 947:9, 978:17, 978:19,	1005:25, 1066:3,	915:2, 915:3,	954:2, 954:3, 954:7, 962:16, 986:3, 991:6	859:8, 898:25,
978:20, 979:2,	1066:7	915:14, 915:17,	started [4] - 858:17,	1045:20
979:4, 979:12,	Spherion [30] - 872:7,	915:20, 916:6,	859:16, 859:20,	stopped [1] - 912:14
979:13, 979:16,	880:24, 882:19,	916:16, 1028:14,	925:2	Storage [1] - 950:6
980:1, 980:2, 980:3,	882:20, 883:16,	1028:15	starting [1] - 881:12	storage [1] - 939:24
980:11, 980:14,	883:17, 883:22,	spreadsheets [6] -	starts [1] - 997:20	store [1] - 965:24
981:9, 981:19,	906:12, 906:20,	858:22, 858:25,	state [6] - 848:10,	stored [6] - 854:7,
982:1, 982:5, 982:7,	907:21, 911:4,	859:3, 859:12,	903:22, 909:12,	854:8, 858:22,
982:8, 982:10,	911:15, 911:25,	920:12, 920:15	921:11, 960:8,	858:24, 895:3, 895:4
982:18, 982:24,	930:8, 1006:1,	SQL [8] - 955:17,	996:24	stores [1] - 939:20
982:25	1006:2, 1010:4,	966:5, 967:14,	Statement [1] - 967:14	storing [1] - 940:24
spacing [6] - 978:13,	1010:11, 1010:12,	971:4, 971:9, 975:5,	statement [8] -	straight [2] - 860:16,
979:8, 979:11,	1010:17, 1057:19,	976:11, 982:4	911:21, 912:15,	982:13
981:24, 983:6, 983:7	1057:22, 1058:8,	SQL-CURSOR [7] -	949:19, 966:22,	stream [3] - 1021:10,
speaking [2] - 895:4,	1058:14, 1058:25,	966:5, 967:14, 971:4, 971:9, 975:5,	970:2, 972:24,	1021:12, 1021:13
1064:5	1059:7, 1062:14, 1066:7, 1067:8,	971.4, 971.9, 975.5, 976:11, 982:4	1058:1, 1058:22	streamline [1] - 887:2
spec [1] - 989:22	1067:18	SQLRT [3] - 966:1,	statements [6] -	Street [29] - 841:24,
specialty [1] - 925:18 specific [36] - 849:24,	Spira [57] - 854:8,	966:4	937:3, 943:25,	849:6, 849:7, 849:9,
850:25, 851:6,	854:9, 854:10,	sqlrt [7] - 967:3,	948:14, 949:10,	849:13, 850:3,
851:18, 852:23,	854:12, 854:16,	967:7, 967:12,	958:15, 958:20	850:6, 854:11,
852:24, 854:2,	858:6, 861:18,	971:3, 971:4, 971:9,	states [3] - 880:6, 939:19, 996:21	856:9, 859:1, 859:2, 915:7, 938:4, 987:1,
856:11, 856:24,	862:1, 863:2,	972:21	States [1] - 1000:11	1006:9, 1008:21,
860:4, 862:6,	865:13, 884:15,	sqr [3] - 1015:17,	STATES[1] - 841:1	1000:9, 1000:21,
865:25, 869:11,	886:11, 899:22,	1015:18, 1024:17	stating [1] - 910:24	1009:22, 1010:1,
886:5, 899:14,	900:17, 901:7,	SQR [10] - 872:7,	station [1] - 925:10	1018:12, 1030:24,
902:14, 902:21,	901:11, 901:16,	872:13, 872:20,	status [15] - 858:15,	1031:21, 1031:24,
937:4, 943:10,	901:20, 902:7,	912:18, 912:23,	858:17, 859:16,	1051:6, 1052:11,
949:10, 954:16,	902:15, 902:18,	912:24, 1015:9,	859:19, 863:13,	1055:21, 1062:14,
956:5, 956:14,	902:19, 902:22,	1016:9, 1016:19,	863:16, 863:21,	1068:21
			,	

913:8, 913:9, 914:10

successfully [16] -865:3, 870:8, 870:18, 870:21, 871:13, 871:23, 872:3, 876:9, 876:19, 877:23, 878:6. 880:6. 910:18. 910:23. 910:24, 915:12 sufficient [1] - 1042:2 suffix [2] - 937:15, 1014:19 suggested [3] -1034:17, 1034:20, 1055:12 suggestion [1] -1048:23 suite [1] - 1029:24 sum [1] - 865:13 summarize [1] -1025:4

Summary [1] - 985:17 summary [1] - 985:18 Sun [1] - 925:10 supervising [2] -890:5, 890:11 supplies [1] - 939:19

support [17] - 874:10, 994:16, 994:18, 996:1, 996:16, 1000:17, 1001:5, 1010:14, 1022:24, 1022:25, 1030:12, 1030:21, 1030:23, 1037:1, 1056:24

supporting [2] -1000:14, 1000:16 supposed [2] -1003:16 supposedly [1] -

1016:19
surprising [2] 963:10, 976:18
surround [1] - 950:23
suspicious [1] - 952:5
sustained [2] -

sustained [2] -900:15, 917:24 swear [1] - 848:4 switch [3] - 862:5, 865:19, 872:4 switching [2] -909:22, 997:23

909:22, 997:23 **sworn** [2] - 848:7, 921:9 **symbol** [2] - 1020:3, 1020:7

1020:7 **syntax** [7] - 949:3, 949:11, 954:24, 955:8, 955:9, 963:1,

968:2 System [2] - 986:7, 991:8 system [29] - 860:19, 877:22. 919:15. 940:24. 1001:4. 1009:6. 1009:14. 1010:21, 1020:9, 1022:3, 1024:12, 1025:1, 1030:15, 1030:23, 1031:4, 1033:21, 1035:23, 1038:7, 1039:6, 1039:8, 1040:11, 1040:22, 1040:23, 1041:20, 1041:24, 1047:8, 1051:21, 1051:23, 1052:18 systems [11] - 858:23, 932:15, 1008:11, 1016:11, 1019:7, 1019:11, 1031:2, 1031:9, 1033:13,

Т

1042:5

T3 [2] - 992:21, 992:24 **Tab** [1] - 1043:4 table [4] - 855:13, 857:10, 857:11, 960.8 **Table** [1] - 985:12 tables [1] - 1014:21 tabs [2] - 898:9, 898:16 tailored [1] - 1013:21 takeaway [1] - 931:9 talks [1] - 956:22 tan [1] - 961:15 tap [1] - 855:22 Tapestry [2] - 924:12, 924:14 TARRY [1] - 939:17 tarry [1] - 934:22 tasks [3] - 852:22, 852:25, 916:11 taught [6] - 922:24, 922:25, 923:9, 923:11, 923:12, 927:6 tax [26] - 849:22, 850:13, 850:14, 850:15, 850:24, 851:6, 851:18, 852:14, 852:17, 853:1, 854:5, 873:11, 881:2, 885:20, 960:8, 971:21, 1003:10,

1006:8, 1007:12, 1025:17, 1026:7, 1028:24, 1038:3, 1063:18, 1065:9, 1067:16 TAX [3] - 962:4, 962:6, 971:20 TAX-BAL [1] - 971:20 tax960us [1] - 997:23 taxes [1] - 939:16 teach [8] - 922:23, 926:3, 927:3, 945:20, 945:22, 945:24, 1023:11, 1023:22 teacher [1] - 922:11 teaching [2] - 922:13, 923:21 team [54] - 849:16, 850:7, 850:9, 850:10, 850:15, 850:18, 850:22, 851:2, 851:8, 851:10. 851:12. 852:16, 853:8. 854:1, 854:21, 856:9, 857:14, 857:23, 858:3, 858:10, 859:6, 859:9, 860:1, 860:9, 860:24, 861:2, 861:5, 861:8, 861:15, 862:17, 866:3, 866:5, 866:23, 867:8, 867:20, 868:22, 872:10, 876:20, 882:8, 885:2, 889:5, 891:22. 896:7. 896:21, 916:13, 919:12, 919:13, 920:7, 920:12, 920:17, 926:6, 926:7, 927:4, 1035:16

team's [3] - 850:23,

872:12, 872:19

technical [24] - 923:3,

tech [2] - 989:22,

930:2, 930:4,

930:12, 930:23,

984:10, 984:13,

984:20, 984:22,

985:18, 985:22,

986:2, 986:4, 989:3,

985:3, 985:4,

990:1, 990:7,

990:24, 993:17,

995:15, 1020:1,

1062:9

1032:2, 1032:8 technically [4] - 893:1, 893:3, 893:9, 894:5 technique [1] - 974:11 Televideo [1] -1070:10 template [1] - 873:7 temporality [1] -1047:13 temporarily [2] -1066:4, 1066:7 ten [3] - 927:16, 958:9, 1045:4 tends [1] - 893:23 tens [1] - 1029:21 Teresa [1] - 874:10 term [5] - 854:25, 855:2, 855:5, 855:24, 945:8 terminology [2] -906:16, 954:19 terms [14] - 886:20, 943:14, 943:16, 945:4, 945:5, 945:17, 968:4, 992:8, 995:25, 1032:13, 1036:24, 1037:3, 1042:15, 1048:2 test [113] - 850:17, 850:18, 850:20, 850:25, 851:5, 851:6, 851:16, 851:17, 851:18, 851:19, 851:24, 852:1, 852:19, 852:21, 852:22, 852:24, 853:8, 853:10, 853:17, 853:18, 853:21, 853:22, 853:24, 854:1, 854:4, 854:7, 854:11. 855:21. 856:1. 856:2. 856:16. 857:13. 857:25, 859:13, 859:25, 861:8, 861:18, 861:24, 862:1, 863:2, 863:11, 863:18, 863:23, 863:24, 865:3, 865:8, 872:22, 876:19, 879:7, 880:11, 880:15, 884:6, 884:8, 884:15, 884:19, 884:22, 884:23, 885:10,

885:14, 886:1.

886:2, 886:11,

956:22, 957:5, 966:10, 986:6, 986:7, 991:8, 998:3, 998:5, 1004:21, 1005:6, 1022:12, 1051:17 topic [4] - 1029:9, 1039:2. 1067:21. 1068:3 topics [5] - 929:14, 1038:4, 1038:5, 1041:12, 1045:11 total [4] - 871:25, 919:3, 931:14, 931:17 touching [1] - 855:15 towards [1] - 1043:6 track [6] - 858:12, 859:18, 900:18, 900:24, 916:9, 1029:19 tracked [1] - 925:15 tracking [1] - 1029:25 tracks [1] - 874:3 traded [1] - 968:19 training [1] - 1037:8 transcript [3] -1055:17, 1068:11, 1070:3 TRANSCRIPT[1] -841:11 Transfer [4] - 1007:7, 1010:2, 1030:9 transfer [3] - 1007:8, 1007:10, 1065:23 traveling [1] - 849:1 treat [1] - 947:13 trenches [2] - 890:10, 919:21 trial [5] - 928:14, 931:8, 1042:13, 1050:18, 1050:20 Trucking [24] - 872:6, 873:4, 875:10, 875:12, 876:5, 876:20, 876:25, 877:20, 878:5, 884:16, 884:20, 885:8, 885:11, 885:24, 901:16, 901:21, 902:3, 903:13, 907:18, 909:22, 910:2, 910:6, 910:16, 911:12 true [30] - 876:8, 880:5, 882:22, 888:7, 891:23,

892:4, 892:18,

895:12, 895:22,

899:1, 899:13, 900:4, 901:3, 902:4, 902:6, 902:8, 902:16, 904:13, 905:13, 907:21, 907:23, 912:8, 913:18, 913:19, 970:2, 970:5, 992:24, 992:25, 1029:14 truncated [1] - 999:9 try [7] - 854:20, 857:24, 923:17, 940:17, 944:11, 972:13, 1001:2 trying [8] - 862:13, 875:13, 885:19, 988:13, 1000:5, 1037:11, 1040:8, 1058:5 Tuesday [2] - 844:17, 974:24 turn [14] - 898:1, 903:5, 911:7, 911:24, 916:20, 935:6, 956:8, 956:10, 983:12, 983:19, 986:1, 986:9, 991:10, 996:2 turned [1] - 895:18 turning [1] - 1021:6 TV [2] - 956:7, 956:11 twice [6] - 852:4, 852:12, 883:23, 897:19, 913:14, 1048:8 two [92] - 843:25, 844:1, 844:14, 845:4, 847:12, 850:8, 859:12, 864:2, 865:25, 866:4, 867:13, 867:16, 875:5, 879:9, 881:22, 891:6, 902:2, 903:12, 906:6, 906:8, 911:25, 912:20, 921:22, 923:12, 924:12, 926:1, 936:9, 939:12, 939:23, 939:25, 940:12, 941:23, 942:1, 942:6, 942:17, 945:6, 946:25, 947:4, 947:21, 950:7, 951:8, 954:16, 955:5, 956:9, 957:7, 958:5, 971:3, 974:11,

977:18, 978:13, 978:17, 978:19, 978:20, 979:2, 979:4, 979:12, 979:13, 979:16, 980:1, 980:2, 980:3, 980:11, 980:14, 981:9, 981:14, 981:18, 982:1, 982:5, 982:6, 982:8, 982:10, 982:18, 982:23, 982:25, 983:12, 983:22, 986:15, 994:11, 994:14, 1006:25, 1008:6, 1015:9, 1016:9, 1028:15, 1041:6, 1045:3, 1056:19, 1068:15, 1068:16, 1068:19, 1069:7 type [10] - 914:24, 925:12, 954:14, 957:6, 957:8, 1002:22, 1014:25, 1060:9, 1060:12, 1066:22 **typed** [1] - 1001:6 types [2] - 851:2, 1014:11 typically [7] - 853:12, 860:11, 860:24, 885:19, 895:4, 937:16, 1023:18 typing [5] - 999:13, 999:15, 999:25, 1000:8, 1002:17 U

ultimate [1] - 999:8 ultimately [6] -885:24, 1007:19, 1007:25, 1012:4, 1042:21, 1066:9 umbrella [1] - 949:22 unclear [1] - 844:2 uncreative [1] - 945:9 under [8] - 867:18, 867:22, 902:22, 902:23, 1002:15, 1003:1, 1037:19, 1069:7 undergo [1] - 1027:20 undergraduate [1] -922:16 Understood [1] -844:22 understood [4] -881:16, 932:13,

-33 1035:5, 1042:16 undertake [2] -1032:14, 1032:20 uniformly [1] - 954:3 unintelligible [4] -907:19, 912:18, 914:11, 914:15 unintelligible) [4] -852:6, 891:7, 903:18, 919:16 unique [3] - 978:12, 980:13 unit [26] - 859:25, 860:2, 860:3, 860:7, 860:9, 861:14, 861:24, 876:14, 886:2, 899:24, 900:2, 900:7, 900:12, 904:21, 906:11, 906:16, 906:17, 906:20, 907:13, 907:16, 907:17, 907:25, 908:4, 911:3, 976:13, 1027:18 United [1] - 1000:11 UNITED [1] - 841:1 University [1] - 921:23 unless [1] - 1007:8 unlimited [1] - 970:17 unnecessary [1] -917:17 unordered [2] -947:14, 947:20 unprotected [14] -943:5, 943:8, 944:16, 944:24, 945:8, 948:12, 948:17, 949:12, 950:13, 958:25, 961:1, 967:18, 970:9, 971:12 unprotectible [2] -944:8, 974:6 unreliable [2] - 948:7, 983:23 **up** [73] - 844:6, 844:9, 845:3, 845:16, 848:9, 854:19, 859:13, 860:22, 863:7, 864:2, 865:4, 865:13, 869:13, 874:17, 875:3, 875:4, 876:2, 878:3, 879:7, 879:8, 881:20, 883:2, 883:21, 884:25, 885:9, 885:17, 903:2, 908:14, 916:21, 918:9,

906:12, 906:13,

906:20, 906:22, 907:17, 908:12, 910:22, 910:25, 911:12, 911:15, 911:25, 912:7, 913:17, 914:4, 915:15, 916:2, 916:16, 916:25, 919:15, 930:7, 930:8, 930:9, 930:10, 984:22, 986:12, 986:19, 996:11, 996:12, 997:1, 999:2, 1001:16, 1002:4, 1003:5, 1003:9, 1003:10, 1003:21, 1003:25. 1004:3. 1004:7, 1004:11, 1004:13, 1005:15, 1005:18, 1005:24, 1006:2, 1006:5, 1006:7, 1006:10, 1006:13, 1007:4, 1007:19, 1007:25, 1008:3, 1008:4, 1008:19, 1008:25, 1009:24, 1011:1, 1011:8, 1012:6, 1012:11, 1012:19, 1012:21, 1012:23, 1013:15, 1013:20, 1013:22, 1014:4, 1014:20, 1026:1, 1026:4, 1026:24, 1027:6, 1027:8, 1028:2, 1028:13, 1028:20, 1029:6, 1035:21, 1036:4, 1038:3, 1049:8, 1050:13, 1051:22, 1052:21, 1052:24, 1063:17, 1066:5, 1066:10, 1066:17, 1066:22, 1067:1, 1067:9, 1067:10 **Update** [47] - 856:4, 856:5, 856:8, 856:22, 856:25, 857:15, 857:24, 857:25, 861:18, 869:20, 871:6, 879:17, 879:21, 880:1, 880:3, 882:10, 882:13, 882:18, 882:20, 883:10, 883:13, 883:16, 883:17, 890:18, 890:22,

891:2, 891:21,

892:6, 892:19,

899:6, 899:10, 899:16, 899:20, 908:19, 908:22, 908:25, 909:17, 909:23, 910:1, 912:2, 913:6, 914:3, 920:7, 920:10, 1030:10, 1065:24 updated [5] - 855:14, 863:8, 899:17, 910:2 updates [42] - 850:13, 850:14, 850:16, 850:18, 851:21, 852:1, 854:16, 857:14, 859:19, 859:23, 860:12, 861:16, 862:18, 865:14, 865:21, 866:3, 884:23, 885:15, 885:16, 888:6, 888:13, 890:2, 890:5, 890:6, 900:20, 902:9, 903:18, 906:2, 906:4, 906:8, 955:23, 1000:12, 1003:8, 1012:2, 1012:4, 1029:4, 1029:20, 1035:16, 1036:10, 1065:6, 1066:11, 1067:4 upload [1] - 1044:14 uploaded [7] -1042:15, 1042:17, 1043:17, 1043:24, 1044:5, 1044:13, 1044:22 uploads [1] - 1044:15 upper [2] - 945:17, 947:6 **US** [28] - 850:10, 859:9, 859:13, 1003:23, 1006:9, 1007:1, 1007:5, 1007:8, 1007:10, 1007:13, 1007:18, 1007:22, 1007:24, 1008:3, 1008:7, 1009:4, 1053:2, 1053:3, 1053:5, 1053:12, 1064:6, 1064:15, 1064:23, 1065:14, 1065:21, 1066:4, 1066:8, 1066:9 **USA** [1] - 841:4 user [4] - 870:2, 871:9, 914:2, 1038:2 uses [13] - 859:6,

900:17, 937:16, 941:9, 941:11, 947:18, 953:2, 957:24, 1030:6, 1030:12, 1033:8 **USING** [2] - 966:14, 967:3

V validate [2] - 853:1, 860:6 validating [1] - 851:14 value [17] - 937:7, 937:8, 949:20, 955:3, 955:4, 955:6, 956:24, 957:23, 964:11, 964:12, 969:13, 969:25, 970:2, 970:5, 970:7, 975:10, 975:22 VALUE [2] - 975:6, 981:23 values [6] - 957:3, 957:13, 966:24, 969:4, 975:22 VANDEVELDE [12] -843:11, 843:17, 843:20, 844:11, 844:22, 845:6, 1068:24, 1069:3, 1069:6, 1069:14, 1069:19, 1069:23 Vandevelde [1] -841.19 variable [6] - 945:17, 963:17, 968:8, 968:10. 969:25. 976:3 variables [7] - 926:16, 926:20, 945:16, 945:18, 968:4, 968:14, 1021:1 various [1] - 953:23 **VArpt** [1] - 989:16 vendor [1] - 1014:21 vendors [1] - 865:2 venue [1] - 927:1 verbatim [1] - 1049:18 verify [2] - 1032:15, 1032:21 version [11] - 981:18, 981:25, 991:7, 1007:1, 1007:13, 1008:6, 1008:23, 1030:15, 1030:23, 1031:4, 1031:9

versioning [1] -

versions [3] - 1008:7,

1031:7

via [3] - 943:25, 1009:9, 1028:7 Vice [5] - 849:18, 849:19. 850:1. 887:24, 887:25 vice [1] - 888:9 Vice-President [5] -849:18, 849:19, 850:1, 887:24, 887:25 vice-president [1] -888:9 view [11] - 936:15, 937:9, 938:3, 938:10, 938:17, 1026:19, 1038:14, 1040:9, 1041:6, 1041:10, 1056:22 viewed [3] - 865:14, 950:19, 1023:18 views [1] - 995:12 violate [1] - 1019:2 violated [3] - 1031:24, 1036:14, 1036:20 violation [29] - 894:2, 942:10, 942:11, 1038:1, 1038:8, 1038:15, 1038:19, 1038:21, 1038:25, 1039:6, 1039:10, 1039:16, 1039:20, 1040:3, 1040:7, 1040:11, 1040:13, 1040:16, 1041:2, 1041:3, 1041:7, 1041:9, 1041:12, 1041:21, 1041:22, 1043:18, 1045:5, 1057:19, 1067:22 violations [3] - 846:1, 1042:1, 1042:3 Virgin [4] - 1011:11, 1063:1, 1063:8, 1063:12 Virginia [2] - 841:24, 1003:14 virtually [2] - 847:21, 848:24 visual [2] - 893:21, 937:24 vogue [1] - 924:18 Voldemort [1] -963:13 Volume [1] - 841:8 volume [1] - 895:18 VPN [1] - 899:14 Vs [1] - 937:8 vs [1] - 841:6

-34

1031:2, 1031:3

878:5, 896:8,

W

W2 [6] - 930:7, 996:7, 997:13, 1000:12, 1002:20, 1049:8 wait [2] - 969:6, 969:7 walk [6] - 852:23, 872:24, 918:16, 948:24, 974:14, 994.1 walked [1] - 1027:9 wants [2] - 982:19, 1069:10 ways [1] - 925:20 Web [1] - 927:14 website [1] - 1029:22 week [4] - 844:21, 920:3, 1011:7, 1069:1 weekend [2] - 1068:5, 1069:21 weekly [1] - 920:4 weeks [6] - 845:4, 1068:15, 1068:16, 1068:19, 1068:20, 1068:23 welcome [4] - 887:12, 934:7, 934:11, 1013:9 West [2] - 841:19, 1003:14 whatsoever [1] -1024:15 whereas [1] - 885:21 white [6] - 947:8, 952:10, 959:12, 961:14, 961:15, 961:17 whoa [1] - 962:24 whole [6] - 846:10, 847:6, 909:12, 988:22, 990:22, 1018:24 width [8] - 936:14, 936:19, 937:10, 937:17, 937:25, 938:2, 983:5, 983:8 William [1] - 841:15 window [2] - 860:18, 875:14 Windows [12] - 925:6, 925:14, 1017:9, 1017:10, 1017:11, 1017:12, 1017:14, 1017:15, 1023:6, 1023:7, 1024:8, 1024:9 Windstream [5] -894:17, 894:21, 895:3, 895:5, 895:8

winning [1] - 925:15 withholdings [1] -939:14 **WITNESS** [24] -848:11, 848:14, 848:17, 868:3, 872:22, 887:13, 895:20, 897:1, 907:3, 907:5, 921:3, 921:12, 921:14, 921:17, 929:9, 939:6, 965:6, 965:8, 1013:12, 1024:6, 1031:17, 1043:4, 1043:8, 1043:11 witness [10] - 844:12, 845:18, 846:13, 847:20, 848:6, 907:2, 917:18, 921:5, 921:8, 928:2 witnesses [6] -843:13, 843:25, 844:5, 844:6, 1069:8 WITNESSES [1] -1070:9 won [5] - 923:14, 923:15. 923:16. 923:21, 926:1 wondering [1] -1068:20 word [20] - 847:12, 863:21, 867:18, 944:19, 946:8, 947:11, 947:20, 975:14, 978:18, 979:14, 980:1, 980:2, 981:9, 981:19, 982:10, 989:6, 991:3, 1020:4, 1046:23, 1060:16 wording [1] - 1041:23 words [7] - 870:5, 938:21, 978:12, 978:16, 978:17, 989:21, 1020:3 works [26] - 877:20, 878:8, 910:16, 930:6, 947:3, 956:21, 968:18, 995:7, 1009:21, 1010:11, 1010:12, 1010:18, 1014:23, 1015:13, 1015:16, 1016:10, 1016:19, 1016:24, 1017:12, 1017:16. 1023:24. 1024:14. 1029:17. 1037:4, 1056:10, 1068:23

workshops [1] - 927:2 world [2] - 1023:17, 1034:11 World [6] - 985:19, 991:7, 991:9, 992:2, 993:13, 993:14 worldwide [1] -927:10 worth [1] - 1046:19 wow [1] - 993:15 wrap [1] - 993:16 write [22] - 893:12, 928:21, 955:20, 958:1, 964:4, 969:8, 970:6, 972:16, 983:11, 988:13, 995:13, 1009:16, 1017:10, 1023:16, 1044:21, 1047:6, 1047:17, 1047:19, 1060:7, 1060:13, 1060:16, 1060:17 writes [1] - 1052:4 writing [4] - 925:6, 988:13, 1023:12, 1060:10 written [28] - 867:22, 908:23, 926:8, 926:12, 926:13, 928:21, 930:23, 931:3, 976:18, 976:19, 984:14, 986:13, 987:2, 988:6, 1004:18, 1005:4, 1008:11, 1010:13, 1014:15, 1014:20, 1016:11, 1016:24, 1017:8, 1023:5, 1023:23, 1025:6, 1026:10, 1061:16 wrote [12] - 925:8, 990:1, 1005:2, 1047:15, 1047:16, 1058:2, 1060:2, 1060:6, 1060:21, 1061:23, 1061:24, 1064:22 X

Xs [2] - 1003:13, 1003:15

Υ

year [23] - 853:14, 888:16, 888:21, 891:6, 891:15, 891:25, 896:15,

925:16, 939:8, 939:9, 941:8, 941:15, 941:18, 946:14, 960:7, 963:10, 963:11, 963:19, 963:20, 965:3, 1018:13, 1025:7 year-end [2] - 888:16, 888:21 year-to-date [11] -939:8, 939:9, 941:8, 941:15, 941:18, 960:7, 963:10, 963:11, 963:19, 963:20, 965:3 years [12] - 849:8, 850:5, 891:20, 894:22, 917:10, 922:12, 922:22, 922:24, 923:12, 990:5, 1005:7, 1018:12 yellow [1] - 1056:12 yesterday [6] -846:10, 877:5, 877:7, 1002:3, 1004:12, 1068:11 York [1] - 1003:14 yourself [8] - 888:18, 892:25, 893:9, 894:4, 921:20, 924:23, 1023:9, 1033:18 YTD [10] - 939:8, 939:9, 962:22, 963:9, 963:11, 963:18, 963:20, 965:3, 967:15, 970:1

Ζ

Zachary [1] - 841:17

zero [7] - 902:24,

918:20, 918:24, 919:4, 975:11, 977:24, 979:2 **ZERO** [2] - 975:6, 981:23 **zipped** [1] - 1014:3 zoom [3] - 937:22, 986:6, 1028:7 Zoom [1] - 847:21 **ZZ** [1] - 973:25 **ZZ000** [1] - 973:25 ZZ000-SQL-ERROR [2] - 973:8, 973:11